## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Review:

of the Distribution : Case No.

Investment Rider Contained : 14-255-EL-RDR

in the Tariff of Ohio
Power Company.

In the Matter of the Review:

of the Distribution : Case No.

Investment Rider Contained: 15-66-EL-RDR

in the Tariff of Ohio
Power Company.
:

In the Matter of the Review:

of the Distribution : Case No.

Investment Rider Contained: 16-21-EL-RDR

in the Tariff of Ohio
Power Company.

## PROCEEDINGS

Before Greta See, Attorney Examiner, held at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Monday, February 5, 2018, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 Mr. Steven T. Nourse 1 Riverside Plaza 3 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 4 On behalf of Ohio Power Company. 5 Mr. Steven Beeler Assistant Attorney General 6 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor 7 Columbus, Ohio 43215 8 On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. 9 Mr. Christopher Healey Energy Resource Planning Counsel 10 Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 11 65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 12 On behalf of the Residential 13 Consumers of Ohio Power Company. 14 Carpenter Lipps & Leland By Ms. Angela Paul Whitfield 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 15 Columbus, Ohio 43215 16 On behalf of The Kroger Company. 17 Carpenter Lipps & Leland 18 By Ms. Kimberly J. Bojko and Mr. Brian W. Dressel 19 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 20 Columbus, Ohio 43215 21 On behalf of the Ohio Manufactures' Association Energy Group. 22 2.3 24 25

				3
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
2				
3			IDENTIFIED	
4	JOINT EXHIBITS			
5	1 Stipulat	tion and Recommendation	8	
6	AEP OHIO EX	HIBITS		
7	1 Direct :	Testimony of Andrea Moore	8	
8	2 Reply Co	omments in 14-255	9	
9	3 Reply Co	omments in 15-66	9	
10	4 Initial	Comments in 16-21	9	
11	5 Reply Co	omments in 16-21	9	
12	OCC EVILDING			
13	OCC EXHIBITS			
14	1 Comments	s in 14-255	9	
15	2 Comments	s in 15-66	9	
	3 Comments	s in 16-21	10	
16 17	4 Reply Co	omments in 16-21	10	
18	OMAEG EXHIB	ITS		
19	1 Comments	s in 15-66	10	
20				
21	KROGER EXHII			
22	1 Comments	s in 15-66	10	
23	STAFF EXHIB	ITS		
24	1 2013 Aug	dit in 14-255	10	
25				

				4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS CONTINUED		
2	2	Comments in 14-255	11	
3	3	Comments in 14-255	11	
4	4	2015 Final Audit Reports	11	
5	5	Reply Comments in 15-66	12	
6	6	Reply Comments filed 12/28/15	13	
7	7	Blueridge Report for 2015	13	
8	8	Comments filed on 5/15/17	13	
9	9	Reply Comments filed on	13	
10		6/5/17		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

		5	
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES		
2	DIRECT CROSS		
3	AEP OHIO WITNESSES		
4	Amdrea E. Moore 15 16		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 Monday Morning, February 5, 2018. 2 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Scheduled for 4 5 hearing this morning at this time and place are 6 case numbers 14-255-EL-RDR, Case No. 7 15-66-EL-RDR, and Case No. 16-21-EL-RDR, all being entitled In the matter of the Review of 8 the Distribution Investment Rider Contained in 9 the Tariffs of Ohio Power Company. 10 11 My name is Greta See, I am one of 12 the attorney examiners assigned to this case by 13 the Commission. At this time I would like to 14 take appearances of the parties starting first with Ohio Power Company. 15 16 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 On behalf Ohio Power Company, Steven T. Nourse, 18 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: On behalf of 20 Ohio Consumers' Counsel. 2.1 MR. HEALEY: Good morning, Your 22 Honor. On behalf of Bruce Weston, Ohio 23 Consumers' Counsel, Christopher Healey, 24 cocounsel is Terry Etter, 65 East State Street, 25 7th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

```
ATTORNEY EXAMINER: On behalf of
 1
 2
     The Kroger Company.
 3
                 MS. WHITFIELD: Good morning, Your
     Honor. On behalf of the Kroger Company, Angela
 4
 5
     Paul Whitfield, with the law firm of Carpenter
 6
     Lipps and Leland, 280 North High Street, Suite
     1300, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
 7
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: On behalf of
9
     the Ohio Manufactures' Association Energy Group.
10
                 MR. DRESSEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
     On behalf of the Ohio Manufacturer's Association
11
12
     Energy Group the law firm Carpenter Lipps &
13
     Leland, Kimberly Bojko, I am Brian W. Dressel,
     280 North High Street, Suite 1300, Columbus,
14
     Ohio 432115.
15
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And on behalf of
17
     the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission.
18
                 MR. BEELER: Thank you, Your Honor.
     On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities
19
20
     Commission of Ohio, by Attorney General Mike
2.1
     DeWine. I am Steve Beeler, Assistant Attorney
22
     General, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio
23
     43215.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                     Thank you.
25
     Nourse.
```

MR. NOURSE: Thank you, Your Honor. If it pleases the Bench, I would like to first mark some exhibits in case they are referenced later, and then sponsor the testimony.

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. NOURSE: Thank you. So, per the stipulation -- well, first of all I would like to mark the stipulation dated December 9, 2017 as Joint Exhibit 1. And pursuant to Paragraph 4 A on Page 8 of that stipulation the parties agree to recommend that certain documents be admitted into evidence.

The audit reports of each of the proceedings, Mr. Beeler will be sponsoring and marking. And the comments from each of the parties between Mr. Beeler and I, we can cover those.

And then Joint Exhibit 1, which we already marked. So I guess while I am at the microphone I will go ahead and mark AEP Ohio's, and the other parties' exhibits I will defer to Mr. Beeler for the Staff.

So, I would like to mark AEP Ohio
Exhibit 1, the direct testimony of Andrea Moore
that was filed in all three of these dockets,

```
which I will by shorthand reference as 14-255, 2 15-66, and 16-21. And that testimony, Ms.
```

- Moore's testimony, was filed in all three
- 4 dockets on January 22nd, 2018. So AEP Ohio
- 5 Exhibit 1.
- And then on the comments, Your
- 7 | Honor, I would like to finish AEP exhibits.
- 8 AEP Exhibit 2 would be the September 25th, 2014
- 9 rely comments in docket 14-255.
- 10 AEP Exhibit 3 would be the December
- 28th, 2015 reply comments filed by AEP Ohio in
- 12 docket 15-66.
- And then AEP Exhibit 4 will be the
- 14 | May 15th, 2017 initial comments of Ohio Power
- 15 | Company in docket 16-21.
- And then that same docket company
- 17 | filed rely comments on June 5th, 2017 in docket
- 18 | 16-21. I would like to mark that as AEP Exhibit
- 19 5.
- Then going to OCC next. OCC filed
- 21 comments in 14-255 on September 10th, 2014.
- 22 | So we would like to mark that as OCC Exhibit 1.
- 23 And then in the 15-66 docket OCC
- 24 | filed comments on December 10th, 2015. And that
- 25 | would be OCC Exhibit 2.

And then in the 16-21 docket OCC filed two sets of comments, so let's go with the initial on May 15th, 2017 as OCC 3.

2.1

2.2

And then the rely comments OCC filed on June 5th, 2017 in the 16-21 docket will be OCC Exhibit 4.

And then OMAEG I believe was intervening in two out of three cases. But they filed one set of comments in the 15-66 docket on December 28th, 2015. And that would be OMAEG Exhibit 1.

And then we have Kroger which similarly filed one set of comments in the 15-66 docket on December 10th, 2015. So that would be Kroger Exhibit 1.

I believe the remaining exhibits would be Staff exhibits.

MR. BEELER: I will go ahead and mark those, Your Honor. It's going to be nine total exhibits. We will start with Case No. 14-255. I would like to mark as Staff Exhibit 1 the audits of the 2013 Distribution Investment Rider of Ohio Power Company, and that was filed on behalf of Larkin and Associates. And that was filed on June 19th, 2014.

Have marked as Staff Exhibit 2 1 2 comments filed by the Staff on July 21st, 2014. That is also in the 14-255 docket. 3 And I would like to have marked as 4 5 Staff Exhibit 3 the comments filed on behalf of 6 the Staff that were filed on July 28th, 2014 7 again in the 14-255 docket. 8 Turning to the 2015 case, have that 9 marked as Staff Exhibit 4, the audit, final 10 audit reports on the Ohio Power Company's 11 distribution investment rider. That was filed 12 for the period of January 1st, 2014 through 13 December 31st, 2014. And it was filed on August 14 6th, 2015. 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is Staff 16 Exhibit 4? 17 MR. BEELER: Staff Exhibit 4. 18 haven't marked it but we will go ahead and mark 19 Staff exhibit 5, just the notice of Staff's 20 intent not to file comments. That was filed on 2.1 December 10th, 2015. 2.2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I am sorry. 23 Go back, Mr. Beeler. 24 MR. BEELER: We don't have to mark 25 that one, but I was just marking it, just it was

```
12
     Staff's notice not to file, just a letter that
 1
 2
     was filed in the docket.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's skip that.
                 MR. BEELER: Okay. Staff Exhibit 5
 4
 5
     then will be reply comments filed on behalf of
     the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission.
 6
 7
     That was filed on December 28th, 2015, Case No.
     15-66.
 8
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Hold on just
10
     one second, Mr. Beeler. This one you skipped
11
     was filed on August 6th; correct?
12
                 MR. BEELER: Yes. No. The one we
13
     skipped was filed on December 10th.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So go
15
    back. What was filed on August 6th is --
16
                 MR. BEELER:
                              The report.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You had comments
18
     that day as well.
19
                 MR. BEELER:
                              Thank you.
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: 14 pages.
2.1
                 MR. BEELER: So, let's mark those as
22
     Staff Exhibit 5. Staff Exhibit 5 will be the
23
     comments filed on August 6th, 2015 on behalf of
24
     the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission.
                 Staff Exhibit 6 will be the rely
25
```

```
comments filed on behalf of Staff on December 2 8th, 2015.
```

2.1

2.2

Turning to the 2016 case, marked as

Staff Exhibit 7 the report of Blueridge

Consulting Services for the 2015 year of
the distribution investment rider. That was

filed on August 4th, 2016.

Marked as Staff Exhibit 8, the comments filed on behalf of Staff on May 15th, 2017.

And then finally marked as Staff Exhibit 9 the reply comments filed by Staff on June 5th, 2017.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I assume there are no objections to the admission of these exhibits so far, excluding Company Exhibit 1.

MS. WHITFIELD: No objections from Kroger. I have been authorized to speak on behalf of OMAEG since Mr. Dressel had to step out for another conference.

Just I wanted to clarify something.

Mr. Nourse had said that OMAEG had intervened in two cases. They have actually only intervened in the 15-66 which is consistent with the

14 footnote in the stipulation. 1 2 MR. NOURSE: Thank you. I said you 3 have to keep me honest. MS. WHITFIELD: But OMAEG has no 4 5 objections to the admission of the exhibits 6 anyway. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Healey. MR. HEALEY: No objections to the 8 exhibits, Your Honor, with the exception of AEP 9 10 Exhibit 1, as you mentioned. 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. 12 Nourse. 13 MR. NOURSE: No objection to any of Mr. Beeler's exhibits or, of course, AEP's. 14 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Your very own. 16 Okay. 17 MR. BEELER: No objection from Staff 18 on any of the exhibits. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. 20 Next, Mr. Nourse. 2.1 MR. NOURSE: Yes, Your Honor. We 22 would like to call Andrea Moore to the stand. 23 (WITNESS SWORN) 24 ANDREA E. MOORE 25

called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
testified as follows:

MR. NOURSE: And, Your Honor, I will distribute these exhibits in case -- any of you need a copy of the Joint Exhibit 1?

6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have a copy.

MR. NOURSE: I will give one to the reporter and then Ms. Moore's testimony, I have copies available. Thank you. I will give it to the reporter.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 By Mr. Nourse:

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

16

- Q. Ms. Moore, do you have the document that we marked as AEP Ohio Exhibit 1?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. Thank you. First I will ask you to state your name and position.
- A. My name is Andrea E. Moore. And I

  am the Director of Regulatory Services for AEP

  Ohio.
- Q. And did you prepare or have prepared
  AEP Ohio Exhibit 1, your direct testimony?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And are you familiar with Joint
  Exhibit 1, the Stipulation and Recommendation

```
16
     that is dated December 19, 2017?
1
2
            Α.
                 Yes.
 3
                 Okay. And do you have any
            Q.
     corrections, additions or changes to your
 4
 5
     written testimony, Exhibit 1?
 6
            Α.
                 No.
7
                 If I asked you the same questions
            Q.
     under oath today your answers would be the same?
8
9
            Α.
                 Yes.
10
                 MR. NOURSE:
                              Thank you. Your Honor,
11
     I would just renew my motion to admit AEP Ohio
12
     Exhibit 1 and tender the witness for any
13
     questions you or the parties may have.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Whitfield.
15
                 MS. WHITFIELD: No questions on
16
    behalf of OMAEG or Kroger Company.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Healey.
18
                 MR. HEALEY: Yes, Your Honor. I
19
    have just a few short clarifying questions for
20
     the witness.
21
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.
22
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
    By Mr. Healey:
24
                 Ms. Moore, can you turn to Page 8
            Ο.
25
     of your testimony, please?
```

- A. I am there.
- Q. On lines 14 through 17 you mention that several of the audit recommendations have been resolved through the auditor's report in the 2016 DIR filing in Case No. 17-38-EL-RDR.
- 6 Do you see that?
  - A. I do.
  - Q. Just to be clear, you are not suggesting that the stipulation filed in these three cases, the 14, 15 and 16 case numbers, resolve case No. 17-37; correct.
- 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I am sorry.
- 13 | 17-38?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

- MR. HEALEY: Yes.
- Q. I will ask again. Ms. Moore, the
  case that we are here for, cases we are here for
  today, we are not settling Case No.
- 18 | 17-38-EL-RDR; correct?
- A. That's correct. Those references
  were only to that audit report as the audit
  report clarified 5 through 7 and, I am sorry, 1
  through 5 and 7 through 10.
- Q. Can you turn to Page 7 of your testimony, please?
- 25 A. I am there.

Q. And on lines 19 to 21 the testimony states that "The Company agrees to a one-time reduction in the revenue requirement of \$2,142,337.62 to fully and finally resolve disagreements regarding the timing of the capital repairs deduction."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And this approximately \$2.1 million reduction in the revenue requirement will occur in 2018; correct?
- 12 A. It will be on Commission approval of these cases.
  - Q. And so assuming the Commission approves this case in 2018 that would be reflected when AEP files its DIR case in 2019; correct?
    - A. I am sorry. Can you ask again?
  - Q. Sure. Assuming the Commission approves this stipulation in 2018 and that \$2.1 million reduction goes into affect in 2018, then we will see that in AEP's 2019 DIR filing since they are filed the previous year; correct?
  - A. We actually make the rider filings quarterly, and so to the extent that we are

```
making a quarterly filing in 2018 that is where you would see the $2.1 million reduction.
```

- Q. And if there is an audit done for the 2018 year, and that is ultimately filed whenever it's filed, 2019 presumably, will that \$2.1 million reduction be reflected in the auditor's analysis?
- A. I think what you are asking is if the -- once the auditor's for the DIR for 2018 come and look at the quarterly filings for 2018, much as they did in these cases, then would they be looking to verify that \$2.1 million. The answer is yes.
- Q. Thank you. I appreciate your assistance. And that 2.1 million reduction will benefit customers by reducing the amount that they would otherwise pay under the DIR; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.

MR. HEALEY: That's all I have.

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler.

MR. BEELER: No questions, Your

23 Honor. Thank you.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Redirect, Mr.

25 | Nourse?

```
20
                 MR. NOURSE: No. Thank you.
 1
 2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And the Bench
 3
     doesn't have any questions for you.
                 Are there any objections to the
 4
 5
     admission of Company Exhibit 1, Ms. Moore's
     testimony?
 6
 7
                 MS. WHITFIELD: No objections from
 8
     the Kroger Company or OMAEG.
9
                 MR. BEELER: No objections, Your
10
     Honor, from Staff.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Company Exhibit
12
     1 is admitted into the record.
13
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
14
                 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, Your
    Honor.
15
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is there
17
     anything further?
18
                 MR. NOURSE: No, thank you.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.
20
    Moore.
2.1
                 MS. MOORE: Thank you.
22
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you all.
23
                 (At 10:25 A.M. the hearing was
24
     concluded)
25
```

CER	ΤТ	TH	$C\Delta$	TЕ

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on February 5, 2018, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer,

Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

2/7/2018 1:19:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0255-EL-RDR, 15-0066-EL-RDR, 16-0021-EL-RDR

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Review of the Distribution Investment Rider Contained in the Tariff of Ohio Power Company; In the Matter of the Review of the Distribution Investment Rider Contained in the Tariff of Ohio Power Company and In the Matter of the Review of the Distribution Investment Rider Contained in the Tariff of Ohio Power Company, hearing held on February 5, 2018. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.