BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of: :

Otis Stevens, Notice of

Apparent Violation and : Case No. 17-1676-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess
Forfeiture.

:

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Nick Walstra, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1:30 p.m. on Tuesday, February 13, 2018.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201

(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

_ _ _

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
            By Mr. William L. Wright,
            Section Chief
 3
            Public Utilities Section
 4
            Mr. Werner L. Margard,
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 7
            Mr. Otis Stevens
 8
                 On his own behalf.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| | | | | | 3 |
|----|--|--|------------|----------|---|
| 1 | | INDEX | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | Wit | ness | | Page | |
| 4 | | oper Eric Weinman | | | |
| 5 | | irect Examination by Mr. Margar xamination by Examiner Walstra | rd | 5 20 | |
| 6 | C | ross-Examination by Mr. Stevens | | 22 28 | |
| | | edirect Examination by Mr. Marq ecross-Examination by Mr. Steve | | 33 | |
| 7 | Rod | Moser | | | |
| 8 | D | irect Examination by Mr. Margar | | 38 | |
| 9 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Stevens Redirect Examination by Mr. Margard | | 4 4 4 8 | | |
| 10 | R | ecross-Examination by Mr. Steve | ens | 50 | |
| | | s Stevens | | | |
| 11 | Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Margard | | | 53 55 | |
| 12 | R | edirect Examination | | 59 | |
| 13 | R | ecross-Examination by Mr. Marga | ard | 60 | |
| 14 | Sta | ff Exhibit | Identified | Admitted | d |
| 15 | 1 | Driver/Vehicle Examination | 8 | 52 | |
| 16 | | Report | - | | |
| 17 | 2 | Photographs | 11 | 52 | |
| | 3 | 5/25/2017 Notice of Apparent | | | |
| 18 | | Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture | 41 | 52 | |
| 19 | 4 | 7/11/2017 Notice of | | | |
| 20 | 1 | Preliminary Determination | 41 | 52 | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Tuesday Afternoon Session,
February 13, 2018.

2.1

EXAMINER WALSTRA: The Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio has called for hearing at this

time and place Case No. 17-1676-TR-CVF being in the

Matter of Otis Stevens, Notice of Apparent Violation
and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

My name is Nick Walstra. I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear the case. We'll start by taking appearances from the parties. We'll start with the State.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the transportation staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General, William Wright, Section Chief, Public Utilities Section, by Assistant Attorney General Werner L. Margard, 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Sir, if you could give your name and address.

MR. STEVENS: Otis Stevens, address 420 West Liberty Street, Cincinnati, 45214.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. We'll go
off the record just for a second.

```
5
                 (Discussion off the record.)
 1
 2
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: We'll go back on the
 3
     record.
                 Mr. Margard, if you would like to call
 4
 5
     your first witness.
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. I
 6
 7
     would like to call Officer Eric Weinman, please.
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Please take a seat up
 8
9
     here.
10
                 (Witness sworn.)
11
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Please
12
     take a seat.
13
14
                      TROOPER ERIC WEINMAN
15
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
16
     examined and testified as follows:
17
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
    By Mr. Margard:
19
                 State your name, please.
            Ο.
20
            Α.
                 It's Trooper Eric Weinman.
2.1
                 Trooper Weinman, by whom are you
            Q.
22
     employed, please?
23
                 Ohio State Highway Patrol.
            Α.
24
                 Do you have a business address?
            Ο.
25
            Α.
                 It's the Wilmington Post, Rombach Avenue,
```

1 | Wilmington.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- Q. Thank you, sir. In what capacity are you employed at the Highway Patrol?
 - A. Commercial Motor Vehicle Trooper.
 - Q. And how long have you held that position?
 - A. Since June of '16.
- Q. Do you have any prior experience with the Highway Patrol?
 - A. Yes. Before that I was a Trooper with the Georgetown post for about 24 years.
 - Q. Thank you. Do you have any special certifications or trainings to permit you to conduct inspections of commercial vehicles?
 - A. Yes. I went through the North American Standards Class Part A, Part B. Part A is the driver paperwork portion. Part B is the inspection vehicle portion. And I've gone through the general hazmat and the cargo tank hazmat, passenger vehicle inspection portion of the training.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Were you on duty on May 21 23 of 2017?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And do you know what your assignment was that day?
- A. At that time I was in the area of 275 and

Kellogg Avenue which is down at the Ohio-Kentucky state line. And I was sitting next to the state line there observing traffic coming in from Kentucky.

- Q. And was your -- your job to select vehicles to inspect? What was your specific assignment?
- A. Yes, looking for violations. My main thing working down there is watching vehicles crossing the state lines that aren't displaying USDOT numbers.
- Q. I see. On that date did you have occasion to inspect a vehicle operated by a James Harvey for the Respondent Otis Stevens?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And, sir, before we go any further let me ask if you have an independent recollection of that inspection as you sit here today.
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. So you remember the inspection?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. You remember meeting Mr. Stevens?
- A. He -- yes, he came to the scene once the driver was stopped and turned out that he was under suspension. Mr. Stevens came and got the driver and the truck.

Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. Do you have before you what's been marked as Staff Exhibit No. 1?

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I will represent Staff Exhibit No. 1 is the Driver/Vehicle

EXAMINER WALSTRA: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Do you have that before you, sir?
- A. Yes, I do.

Inspection dated May 23, 2017.

2.1

- Q. Do you recognize that report?
- A. Yes. It looks -- it looks like the one I prepared the day of the stop.
 - Q. And does it accurately reflect -- and please take the time, whatever time you need, to review that. Does it accurately reflect your findings on that date?
 - A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. Thank you, sir. I am going to ask you a couple of questions about some of the things on this report. First of all, I am going to ask you about the inspection level at the top box, a level II. Can you briefly explain what a level II inspection is?
 - A. Level II is a combination driver inspection/vehicle inspection. We're -- not only do you inspect the registration, logbook if it's

- applicable, registration paperwork, medical card, driver's license, but you also do a walk-around the vehicle looking, checking tires, body condition, lights, pretty much everything except for getting on a creeper and getting under the vehicle and checking pushrod travel of the brakes.
- Q. Okay. And that's for determining whether there were motor carrier safety violations both on the part of the driver and on the part of the carrier of the vehicle itself, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Now, sir, you understand the issues before us today do not concern the driver. They only concern the vehicle and the carrier. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. I am next going to direct your attention to the location section on the top half of that page. Do you see that, sir?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And there it says that the location was the Cincinnati Horseshoe Casino. Is that where you were located?
- A. No. I was having an issue with the Aspen program which is the program that we use to generate

the report and that day and I believe the day before I had issues where it wouldn't let me enter a location. It would just pop up at the default locations which are permanent fixtures in the area like the Horseshoe Casino, King's Island, some rest areas, and that. And I could not get it to let me put in the location I was at. So I went ahead and chose that because, well, that's the closest thing to where I was.

2.1

And then at the time when I gave the driver his copy, I scratched out Cincinnati Horseshoe Casino and put the correct location was I-275, milepost 69. I informed the driver and the owner of the truck what had happened, why I did what I did, and then for our purposes up here I put it in my note section as to why that says that.

- Q. And, in fact, at the bottom of page 1 of Staff Exhibit 1, No. 1 in the inspection notes you -- you relate precisely what you've told us.
- A. Yes. And then since then I figured why it did what it did and how I can correct it so that next time this won't happen.
- Q. Very good. Thank you, sir. Let me direct your attention now, if you would, please, to the violations section. Do these similarly default?

What information do you put in here and what information is generated by the system?

2.1

- A. What it is is the violations that I find I can put down. Most generally I will put down by section number. Say, for instance, not having a DOT number displayed. I just put in 390.21(b), and it automatically populates the violation. And in some of them there's another box you can go to where you can put in more specific information. Like the tire tread depth less than 2/32s of an inch, I have got a drop down box where I can add stuff to it where I can tell you exactly what tire it was, where it was located, give more of a description on the violation.
- Q. So am I understanding correctly that you put in a violation code indicating which unit and it otherwise populates this, but you have an option of adding some additional information in that final column; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Thank you. Officer Weinman, did you ever happen to take photographs of the violations?
 - A. Yes, I did.

 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. Sir, do you have before you what's been marked as Staff Exhibit No. 2? It's a multi-page

packet of black and white photographs.

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And take a moment, if you would, please, and look through those and I would like you to tell me if, in fact, these were the photographs that you took at the time of your inspection.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. I am going to walk you through some of these violations with respect to the notices that were sent to Mr. Stevens, only a couple of them actually involve forfeitures but there were a number of other violations noted and I am going to start with the nonforfeiture violations, if you don't mind. And I am going to start with the 393.95A. This is the no/discharged/unsecured fire extinguisher. What did you find in noting that violation, please?
 - A. That the commercial vehicle did not have a fire extinguisher in the vehicle or on the vehicle per PUCO regulations.
 - Q. No fire extinguisher at all.
 - A. No.
- Q. The next violation on Staff Exhibit No. 1
 there is no/insufficient warning devices. What kind
 of devices are we talking about?

- A. Anything that would warn traffic that the commercial motor vehicle is disabled. It could be three cones, traffic cones, flares, or most commonly used by commercial motor vehicle operators is a rectangular red box that has three sets of triangles in it that they can put out behind the commercial motor vehicle to show that it's disabled.
- Q. And did the Respondent have any of those devices?
 - A. No, sir.

2.1

- Q. Thank you. The next -- and then there's a similar one a little further down dealing with a tread depth, the one on the left front and one on the right front; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And how did you determine that this was a violation?
- A. By looking at it I could tell that the tires were worn and that it needed to be checked further, so I have a tire gauge with me, and I'm able to put the tread depth gauge along the tire in various places and check the tread depth.
- Q. Now, you took photographs of the tires, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Can you illustrate to us what you found on the basis of any of these photographs? And if you would, if you refer to any of these, please refer to the page number just to keep the record clear.

2.1

- A. On the left front steer I found it had anywhere from 0/32s to 1/32s and a major tread groove was the outside. Steer tires are required to have at least 2/32s of an inch.
- Q. And that problem existed on both of the fronts.
- A. Right. The right one I had 1/32 tread right side groove. And the tires were located on the second, third, fourth, and fifth pages of the pictures.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. Now, the next violation is an inoperable required lamp and where is this lamp located on the vehicle?
- A. On the rear, on the rear of the vehicle there's three ID lights that are between the taillights. One of those was not working. And neither was the license plate light. I put those two violations together since they were all the rear of the vehicle.
- Q. And do any of the photographs accurately reflect that violation?

A. Page 7 and page 8 show the area. Of course, the lights weren't on at the time, but it does show the areas where those lights would be at.

2.1

EXAMINER WALSTRA: If I can interrupt, how do you test for those?

THE WITNESS: Just ask the driver to turn the lights on.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And then I'll tell him if I want left -- I give the driver hand signals so I don't have to yell at him and he try to hear me over traffic, and I point to the left, to the right. If I want the high beams, I tell him up. Low beams is down, four ways, and then if I push down with my hand, that's hit the brake.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So I have them leave the lights on as I do my walk-around so I can inspect all the lights.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. Sir, the next violation that you've noted is that the wheel and mudflaps were missing or defective. Can you explain to us what you found with respect to that violation?
- A. At the time that commercial motor vehicle

went by, the rear wheels were exposed. I believe the mudflaps were missing. That's why the vehicle was stopped. Upon further investigation the left mudflap was pinned up, and then the right one was missing.

- Q. And, sir, I will refer you again to the photographs and ask if any of these accurately reflect your findings with respect to that violation.
- A. Page 11 will show where the left one was pinned up. And then page 9 you can see where the right one is missing.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. At the very last violation noted here is wheel fasteners were loose and/or missing. And what were your findings with respect to that violation, sir?
- A. The right front steer axle has 10 lug nuts, and 1 of them -- 1 of the studs was missing the lug nut.
- Q. And do any of the photographs accurately represent that violation?
 - A. No. 13.

2.1

- Q. And we can see there pretty much right in the middle of the page there is a lug nut missing?
 - A. Right, about -- about 12 o'clock.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Now, I want to go back to the first two violations that you noted in this

section. The first one is that you noted that there was no USDOT number displayed on the vehicle. Where would that have been displayed?

2.1

I've seen them put them. I look for them on the left side of the vehicle or the right side of the vehicle depending which side of the highway I'm on. They can be anywhere on the fender. I've seen them on the fender. I've seen them displayed on the door. I've seen them displayed on the bed. So sometimes you've got to look for them, and sometimes I'll stop them and realize they do have one. But going by it's hard to catch.

I didn't see one on this vehicle looking at all the markings on it and I stopped it and at that time discovered that there was no USDOT number displayed anywhere on the vehicle and upon checking the system found that the company did not have one.

- Q. And why is that important in your inspection?
- A. Any -- any vehicle, commercial motor vehicle, crossing state lines in interstate commerce over 10,001 gross vehicle weight rating is required to have a USDOT number. This vehicle was marked Speedy Maintenance Service indicating to me a

- construction-type company which would be required to have a USDOT number crossing state lines and did not see one displayed.
- You mentioned a gross vehicle weight. Ο. Let me direct your attention to the vehicle 6 identification portion of the Staff Exhibit No. 1. I think it's also indicated in the photographs but can you tell me what that rating was?
 - Α. 16,500.
- 10 Q. So this would have exceeded the 10,000 11 figure.
- 12 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

13

14

- Q. Okay. Now, the critical question, however, is that that's only relevant if this vehicle is crossing state lines; is that correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Q. Sir, did you observe this vehicle cross 18 the state line?
- 19 Yes, I did. Α.
- 20 Q. And can you tell us which direction it 2.1 was coming? Where it was coming? Where it was 2.2 headed? What do you recall?
- 23 It was coming out of Kentucky at that --Α. 24 at that point 275 where it makes the loop is 25 considered east and west, but I call it north and

south because if it's coming out of Kentucky, I call it northbound, and if it's going into Kentucky, I call it southbound even though per ODOT it would be westbound going into Kentucky and eastbound, but I did observe the vehicle coming toward the north out of Kentucky into Ohio.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And did you have a conversation with the driver with respect to where he was coming from or where he was headed?
- A. He was coming from Cincinnati, Ohio, and he was headed to Batavia and he told me that he came into Kentucky via Interstate 471 into Kentucky to 275 back into Ohio which a lot of commercial motor vehicles use that way because it's a lot quicker and easier going the interstate around Cincinnati than it is trying to go down past Paul Brown Stadium and Great American Ballpark and that way back to 275.
- Q. Very good. Is there anything else about the inspection, anything about your report that you think the Commission needs to know that we haven't discussed?
- A. The driver handed me an Ohio

 Identification Card with the green banner. To me

 that was a red flag because an identification card is

 generally a person gets one of those for

- identification that does not have a driver's license. Upon running the driver he was suspended for failure to pay a reinstatement fee at which -- at that time I wrote him a traffic citation and cited him into Hamilton County Municipal Court.
- Q. So just to be clear, we had an unlicensed driver operating a commercial motor vehicle across state lines without DOT registration.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- MR. MARGARD: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions for this witness. Thank you, your Honor.
 - EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

By Examiner Walstra:

2.1

- Q. I have a few questions. How far north of the border were you stationed?
- A. At that -- I was sitting about half a mile north which at that point in time I'm covering the exit -- or the entrance ramp from Kellogg Avenue, so at that point in time, I know the driver came across the state line plus I observed it. The actual stop took place probably about 3 miles north, and I do that for safety because once you get past the New

Richmond exit where U.S. 52 comes off 275, it narrows into two lanes and you go through a valley and it's not really safe. So I wait until I get to Five Mile Road which is about the 69 to where I have a good shoulder. It's nice and straight. People can see my lights. We began a third lane so that people have an extra lane to move over like they're supposed to for flashing lights. So I followed him a bit to where I could get to a safe location to stop him.

- Q. Okay. From where you are stationed are you able to see essentially the border?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Are there any exits or onramps between the border and where you are stationed?
- A. No. I was sitting right there at the first -- last exit and the first entrance.
- Q. Okay. And I think you said earlier in your testimony that that's one of the main things you are actually looking for is the USDOT numbers?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But did you also say that's not the main reason why you pulled him over?
- A. I saw that and then the -- saw that he also had no mudflaps. That also drew my attention to him.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Okay. Mr. Stevens, do you have any questions?

MR. STEVENS: Yeah, just a couple.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Stevens:

- Q. I'm real ignorant to this. I know you said earlier you -- you know North American training. You said the first part of the training was dealing with paperwork. Did they ever say anything about the paperwork not being accurate at the location? I mean, how important is paperwork being right?
- A. Well, the paperwork it's very important to be right, and like I had stated before that I had an issue with the program, that it shaded the location, and I had no way of putting the location I was at, that it would only let me put in a fixed location or what's already stored in the computer for various fixed locations in the State of Ohio.

At that time I corrected your copy by hand changing the location, and then for the purposes up here I did put those in my notes and at that -- since then I found out why the computer does what it does and how I can correct it next time, so I don't have to do it that way and that way it won't be an

issue again.

2.1

- Q. How far is that Horseshoe Casino from where the stop was?
- A. It's probably, I don't know, 3, 4 miles. It's down -- down by the Hamilton County Jail. It's now called Jack Casino which they haven't updated the list here, but it's right across from the Hamilton County Sheriff's Office or the Jail. And, like I said earlier, I chose that location because it would not let me use any other locations and that was the closest to where we were.
- Q. What is the distance of the bridge? I mean, what's the span of the bridge that is going from 275 across over in Kentucky? Because I'm thinking as long as -- it's further than 3 miles, maybe like 7, 8 miles, maybe 10 from --
 - A. How long --
- Q. -- from where we were stopped at versus the Horseshoe Casino. It's quite a distance.
 - A. How long is the bridge?
- Q. Yeah, that span.
- A. I couldn't tell you how long the bridge
 is. I've never measured. It's probably -- the Ohio
 River has probably got to be half a mile wide or
 more.

- Q. Yeah. And the other distance over from Kellogg so I don't know. I mean, I think it's longer than 3 miles. I think it's more like 7 miles. It's pretty far from the Horseshoe, from Jack's Casino, which is on -- that would be Reading Road and Eggleston just drops down. That's quite a distance. That's like downtown Cincinnati almost.
- A. Yeah. I was going -- I was going from 275 and Kellogg.
 - Q. Yeah.

2.1

- A. And then, of course, the 69, you're probably -- that's probably about 3 or 4 miles north of where I was sitting. Like I said, you know, I followed your driver a good bit to where we had a safe place to make the stop and better visibility, better places to pull off.
- Q. You followed him about 2 miles before you pulled him over, something like that?
 - A. Yeah, 2, 3, miles.
- Q. Followed him pretty far. I mean, that's quite -- that's -- you know, for the paperwork to be wrong I don't know what that does, but I just know paperwork is important and this is wrong period, wrong. Now, the -- the -- and what kicks in the inspection it's the DOT -- is it because you go over

state lines kicks in those other -- this other list on Exhibit -- Exhibit 2 here all these violations here? I mean, the photos, that goes with the Exhibit 3 that outlines the violations.

2.1

2.2

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, Exhibit 3 will be introduced with the next witness, and it lists the same violations that are on Exhibit 1. I provided Mr. Stevens all the exhibits in advance. If you'll look at Exhibit 1, which is the report, those are the violations that he was referring to so that's probably the better document to use.

MR. STEVENS: On Exhibit 1.

MR. MARGARD: Right.

MR. STEVENS: Okay.

MR. MARGARD: The inspection report.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Is your main question why he did a level II walk-around inspection?

MR. STEVENS: Correct, correct. Yeah, it was not for mudflaps.

- Q. And what kicks in the level II inspection was by not having the DOT number, correct?
- A. The level II came about for not having mudflaps because that's not really a driver violation. The USDOT number I've cited that many times by using just the driver only inspection

because that is part of the paperwork that you are required to have crossing state lines. Observing the mudflaps, the condition of the tires, you know, when I was up making contact with the driver, that's when I decided to do a level II to look at the vehicle a little bit closer than just a driver only inspection.

MR. STEVENS: Can I enter this certified statement from James Harvey of what occurred signed?

Is it okay? I guess I don't know what exhibit this

EXAMINER WALSTRA: That would be Respondent Exhibit 1.

would be but. What should I call it?

2.1

MR. STEVENS: Okay.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I am going to object to this exhibit. This is the statement of the driver. The driver is a witness. He could have been here. This is a hearsay statement.

MR. STEVENS: It's notarized and certified by a notary in the State of Ohio.

MR. MARGARD: And I have no opportunity to confront this witness, your Honor.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Yeah. Unfortunately, Mr. Stevens, I am going to have to sustain the objection. He is a witness that could have been here. I understand it's been notarized, but it

deprives staff the chance to cross-examine the witness and cross-examine statements that he has -- that he's made.

MR. STEVENS: Okay.

2.1

Q. (By Mr. Stevens) Officer Weinman, Trooper Weinman, you said that when you stopped the vehicle from -- stopped the vehicle originally, you noticed it did not have the USDOT number, right? Or was it mudflaps? Or was he coming across the bridge? Were you sitting on Kellogg? I mean, so many -- it's a lot of questions, you know. My -- what -- when I talked with my employee, right?

MR. STEVENS: Can I say that? When I talked to my employee, he says that -- that -- well, that's hearsay too, isn't it?

MR. MARGARD: It would be.

MR. STEVENS: I guess. I don't know.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: You can ask --

MR. STEVENS: I guess I would say on the fact that this is wrong. That's the wrong location. He wasn't stopped there at the Horseshoe Casino. Be it typo, righto, whatever. Not right. I rest, I guess. Whatever that is.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: You're good. Thank
you.

Do you have any redirect?

MR. MARGARD: Just to make sure I've got the record clear, your Honor.

_ _ -

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Margard:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

- Q. The position of your vehicle, a vehicle passing you would have only come onto the freeway from the Kellogg entrance ramp or across the bridge from Kentucky.
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Did this vehicle come across the bridge from Kentucky?
 - A. Yes. And it did not come up the ramp from Kellogg because that's why I sit there so people can't tell me, "Well, I came up Kellogg." I can say, "No, you didn't because I seen you."
 - Q. And you were stationary, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And the vehicle would have been passing you at freeway speed.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's when you observed the mudflaps
 were missing so that would have been from behind the
 vehicle.

A. That -- where I sit I can observe the side of the vehicle too, whether I sit on the edge of the interstate or if I sit in the median. That way I can also observe either side of the commercial vehicle.

2.1

- Q. But you didn't stop the vehicle immediately as it -- as it passed you. You had to get on the road. You waited until you reached a safe place, and then you pulled the vehicle over; is that correct?
- A. Right. Because I had to accelerate, catch the vehicle, work my way through traffic, and then once we get into the two lane where U.S. 52 splits off to New Richmond. It turns into two lanes, and it gets very narrow for about a mile or so as it goes through a little valley. Then once you get to the Five Mile Road, about milepost 69, that's where it opens back up, gets straight. We began a third lane because in those curves, I used to stop people in this and what I found out when people come out of these curves, it's narrow because there's guardrail. We can't get off the road as far and people come out of the curve and see me and they are all trying to change lanes and that's why I just wait until we get to where it's straight. There's no guardrail. We

can get off further over in the grass and there's a third lane that people can change lanes and get over away from us.

- Q. So your -- the reason why you were stopped as far away from the bridge as you were was for safety, both yourself and for the driver of the truck, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And has nothing to do at all with the casino, right?
- A. No, no. Like I said before, it was an error in the program I had. I had it for about two days. It would not let me enter anything except from a list -- default list of fixed objects, locations. I notified my supervisor, Jack Goings, as to what happened, I did -- why I did what I did and I learned how to -- how to fix it next time that happened.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. How to get in the program and fix it.
- Q. We've also talked some about the gross vehicle weight, correct? Do you have some familiarity with what size truck is 10,000?
- A. Generally from my experience it's usually anything with dual rear wheels, generally get into like the Ford F350s that are dualies, like a Chevy

3500, anything like that you're looking toward 10,001 or more. I have had a couple that are manufactured at 10,000 or 9,999 and you stop them, you look at the gross vehicle weight rating, and it's like catching a fish that's too small. You just throw it back in the water and go find another one.

2.1

- Q. But based on your experience, you had reason to believe this vehicle was at least 10,000.
- A. Yes, yes, being -- I believe it was a -that was a Ford, I believe it was at least an F350,
 and just my experience with stopping these kind of
 dump body landscaping trucks. They're generally
 around the 13,000 plus range, so I was fairly
 confident that this vehicle would be over 10,001.
 And the couple that I have stopped that weren't, I
 just explain to the driver how the law works, sorry
 for his inconvenience, and you let him go.
- Q. All right. Thank you, sir. Now, we also talked a little about the level of the inspection.

 When you stop a vehicle to inspect it, what determines what level of inspection you conduct, or is that entirely within your discretion?
- A. That can be with my discretion. A lot of times a driver only inspection gets the job done depending on the violation I have, and if I stop them

for traffic violations or if I run the USDOT number and they have a high safety rating, a lot of times a driver only inspection will -- is warranted. You get into obvious vehicle violations are where you see damaged tires, no mudflaps, lights that aren't working, then that would warrant a level II, and also for the scale we're discouraged from doing level III. They want at least level IIs when you are working at the scale.

- Q. And you noted the mudflaps was obvious to you as the truck passed you.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Could you have found violation of the mudflaps without having conducted a full level II inspection?
- A. Oh, yes. I could have stopped him under state law 5577.11 and issued a traffic ticket or a warning at that time because that's what I did as a trooper at the post level before I got my DOT certification. I would stop them, and I would have the option of writing the driver a ticket or issuing him a warning based on, you know, the, you know, stop.
- Q. But with your certification it's your testimony today that it was appropriate for you to

conduct a level II inspection.

A. Yes.

2.1

MR. MARGARD: I have nothing further.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. If the system was operating the way you expected it, what would the location have shown up?

THE WITNESS: The location, if I put it in the way I wanted to, it would have been IR 275 which stands for Interstate Route 275, and the milepost would have been 69.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Okay. Do you have any further questions, Mr. Stevens, just to the questions -- just to the answers he gave here in the second segment?

MR. STEVENS: Yes, yes, yeah.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Stevens:

- Q. You said your system -- when you ran your system to check to see if Speedy Maintenance the company had a DOT number, your system was functioning well at that point, right, to see if the state --
- A. Yeah. The only issue I had was the Aspen program and that's the program --
- Q. But your system was working good when you

ran it though for me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. It generates this report. The system that I ran not only Speedy Maintenance through but your name, sir, is called the ISS System which is the --
- Q. I got you. At what point -MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, if the witness
 could be permitted to complete his answer.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Yeah. Please finish.
THE WITNESS: Okay.

The Aspen program which would not Α. Yeah. let me put in the correct location, all that does is that just generates this report here. The system that I run Speedy Maintenance through or Otis Stevens in order to find a USDOT number, see if there is one registered to either you or the company, is called the Inspection Selection System. And that's a separate -- that's a separate icon on my computer, a separate program, and I bring it up and I just put in your name, nothing popped up. I put in Speedy Maintenance Service, nothing popped up. So that told me that there was no DOT number to either company because you have to look because sometimes I've seen it to where the company has one say Speedy Maintenance, but then sometimes the owner of the

company will get a USDOT number in his name doing business as whatever the company is, so I checked both. I didn't find one. I cited the violation.

- Q. Did you do that while you were following the vehicle for the 2 miles?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. Did you do it after you stopped?
- A. I did that after the stop.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Because it's kind of unsafe to do all that typing while you are trying to drive. I have -- I have ran DOT numbers, got up beside a truck, run the DOT number, high safety rating you pull them over but that's only a few numbers. When you are trying to type in a company name plus at that time I don't know what other names the company would be under until I stop the vehicle, investigate a little further, and know what I'm looking for.
- Q. How many -- how many other vehicles did you stop that day and was your system back working then? How long did it take for you to get the system to work, the Aspen system?
- A. At that -- at that time I believe I had at least one other inspection with a default location, and then after contacting my supervisor,

because it didn't do it every inspection, I run a couple of inspections, and yours was one of them where it shaded out the inspection location. And it would not let me enter any location but one from a default list, and then the rest I think I probably did a total of five or six inspections that day. The other four turned out fine just like the day before I had a couple where it did the same thing to me, but then all the other inspections turned out okay as far as location.

2.1

Since then I've learned how to -- when that box is shaded how to navigate through Aspen to get the shading to go away to where I can enter the appropriate location.

- Q. You also said that you were able to determine weight of the vehicle by looking at it.

 And you stated that you let a couple ones go that didn't meet the weight requirement for them to be required to have USDOT registered. And was my truck loaded or unloaded?
- A. At that time I -- I believe -- I believe it was unloaded. But at the time whether it's loaded, looking at the pictures here unless there is something in the bed, I don't remember it having a load. But whether it's loaded or not makes no

difference. It goes by the gross vehicle weight rating by the manufacturer, either the manufacturer of the body -- chassis which would be Ford, Chevy. Sometimes you'll find two gross vehicle weight ratings where the manufacturer of the particular body, dump bed, utility body will put their own gross vehicle weight rating, and it matches what the one by the manufacturer of the chassis, so I went by the sticker on the door and that's how I came up with the 16,500.

2.1

- Q. But your original looking at that time you saw that it was over basically.
- A. My experience told me that it would be over. A lot -- some vehicles are purposely made by the manufacturer at 10,001 or less, and it looks just like one that could be over because they do that so people don't -- so that they fall under the USDOT regulations just like a vehicle could be under CDL level or over CDL level. They are manufactured that way to suit different -- different buyers and different users.
- Q. But you couldn't determine that by just driving up on it, right?
- A. No. You have to -- my experience would let me know that, yes, this vehicle is going to be

over, but to know exactly what it is, you don't know 1 2 that until you get it stopped. The plate, there's a weight sticker on the license plate to whatever you 3 register that for. Gives me a general idea but to 4 5 get the most accurate would be the door sticker from the manufacturer that's located on the driver's side 6 7 inside the door. 8 MR. STEVENS: Thank you, Trooper. I have 9 no further questions. 10 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. You're all 11 set. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 13 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, we would call 14 Mr. Rod Moser. 15 (Witness sworn.) 16 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Please take a seat. 17 18 ROD MOSER 19

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 By Mr. Margard:

20

2.1

- Q. State your name, please, sir.
- A. My name is Rod Moser.
- Q. And by whom you are employed, sir?

- A. I'm employed by the Public Utilities
 Commission of Ohio.
 - Q. And your business address, please?
- A. 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
 - Q. And in what capacity are you employed by the Public Utilities Commission?
 - A. I'm the Chief of the Compliance Section within the Transportation Department.
 - Q. Sir, would you please briefly describe your relevant job experience.
 - A. Sure. Job experience?
- 13 Q. Please.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. Okay. I have been with the Public Utilities Commission for approximately 11 months in this capacity. Prior to that I spent nearly 30 years with the Highway Patrol, 25 of it as a supervisor in some capacity, primarily in commercial enforcement.
- Q. Sir, are you also certified to conduct commercial vehicle inspections?
- A. I'm certified North American Standard A and B general, hazmat, and motor coach.
- Q. And have you, in fact, conducted such inspections?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

Q. Thank you, sir. Would you please describe for us how a civil forfeiture is calculated for violations of the motor carrier safety violation -- regulations.

2.1

- A. I'm sorry. Can you say it again?
- Q. Sure. Would you please explain to us how a civil forfeiture is calculated for violations of motor carrier safety regulations.
- A. Sure. All the violations can be cited or divided into four -- sorry, five different groups, group 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4. Group 0 violations are violations that are generally speaking relatively minor and do not carry a fine at all. Groups 1, 2, and 3 are more serious, and they carry a fine if they are an out-of-service violation. And then group 4 is a more serious violation that always carries a fine, and those fines vary depending on the violation.
- Q. Now, is there some kind of a schedule in terms of how you decide what violations get fines?
- A. Yes, there is. We have a schedule that was originally based upon the recommendations from a group called the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance.
- Q. And the fine schedule that is currently used by the Commission, are those recommended fines consistent with those recommended by the Commercial

Vehicle Safety Alliance?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And is the procedure that you've described consistent with respect to all forfeitures, all violations?
- A. Yeah. It's -- it's an automated system, so each -- each instance is treated the same as all other instances. The fines are applied evenly.
- Q. Now, prior to testifying today, did you have an opportunity to review the Commission's files with respect to this inspection?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. You had an opportunity to review the Vehicle Examination Report?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. Do you have before you what's been marked as Staff Exhibits 3 and 4?
- 19 A. I do, yes.
 - MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I'll represent that Staff Exhibit No. 3 is a Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture dated May 25 of 2017, and the Staff Exhibit No. 4 is a Notice of Preliminary Determination dated July 11, 2017.
 - Q. Sir, are these documents that are

maintained as part of the Commission's file with respect to this inspection?

- A. Yes, sir, they are.
- Q. And what is the purpose of these and what do they show?

The Exhibit No. 3, the -- what we

- commonly refer to as an NIF letter is a Notice of
 Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.
 That is the first letter that will go out to a
 Respondent to whom we intend to assess a fine. The
 letter details the violations that were found and the
 amount of the forfeiture assigned to each violation.
- Q. And what -- what does a -- it shows \$100 fine for the failure to display a USDOT number, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And that is a level 4 violation?
- 18 A. A group 4.
- Q. Group 4, sorry. And also the failure to have a USDOT registration is also a group 4 violation, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Showing a forfeiture amount of \$500.
- A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. For a total forfeiture of \$600?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. And in your opinion have these forfeiture amounts been accurately and reasonably determined?
 - A. They have been.
- Q. Thank you, sir. And in your opinion has the Respondent received all of the notices that he is required to receive?
 - A. Yes, sir, he has.
- Q. Let me ask you further, sir, if you've had an opportunity prior to the hearing today to do any other investigation of the Speedy Maintenance Service Company.
 - A. I did, yes, sir.
- Q. And did you ascertain whether the company has subsequent to this violation since registered with the USDOT?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, they have not. I searched the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration portal which allows you to search by name or doing business as, whatever -- whatever you want to put in there basically. I did try by name. I tried by the license plate to see if there had been any other inspections with that same vehicle. There have not been. I was not able to find any DOT information for either Speedy Maintenance or Otis

Stevens.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

2 MR. MARGARD: Very good. Thank you, sir.

I have no further questions of this witness.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Any questions, Mr. Stevens?

MR. STEVENS: Yes.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Stevens:

- Q. What state is Speedy Maintenance registered in?
- A. Well, I couldn't -- I checked through the

 Secretary of State's website here in Ohio, and I

 didn't find it there either. I didn't find any

 registration information for Speedy Maintenance.
 - Q. Okay. But I'll testify to that where we're registered at. So you didn't find any --
 - A. I didn't find anything.
 - Q. Do you also know if Speedy Maintenance
 Service left -- the vehicles had left out of the
 state to do any work in Kentucky, Indiana, you know,
 anywhere outside Ohio? Do you know that?
 - A. I don't have any personal knowledge of that.
- Q. Is the vehicle registered in the State of

Ohio?

2.1

2.2

- A. Yes, uh-huh. It was at the time of this inspection.
- Q. At the time you looked -- yeah. Since you went back and checked all the -- you know, see have we gotten a DOT sticker at that time, was the vehicle still registered in the State of Ohio?
- A. I don't know that. I don't have access to LEADS information, Law Enforcement Automated Data System. What I can do with the pictures that were given, the information on the inspection report, it showed it as an Ohio plate, and I ran that plate through the federal portal just to see if there was any other inspections based upon that plate. And I didn't find any.
- Q. There was none. The -- but you equally don't know we have -- we have left -- we have -- how does the law -- I don't -- can you tell me, enlighten me how the law is written with regards to vehicles traveling out of the state jurisdiction what happens? I mean, what's -- how you have to have a USDOT sticker.
- A. If you are acting in interstate commerce, you qualify as a commercial motor vehicle, then, yes, you have to have a DOT number.

- Q. Or as you know, we haven't left the state as far as you know.
 - A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
- Q. That's the question, have you seen -- did you see us leave the State of Ohio? Because -- in other words, that law doesn't apply unless we leave to have interstate commerce outside the state, right?
- A. Well, you have to understand the definition of interstate commerce. So you don't necessarily have to cross a state line for this to apply.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. You don't necessarily have to have physically crossed the state line. If you are handling goods that are -- maybe originated in Indiana, they came into Ohio by another carrier, you pick them up in Cincinnati and take them to Cleveland, you are still acting in interstate commerce, and you are still required to have a DOT number.
- Q. Do you know if Speedy Maintenance or myself have done that?
 - A. I don't know, sir.
- Q. Okay. One other thing, I don't know, I don't know your business, but if you have incorrect

information on a -- on a -- on some -- some document or manifesto, how does -- would that affect the manifesto as far as being accurate?

2.1

- A. Well, I don't really know. That would be up to a court to interpret whether or not they would want to accept it. As far as it coming into my possession, we would question why, you know, if we have something immediately recognizable, we would question what happened. In this case the trooper put a pretty good explanation in there about it.
- Q. Yeah. I understand. I understand that.

 I mean, I get you understand the trooper did this.

 I'm just saying how -- what happens when it

 becomes -- what nullifies if you change it, if you

 alter it? If you had a paper and you -- Ohio Public

 Utilities -- what's your title again? I'm sorry,

 Ron.
 - A. The Chief of Compliance.
 - Q. Chief of Compliance, okay. So you kind of know that some paperwork can come before you that is scratched out and rewritten, would you accept that with an explanation?
 - A. Yeah, I would.
 - Q. Well, would it be --
- A. I mean, we would want to know why and

- hopefully correct it for the future, but as long as there is an explanation, yes.
- Q. Okay. But would it be like legal; in other words, some documents that are -- couldn't do that so you couldn't have a white out or scratch out.
- A. I don't know the answer to that.
- 7 MR. STEVENS: All right. That's all.

8 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

9 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

10 Any redirect?

- MR. MARGARD: Yeah, just a couple of
- 12 questions. Thank you.
- 13
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 By Mr. Margard:
- Q. Sir, I understand you are not an
- 17 | attorney, correct?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. But your understanding of the regulations
- 20 is based on your years of experience and your
- 21 training with respect to motor carrier safety
- 22 regulations, correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you testified as to what constitutes
- 25 interstate commerce. And you've been present during

the hearing today, correct?

2.1

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The description that Officer Weinman gave of vehicles essentially cutting across the state of Kentucky to get from one point in Ohio to another more quickly, would that in your opinion constitute interstate commerce?
- A. Yes, it does and, in fact, that was a specific example given during our training going from one state, through a state, back to the original state is indeed interstate commerce.
- Q. And while you testified that you don't necessarily have to cross the state border in order to be engaging in interstate commerce, if you were to cross a state border, would that, in fact, be engaging in interstate commerce?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You were also asked a number of questions about the accuracy of the documentations presented to -- to the Commission. In your review of Staff Exhibit No. 1, does that accurately reflect based on the testimony that you've heard today the violations that were observed in this instance?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 25 MR. MARGARD: That's all I have. Thank

you.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Any questions to his answers that he just gave?

MR. STEVENS: Yes, yes.

2.1

2.2

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Stevens:

- Q. Mr. Moser, how many times have you went to court with documents -- documentation like this?
- A. Actually one time in my own personal experience, not with the Public Utilities Commission but with the Highway Patrol where I actually had a wrong location on a citation. I explained it to the judge and he allowed me to make a change and he accepted it.
- Q. Okay. But with your official title have you been to court with it?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Also I lose my train of thought sometimes. Bear with me. I build buildings. I'm like call me up and I'll build you a building.

 That's what I do. But the prosecutor -- he asked a question. I think it was something like does -- what constitutes interstate commerce, right? And your definition was things that come from another state

that is picked up in Ohio and traveled to another state, right? That's what he asked, correct?

2.1

- A. It doesn't necessarily have to be picked up in Ohio, just something that originates outside of Ohio and comes through Ohio, crossing a state line someplace, could be multiple carriers handling it along the way, but the good or service crossed the state line.
- Q. And would you look at the photo right here? One of these photos on Exhibit No. 2 -
 MR. MARGARD: May I approach, your Honor?

 EXAMINER WALSTRA: You may.
- MR. MARGARD: He doesn't have those. Go ahead.
- Q. Oh, page No. -- page No. 7, it doesn't appear in this photo we have a load, carrying anything that would be by definition interstate commerce, right?
- A. The truck is being used in the furtherance of a commercial enterprise.
- Q. But I am -- it doesn't seem to be carrying any interstate commerce like carrying supplies.
- A. I can't really tell what's in it, but the truck is being used.

```
Based on the testimony of the Officer
 1
            Q.
 2
     Eric Weinman, Trooper Eric Weinman, he said that
     there was no load on it, correct?
 3
            Α.
                 I think he said he didn't think there was
 4
 5
    but, yeah.
 6
                 Right. So there is no load on it. Okay.
            Q.
 7
     And you didn't actually see me, or Otis Stevens,
     Speedy Maintenance carrying nothing, doing no
 8
9
     interstate commerce, right?
10
            Α.
                I didn't.
11
                 MR. STEVENS: Right. That's all.
12
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. You're all
13
     set. Thank you.
14
                 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no
15
     further witnesses and would respectfully request
     admission of Staff Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4.
16
17
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. They will
18
    be admitted.
19
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
20
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Mr. Stevens, if you
2.1
     would like to take the stand and give testimony.
22
                 MR. STEVENS: Yes.
23
                 (Witness sworn.)
24
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.
25
```

OTIS STEVENS

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

2.1

2.2

DIRECT EXAMINATION

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Now, you can give a narrative of how you see things and how you understand things, and then they will have a chance to cross-examine you after that. So whenever you're ready. If you have questions, go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. One question you can't say like swear to God? You don't say that any more, you know, swear to tell nothing but the truth?

EXAMINER WALSTRA: We have some

discretion there.

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. All right. I don't actually know where to start. I got a call that the James Harvey individual that the citation was written against driving without a license got stopped -- I got a call that I needed to hurry up and get over there, State Route 32, because the trooper had stopped the truck and that he had some issues with his -- his driving privileges.

I got to the scene. I saw Trooper
Weinman over at top -- you know, the trooper there
and we started talking about he -- that's when he

asked me some questions. I said, "Well, you know, I don't -- I don't know those answers" and he asked James Harvey those questions and he answered the questions. We were very cooperative. We were trying to understand what it is that we needed to do in order to rectify and deal with the situation.

2.1

It -- it appeared to me that some of the questions that were being answered was kind of like loaded questions, you know, if you say this wrong, then it's this. You say that wrong, then it's that. But nevertheless we answered them, and we received a citation. The first thing that I noticed was, you know, there was some issues going on with, you know, where -- you know, what -- exactly what -- what was required from the US -- from the DOT perspective and where -- where my guy's coming from. That was the question. And -- and I have -- I know I can't use this statement. I guess I can say it, right?

THE WITNESS: You'll object? Okay.

Okay. Well, my -- well, after my investigation at my office and my talking with James Harvey, you can't object to that because he is on the citation, James Harvey, I was talking with James Harvey and going over everything we found in my office, that James

MR. MARGARD: You can try. I'll object.

Harvey hadn't violated the USDOT law statute, that he was in Ohio, that he never went to Kentucky.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I'll object and move to strike on the basis of hearsay.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: I'll allow it. The Commission will give it the weight it deserves. You can go ahead.

8 THE WITNESS: That's about it. I guess
9 that's it. That's all I have, your Honor. That's
10 all I have.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Are you contesting all the violations?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 EXAMINER WALSTRA: All the carrier

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: You may cross whenever you're ready.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.

20

21 CROSS-EXAMINATION

22 By Mr. Margard:

violations?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

23

24

25

Q. First of all, Mr. Stevens, you weren't present during the inspection, correct? You came after the inspection was conducted? You came at some

point after Mr. Harvey was stopped and the inspection was being conducted, correct?

- A. Not entirely.
- Q. What portion of the inspection did you observe?
- A. The first -- I think we were looking for the load -- the weight load of the truck. We were looking for the weight load.
- Q. Had Mr. Harvey been issued his copy of the inspection report at the time you had arrived?
- A. I can't really recall.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, Mr. Harvey did not have a valid operating license; is that correct?
- 14 A. That is correct.
 - Q. Were you aware of that when you permitted him to operate this vehicle?
- 17 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

19

- Q. You conducted no inquiry to determine whether your driver was licensed to drive the truck?
 - A. Yeah, verbally, sure, I asked him.
- Q. And did he tell you that he had a license?
- A. He wouldn't have been driving, that's correct.
- Q. All right. So Mr. Harvey was untruthful

to you.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- A. I'll say per se, yeah.
- Q. Yes. Now, with respect to the vehicle weight, you have before you the photographs, correct?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And if you turn to page 2, that is, in fact, the plate that's on that vehicle, is it not?
 - A. That's the sticker that's on the door, yes, yes.
- Q. Okay. And what does it indicate the gross vehicle weight rating to be?
 - A. It says, that's correct, 16 thousand 5.
- 13 Q. 16,500, correct?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Okay. And you heard Mr. Moser testify that he was unable to find a USDOT registration for you; is that correct? You have not registered with the USDOT; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct. I don't -- I don't drive out -- all our work is in Ohio. We are in Ohio. We don't pick up any interstate commerce and carry from a state to another location. We do local work.
- Q. Do you have any means of monitoring where your drivers drive?

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. And what means are those, sir?
- A. Those means is daily log of where they need to go. They pick up things and bring them back or take and drop a crew off, yes, I know every location of every project that I have going. None of them are in the State of Ohio -- I mean State of Kentucky or Indiana.
- Q. And you're confident that your drivers accurately complete those reports; is that correct? That's your belief?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Even if they lie to you about whether they are licensed to operate a vehicle.
- A. Yeah, because they kind of like don't want to put -- they don't want to be responsible for the fuel in the truck, you know.
- MR. MARGARD: I have no further questions. Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.
- Mr. Stevens, do you have anything to
 follow up to their questions you were just asked?

 THE WITNESS: Yes.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2.1

THE WITNESS: Most -- well, James Harvey, he has been a -- an outstanding employee. He has since went and has gotten his license situation straightened out so he has -- he met all the State of Ohio requirements for having a vehicle license. He has -- he has insurance, and he's doing real well. The -- when he -- when he was stopped, I guess from what he told me -- well, it's hearsay again. What I do know he was going through some issues with -- after investigating, going through some issues with his license.

He has since got all that straightened out. And -- and he has been, like I said, a loyal, good employee. He's hard working. He's honest. He's dedicated, and I personally see a lot of growth in him. He's taking classes now in order to better understand mechanical drawings, so he's moving forward in his life and doing well.

Now, again, the question to this examination report, the citation, you know, I -- again, I questioned it. When I -- you know, in all -- I am going to continue -- well, I question it. I think someone trained in, has all the expertise in the world, has all the means at their disposal in

order to get it accurate should have it accurate. I don't think it should be inaccurate -- it should not not be accurate and factual and -- and I be held accountable for it. That's all.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, may I just

follow up?

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Yes, sorry.

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

11 By Mr. Margard:

- Q. Mr. Stevens, were you provided with a copy of the report that was given to your driver?
 - A. I would say I was. Sure, I was.
- Q. And were there, in fact, notations on it correcting the location in the officer's handwriting?
- A. I don't know the officer's handwriting.

 I don't know who wrote it on there.
- Q. But something was written on there correcting the location.
 - A. I don't even recall seeing it, but I know that from my experience, may be different from your experience, when we see things altered, it throws up a red flag. We have our pet peeves too as the officer has his pet peeves, throws -- this throws up

```
61
     red flags any time I see any document that comes
 1
    before that has been scribbled and/or altered in any
 2
 3
     way, I find it less than being authentic.
 4
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you.
 5
                 Your Honor, I have nothing further.
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.
 6
                 Anything else from either of the parties?
 7
 8
                 MR. MARGARD: No, your Honor.
9
                 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. The
     Commission will take this under advisement, and we'll
10
11
     issue an opinion in due time.
12
                 Thank you. We're adjourned.
13
                 (Thereupon, at 2:48 p.m., the hearing was
14
     concluded.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, February 13, 2018, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered

Merit Reporter.

(KSG-6495)

TARY AUBOUT THE OF OHIGH

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/26/2018 11:49:48 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-1676-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Otis Stevens, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on February 13, 2018. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.