

**Staff's Template RPS Compliance Filing Report
2017 Compliance Year**

Company Name: EnPowered USA Inc.
Case Number (i.e., XX-XXXX-EL-ACP): 18-0590-EL-ACP
Point of Contact for RPS Filing – Name: Tomas van Stee
Point of Contact for RPS Filing – Email: tvanstee@en-powered.com
Point of Contact for RPS Filing – Phone: 647-633-3940

Did the Company have Ohio retail electric sales in 2017? YES ☐ NO ☒

If a CRES with sales in 2017, confirm the sales were conducted either as a power marketer or retail generation provider (i.e., took title to the electricity). YES ☐ NO ☐

If this RPS report also addresses the compliance obligation of an additional CRES Provider, list the company(-ies). Otherwise, indicate N/A.

Note: If the Company indicated zero Ohio retail electric sales in 2017, it need not complete the remainder of this form.

I. Annual RPS Compliance Status Report (refer to Ohio Adm.Code 4901:1-40-05)

Note: Please complete Section I in its entirety and without redaction.

A. Baseline Determination

1. SELECT ONE: To determine its compliance baseline, is the Company proposing to use (a) the 3 year average method or (b) compliance year (2017) sales?

☐ (a) the 3 year average method ☐ (b) compliance year (2017) sales

2. 3 Year Average Calculation (Note: years with zero sales should be excluded from calculation of average)

Year	Annual Sales (MWHs)
2014	
2015	
2016	
Three Year Average	

This is to certify that the above information is an accurate and complete representation of the data and documents delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician Date Processed 4/26/18

3. Compliance year (2017) sales in MWHs:
4. Source of reported sales volumes:
5. For CRES Providers, if the reported sales volume(s) differs from that in the company's CRES Annual Report(s) filed with the Commission, provide an explanation below for the difference. Otherwise, indicate N/A.

B. Compliance Obligation for 2017

	Required Quantity	Retired Quantity	Tracking System(s)
Solar			
Non-Solar			

Note: multiply the proposed baseline by the statutory benchmarks to determine the Required Quantity, with the product rounded to the nearest whole number.

- C. If the Company had a compliance deficiency or compliance excess in a previous year(s) that was rolled forward, describe how that has been incorporated within this filing. Otherwise, indicate N/A.**

D. Complete and file Staff's compliance worksheet along with filing report.

- E. If the Company is proposing to pay an alternative compliance payment for all or part of its 2017 compliance obligation, enter that amount here: \$**
Pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901:1-40-08, the obligation is rounded up to the next MWh in the event of a compliance payment.



Public Utilities Commission

II. Annual RPS Compliance Planning Report (refer to Ohio Adm.Code 4901:1-40-03(C))

A. Projected (non-binding) baseline for the current and future calendar years.

Year	Baseline (MWHs)	Non-Solar Requirement	Solar Requirement
2018	2,160	135	6
2019	108,000	7,776	324
2020	216,000	17,626	735
2021	324,000	29,548	1,232
2022	432,000	43,546	1,814
2023	540,000	59,616	2,484
2024	648,000	77,760	3,240
2025	756,000	90,720	3,780
2026	864,000	103,680	4,320
2027	1,080,000	129,600	5,400

B. Describe the Company's supply portfolio projection, including both generation fleet and power purchases, for the 10 year planning horizon.

EnPowered plans to solely purchase RECs from the GATS marketplace at this time, the Company will notify PUCO if its plans change in the future.

C. Describe the methodology used by the Company to evaluate its compliance options.

EnPowered ensured that it would meet the minimum requirements, however it is quite possible that it will exceed these targets in the future depending on its customers' needs. That being said, the Company wanted to ensure it met the minimum requirements.

D. Describe any perceived impediments to achieving compliance with the required benchmarks, as well as suggestions for addressing any such impediments.

Given the policy that EnPowered intends to use to meet its compliance obligations, the primary potential impediment for the Company would be a lack of RECs available in the GATS market. In this case, EnPowered would need to find alternative markets to meet its requirements.

III. RPS Administration

Please describe any suggestions (non-legislative) the Company may have to make the administration of the Ohio RPS more effective and efficient. Additional communications, enhancements to the RPS webpage, etc.