

DELIVERED BY EMAIL

CRA No. D21573

March 12, 2018

Ms. Sharon Schroder
Director Regulatory Operations
The Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, OH 45432

Re: Notification of CBP Auction Results

Dear Ms. Schroder:

This is to inform you that we have confirmed the results of the Competitive Bidding Process Auction ("Auction") to procure supply for Standard Service Offer (SSO) customers of The Dayton Power and Light Company's ("DP&L" or "Company"). The Auction began on Monday, March 12, 2018 and concluded the same day.

There are three tables attached to this letter.

- Table 1 summarizes the results of the Auction.
- Table 2 shows, for each winning bidder, the number of tranches won for each contract in the auction.
- Table 3 provides the Auction Manager's assessment of the conduct of the auction.

In accordance with the Bidding Rules, winning bidders will be contacted directly by the Company to execute the Master SSO Supply Agreement no later than three (3) business days following the close of the auction.

Ms. Sharon Schroder March 12, 2018





Sincerely yours,

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Robert J. Lee Vice President

cc:

Asim Z. Haque, Chairman, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Daniel Conway, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Lawrence Friedeman, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio M. Beth Trombold, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Thomas W. Johnson, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Ray Strom, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Tamara Turkenton, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Eric Brown, The Dayton Power and Light Company Randall Griffin, The Dayton Power and Light Company Chuck Hofmann, The Dayton Power and Light Company Nathan Parke, The Dayton Power and Light Company Frank Mossburg, Bates White, LLC



Table 1. Summary of SSO Auction Results

		Delivery Period	
		June 1, 2018 to May 31, 2020	June 1, 2018 to May 31, 2021
Number of Registered Bidders	7		
Total initial eligibility of Registered Bidders (# tranches)			
Total initial eligibility divided by tranche target			
Number of bidders that submitted bids in round 1			
Number of tranches bid in round 1			
Number of tranches bid in round 1 divided by tranche target			
Number of tranches to procure in auction (tranche target)	32	16	16
Number of tranches procured in auction	32	16	16
Number of rounds in the auction	26		
Number of winning bidders	4	4	2
Starting price range (\$/MWh)		\$65.00-\$85.00	\$65.00-\$85.00
Starting price (\$/MWh)			
Clearing price (\$/MWh)		\$48.66	\$46.34

Ms. Sharon Schroder March 12, 2018

Page 4



Table 2. Winning Bidders and Tranches Won

	Number of Winning Tranches		
		Delivery Period	
Winning Bidder	Total	June 1, 2018 to May 31, 2020	June 1, 2018 to May 31, 2021
TOTAL	32	16	16

Ms. Sharon Schroder March 12, 2018





Table 3. Auction Manager's Assessment of the Conduct of the Auction

	Question	
1	Were the competitive bidding rules violated?	
2	Does the Auction Manager believe the auction was open, fair, transparent, and competitive?	
3	Did bidders have sufficient information to prepare for the auction?	
4	Was the information generally provided to bidders in accordance with the published timetable? Was the timetable updated appropriately as needed?	
5	Were there any issues and questions left unresolved prior to the auction that created material uncertainty for bidders?	
6	Were there any procedural problems or errors with the auction, including the electronic bidding process, the back-up bidding process, and communications between bidders and the Auction Manager?	
7	Were protocols for communication between bidders and the Auction Manager adhered to?	
8	Were there any hardware or software problems or errors, either with the auction system or with its associated communications systems?	
9	Were there any unanticipated delays during the auction?	
10	Did unanticipated delays appear to adversely affect bidding in the auction?	
11	Were appropriate data back-up procedures planned and carried out?	
12	Were any security breaches observed with the auction process?	

Ms. Sharon Schroder March 12, 2018





	Question	
13	Were protocols followed for communications among The Dayton Power and Light Company., the Auction Manager, the PUCO, and the PUCO's consultant during the auction?	
14	Were the protocols followed for decisions regarding changes in auction parameters (e.g., volume adjustments and price decrements)?	
15	Were the calculations (e.g., for price decrements or bidder eligibility) produced by the auction software double-checked or reproduced off-line by the Auction Manager?	
16	Was there evidence of confusion or misunderstanding on the part of bidders that delayed or impaired the auction?	
17	Were the communications between the Auction Manager and bidders timely and effective?	
18	Was there evidence that bidders felt unduly rushed during the process?	
19	Was there any evidence of collusion or improper coordination among bidders?	
20	Was there any evidence of anti-competitive behavior in the auction?	
21	Was information made public appropriately? Was confidential and sensitive information treated appropriately?	
22	Were there factors exogenous to the auction (e.g., changes in market environment) that materially affected the auction in unanticipated ways?	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/14/2018 10:09:41 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-0957-EL-UNC

Summary: Report - Notification of CBP Auction Results - Redacted Version electronically filed by Mr. Timothy W Benedict on behalf of PUCO Staff