

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF OHIO POWER COMPANY TO UPDATE
ITS ALTERNATIVE ENERGY RIDER AND
AUCTION COST RECONCILIATION
RIDER.

CASE NO. 15-1052-EL-RDR

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on April 30, 2018

{¶ 1} Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio (AEP Ohio) is an electric distribution utility as defined in R.C. 4928.01(A)(6) and a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 2} R.C. 4928.141 provides that an electric distribution utility shall provide consumers within its certified territory a standard service offer (SSO) of all competitive retail electric services necessary to maintain essential electric services to customers, including a firm supply of electric generation services. The SSO may be either a market rate offer in accordance with R.C. 4928.142 or an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with R.C. 4928.143.

{¶ 3} On August 8, 2012, the Commission issued its Opinion and Order in Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., which approved, with certain modifications, AEP Ohio's application for an ESP, effective with the first billing cycle of September 2012 through May 31, 2015. Among other provisions of the ESP, the Commission approved AEP Ohio's proposed Alternative Energy Rider (AER), including quarterly adjustments and annual audits of the rider. *In re Columbus Southern Power Co. and Ohio Power Co.*, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Aug. 8, 2012) at 18.

{¶ 4} In Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., the Commission modified and approved an ESP for AEP Ohio for the period of June 1, 2015, through May 31, 2018. Among other matters, the Commission approved AEP Ohio's proposal to establish the Auction Cost Reconciliation Rider (ACRR), including quarterly adjustments and annual audits of the rider. The Commission also approved AEP Ohio's request to continue the AER. *In re*

Ohio Power Co., Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Feb. 25, 2015) at 34, 35.

{¶ 5} The above-captioned proceeding was initiated on June 1, 2015, for AEP Ohio's AER and ACRR quarterly adjustments.

{¶ 6} On February 27, 2018, Staff filed its review and recommendations regarding its audit of the ACRR for the period of June 2015 through July 2016. AEP Ohio filed a response to Staff's recommendations on April 18, 2018.

{¶ 7} In order to assist the Commission in its review of AEP Ohio's ACRR, the attorney examiner finds that the following procedural schedule should be established:

- (a) May 18, 2018 - Deadline for the filing of motions to intervene.
- (b) May 25, 2018 - Deadline for the filing of initial comments in response to Staff's review and recommendations.
- (c) June 8, 2018 - Deadline for the filing of reply comments.

{¶ 8} It is, therefore,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That the procedural schedule set forth in Paragraph 7 be adopted. It is, further,

{¶ 10} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties and other interested persons of record in this case and all parties of record in Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Sarah Parrot

By: Sarah J. Parrot
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/30/2018 2:02:23 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-1052-EL-RDR

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry setting forth the procedural schedule indicated in Paragraph 9 - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Sarah Parrot, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio