

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric) Case No. 17-2275-EL-RDR
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)
Edison Company to Update the Tariff for)
Rider AER.)

**MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, "FirstEnergy") propose to increase the amount they charge customers under their Alternative Energy Riders ("Rider AER").¹ OCC is filing on behalf of FirstEnergy's 1.9 million residential utility customers. The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached memorandum in support.

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE WESTON (#0016973)
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Bryce McKenney

Christopher Healey, Counsel of Record
(#0086027)

Bryce McKenney (#0088203)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, Suite 700

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone [Healey]: (614) 466-9571

Telephone [McKenney]: (614) 466-9585

christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov

(Willing to accept service by e-mail)

bryce.mckenney@occ.ohio.gov

(Willing to accept service by e-mail)

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric) Case No. 17-2275-EL-RDR
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)
Edison Company to Update the Tariff for)
Rider AER.)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

In this case, FirstEnergy proposes to increase the rate that it charges customers for renewable energy under Rider AER. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the 1.9 million residential utility customers of FirstEnergy pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding that increases charges to customers for renewable energy. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and

- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of FirstEnergy in this case involving updating the tariff for Rider AER, which is paid by FirstEnergy's residential customers. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law, and such rates should be subject to refund if the electric utility overcollects from customers. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very

real and substantial interest in this case where FirstEnergy proposes to update its tariffs for Rider AER, which is paid by its residential customers.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B), which OCC already has addressed and which OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider “[t]he extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio (“Court”) confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.²

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC’s Motion to Intervene.

² See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE WESTON (#0016973)
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Bryce McKenney

Christopher Healey, Counsel of Record
(#0086027)

Bryce McKenney (#0088203)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, Suite 700

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone [Healey]: (614) 466-9571

Telephone [McKenney]: (614) 466-9585

christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov

(Willing to accept service by e-mail)

bryce.mckenney@occ.ohio.gov

(Willing to accept service by e-mail)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene* was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 15th day of March 2018.

/s/ Bryce McKenney

Bryce McKenney
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

William.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Attorney Examiners:

Megan.addison@puc.state.oh.us

Gregory.price@puc.state.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/15/2018 8:59:06 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-2275-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of McKenney, Bryce