## Public comment for the following case numbers:

08-0666-EL-BGN 12-0160-EL-BGN 17-2516-EL-BGA 17-2517-EL-BGA

From: Dan Boulton [mailto:dan.boulton@gcp.org]

Sent: Wednesday, May 2, 2018 1:06 PM

To: Puco ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>

Subject: Case numbers 17-2516-EL-BGA and 17-2517-EL-BGA

Dear Ohio Power Siting Board,

This letter is in reference to case numbers 17-2516-EL-BGA and 17-2517-EL-BGA according to Matt Butler at the OPSB. Mr. Butler and the public notice I received from the Vory's law firm also referenced case numbers 08-0666-EL-BGN and 12-0160-EL-BGN. I assume all of these case numbers refer to the same wind power project.

I am writing to ask that you <u>not extend</u> the certificates of environmental compatibility and public need to construct and operate the above mentioned projects for a number of reasons.

- 1. There is no need for the electricity the project will generate. Champaign County, the surrounding counties and the entire State of Ohio are well supplied with electricity by such providers as Pioneer Rural Electric, Dayton Power and Light, American Electric Power, etc, etc. If the need for additional electricity is so great, why would approximately 50% of the wind turbines be dropped from the project?
- 2. The project is vastly different than originally approved. Since approximately 50% of the project is no longer even going to be constructed, major changes need to be made. These include such things as relocating collection substations, changing the turbines allowed because what was approved is no longer available, modifying access roads, changing the collection line system, etc.
- 3. There should be some public hearings in the area where the turbines are proposed to explain the new project.
- 4. The set back laws have changed since the project was approved. The new law upholds personal property rights and safer set back requirements.
- 5. When the project was originally approved, the OPSB eliminated turbines 79, 87, 91 and 95. According to what I am able to determine, turbine 95 has now been put back into the project. I am not certain about the status of the other turbines. I am very grateful that Mr. Butler is looking into this for me and has indicated he will report back what he learns.

Please let me know if I can assist you in any way and thank you for considering my input.

Respectfully, Dan Boulton This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

5/2/2018 4:35:26 PM

in

Case No(s). 08-0666-EL-BGN, 12-0160-EL-BGN, 17-2516-EL-BGA, 17-2517-EL-BGA

Summary: Public Comment electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing.