# THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REVIEW OF THE DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY.

CASE NO. 17-2474-EL-RDR

#### **ENTRY**

Entered in the Journal on January 24, 2018

## I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission selects Oxford Advisors, LLC as the third-party monitor to assist the Commission and Staff with the review of FirstEnergy's distribution modernization rider.

#### II. DISCUSSION

- {¶ 2} Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, FirstEnergy) are electric distribution utilities (EDUs) as defined by R.C. 4928.01(A)(6), and public utilities as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, are subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- {¶ 3} R.C. 4928.141 provides that an electric distribution utility shall provide consumers within its certified territory a standard service offer (SSO) of all competitive retail electric services necessary to maintain essential electric services to customers, including firm supply of electric generation services. The SSO may be either a market rate offer, in accordance with R.C. 4928.142, or an electric security plan (ESP), in accordance with 4928.143.
- {¶ 4} On March 31, 2016, in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, the Commission approved FirstEnergy's application for an ESP. In re Ohio Edison Co., The Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co., and the Toledo Edison Co. for Authority to Provide for a Std. Serv. Offer Pursuant to Section

17-2474-EL-RDR -2-

4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Elec. Security Plan, Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, Opinion and Order (Mar. 31, 2016) (ESP IV Case). Further, on October 12, 2016, the Commission issued the Fifth Entry on Rehearing in the ESP IV Case. On rehearing, the Commission authorized FirstEnergy to implement a distribution modernization rider (Rider DMR). ESP IV Case, Fifth Entry on Rehearing (Oct. 12, 2016) at ¶185. Additionally, the Commission ruled that Staff will review the expenditure of Rider DMR revenues to ensure that Rider DMR revenues are used, directly or indirectly, in support of grid modernization. ESP IV Case, Fifth Entry on Rehearing (Oct. 12, 2016) at ¶282.

- {¶ 5} Subsequently, the Commission directed Staff to prepare a request for proposal (RFP) for a third-party monitor to assist Staff in the review of Rider DMR. *ESP IV Case*, Eighth Entry on Rehearing (Aug. 16, 2017) at ¶113.
- {¶ 6} Thereafter, by Entry issued on December 13, 2017, in this proceeding, the Commission directed Staff to issue a RFP for a third-party monitor to assist Staff in the review of Rider DMR, consistent with the Commission's previous directives. *ESP IV Case*, Eighth Entry on Rehearing (Aug. 16, 2017) at ¶113. Prospective bidders were directed by the Commission to submit proposals to Staff by January 5, 2018. Bidders were directed to demonstrate their understanding of the project and the work required by showing a clear understanding of the tasks to be completed, the experience and qualifications of the personnel who will perform the work, and the anticipated breakdown of costs and timing.
- {¶ 7} The proposals received in response to the RFP have been evaluated and, after consideration of those proposals, the Commission selects Oxford Advisors LLC (Oxford). The Commission finds that Oxford has the necessary experience to complete the required work.

17-2474-EL-RDR -3-

{¶ 8} The Companies shall enter into a contract with Oxford by February 15, 2018, for the purpose of providing payment for its services. The contract shall incorporate the terms and conditions of the RFP, the monitor's proposal, and relevant Commission entries in this case.

- **{¶ 9}** The Commission shall select and solely direct the work of the monitor. Staff will review and approve payment invoices submitted by the monitor.
- {¶ 10} Oxford will execute its duties pursuant to the Commission's statutory authority to investigate and acquire records, contracts, reports, and other documentation under R.C. 4903.02, 4903.03, 4905.06, 4905.15, and 4905.16. Oxford is subject to the Commission's statutory duty under R.C. 4901.16, which provides:

Except in his report to the public utilities commission or when called on to testify in any court or proceeding of the public utilities commission, no employee or agent referred to in section 4905.13 of the Revised Code shall divulge any information acquired by him in respect to the transaction, property, or business of any public utility, while acting or claiming to act as such employee or agent. Whoever violates this section shall be disqualified from acting as agent, or acting in any other capacity under the appointment or employment of the commission.

{¶ 11} Upon request of Oxford or Staff, the Companies shall provide any and all documents or information requested. The Companies may conspicuously mark such documents or information "confidential" if the Companies believe the document should be deemed as such. In no event, however, shall the Companies refuse or delay in providing such documents or information.

17-2474-EL-RDR -4-

{¶ 12} Once disclosure is permitted by R.C. 4901.16, the following process applies to the release of any document or information marked as confidential. Three days' prior notice of intent to disclose shall be provided to the party claiming confidentiality. Three days after such notice, Staff or the monitor may disclose or otherwise make use of such documents or information for any lawful purpose, unless the Commission receives a request for a protective order pertaining to such documents or information within the three-day notice period. The three-day notice period will be computed according to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-07.

{¶ 13} Oxford shall perform its review and investigation as an independent contractor. Any conclusions, results, or recommendations formulated by Oxford may be examined by any participant to this proceeding. Further, it shall be understood that the Commission and/or its Staff shall not be liable for any acts committed by Oxford or its agents in the preparation and presentation of the report.

### III. ORDER

- $\{\P 14\}$  It is, therefore,
- {¶ 15} ORDERED, That Oxford be selected to assist the Commission and Staff with the review of FirstEnergy's Rider DMR, as set forth above. It is, further,
- **{¶ 16}** ORDERED, That the Companies and Oxford shall observe the requirements set forth herein. It is, further,

 $\P$  17 ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

# THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Asim Z. Haque, Chairman

M. Beth Trombold

Thomas W. Johnson

Lawrence K. Priedeman

Daniel R. Conway

MJA/mef

Entered in the Journal

JAN 2 4 2018

Barcy F. McNeal

Secretary