### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Filing by Ohio Edison	)	
Company, The Cleveland Electric	)	
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo	)	Case No. 17-2436-EL-UNC
Edison Company for a Distribution Platform	)	Cuse 110. 17 2430 EE CITE
Modernization Plan	)	
	)	

#### **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**

#### DAVID J. KARAFA

#### ON BEHALF OF

OHIO EDISON COMPANY
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

#### <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is David J. Karafa. I am employed by FirstEnergy Service Company as Vice
- 4 President, Distribution Support. My business address is 76 S. Main Street, Akron, OH
- 5 44308.
- 6 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND
- 7 EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
- 8 A. I began my career with The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company in 1981. I
- 9 subsequently held a variety of management positions within various FirstEnergy entities
- including Overhead Line Supervisor, Claims Manager, Underground Network Manager,
- Operations Support Director, Operations Services Director, Energy Delivery Performance
- and Process Improvement Director, President of Metropolitan Edison Company, President
- of Ohio Edison Company and Pennsylvania Power Company, and President of
- FirstEnergy's Pennsylvania distribution utilities. I was promoted to my current position
- in 2015.
- 16 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.
- 17 A. In my current role as Vice President, Distribution Support, I am responsible for providing
- support to all distribution utilities of FirstEnergy Corp., including Ohio Edison Company,
- The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company ("CEI"), and The Toledo Edison Company
- 20 (collectively, the "Companies"). The supporting services provided by my organization
- 21 include Operations Services, Operations Support, Workforce Development, Work
- Management & Performance Improvement, Outage Management, Vegetation
- Management, and Emergency Preparedness.

#### Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the Companies' proposed Distribution Platform
- 3 Modernization ("DPM") Plan and its overall policy objectives. In my testimony, I will
- 4 discuss relevant information supporting the filing, provide an overview of the DPM Plan,
- 5 including the significant customer benefits expected to be achieved, and introduce the other
- witnesses of the Companies who are sponsoring the specific aspects of the DPM Plan. I
- sponsor the DPM Plan attached to the Companies' Application as Attachment A, which I
- 8 incorporate into my testimony.

#### **BACKGROUND**

1

9

#### 10 Q. DO THE COMPANIES MEASURE AND REPORT RELIABILITY

#### 11 **PERFORMANCE?**

- 12 A. Yes. The Companies have been reporting their reliability performance against approved
- performance standards since 2010. The standards were approved in Case No. 09-759-EL-
- ESS.

#### 15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANIES' RELIABILITY STANDARDS?

- 16 A. Each Company has a System Average Interruption Frequency Index ("SAIFI") and
- 17 Customer Average Interruption Duration Index ("CAIDI") reliability standard. SAIFI
- represents the average number of interruptions per customer. CAIDI represents the average
- 19 time required to restore service per interrupted customer.

#### 20 Q. HAVE THE COMPANIES MET THESE STANDARDS?

- 21 A. Yes. The following table demonstrates the Companies' performance against the reliability
- standards since inception.

Ohio Edison								
Index	2010	0 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Minimum
Inucx	2010	2011	2012	2013	2017	2013		Standard
SAIFI	0.89	0.86	0.85	0.71	0.70	0.88	0.79	1.11
CAIDI	102.53	113.76	105.83	100.78	108.89	100.63	104.78	114.37

CEI								
Index	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Minimum Standard
SAIFI	0.98	1.18	0.96	0.86	1.03	1.02	1.02	1.30
CAIDI	114.98	116.87	107.35	99.55	103.23	125.04	110.44	135.00

Toledo Edison								
Index	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Minimum Standard
SAIFI	0.61	0.64	0.61	0.52	0.51	0.62	0.55	1.00
CAIDI	92.01	106.71	91.88	100.87	104.54	98.43	96.57	112.33

#### Q. ARE CUSTOMERS' EXPECTATIONS FOR RELIABILITY ALIGNED WITH

#### THE COMPANIES' PERFORMANCE?

A.

Yes. As shown in the table above, the Companies have consistently outperformed their reliability standards from 2010 through 2016, thereby demonstrating that the Companies are placing sufficient emphasis and dedicating sufficient resources to the reliability of their distribution systems. This conclusion is further supported by the results of the Companies' recent customer perception surveys. These surveys were completed in 2016 and included survey responses from 1,200 residential customers and 1,200 commercial customers in the Companies' service territories. Both residential and commercial customers surveyed showed favorable customer perception of the Companies' reliability performance. Of those customers that experienced outages, the large majority consider the number of interruptions reasonable.

#### Q. ARE CUSTOMERS' EXPECTATIONS FOR RELIABILITY INCREASING?

A.

A.

Yes. While the Companies' performance is currently aligned with customers' reliability expectations, the recent customer perception survey results indicate that customers' expectations are increasing. In comparison to prior customer perception surveys completed in 2008 and 2013, the 2016 survey results show that both residential and commercial customers expect their power to be restored faster. Expected outage restoration times for both weather and non-weather-related outages were lower in the 2016 survey results than in the previous two surveys. Additionally, when asked, 71% of residential customers and 67% of commercial customers responded that "reducing the length of time it takes to restore power after an outage" was the most important thing that the Companies could do to improve service. The second most important thing for both residential and commercial customers was reducing the frequency of outages that last longer than five minutes.

# Q. COULD ADVANCEMENTS IN TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER INNOVATIONS HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE COMPANIES' PROVISION OF ELECTRIC SERVICE TO CUSTOMERS?

Yes. The Companies acknowledge that customers' needs related to the provision of electric service are likely to change due to emerging technologies and other innovations that will be available to customers in the future. The Companies' expectations in this regard are informed by direct feedback received from customers, as well as information provided by various subject matter experts within the electric utility industry. A recent customer survey conducted by the Edison Electric Institute indicated that customers are "somewhat" or "extremely" interested in innovations such as online tools, renewable energy options, energy storage / batteries, and electric vehicle charging. In addition, the

Companies have also been active participants in the Commission's PowerForward initiative, where they have had the chance to learn from vendors and other industry experts about various innovations, such as smart grid investments and distributed energy resources. Understanding their customers' evolving needs, and finding ways to integrate new technologies into our distribution system without jeopardizing safety or reliability, are priorities for the Companies.

A.

# Q. WHAT EXPERIENCES DO THE COMPANIES HAVE IN SUPPORTING SUCH INNOVATIONS AND ADDRESSING CUSTOMERS' EXPECTATIONS FOR INCREASED RELIABILITY?

The Companies were awarded a Smart Grid Investment Grant from the Department of Energy with matching recovery granted by the Commission in 2009. Through this grant, the Companies invested in a pilot area located in CEI's service territory to deploy and study the impact of various grid modernization investments, including investments similar to those being proposed in the DPM Plan (the "Smart Grid Modernization Initiative" or "SGMI Project"). The Companies have been successful in achieving reliability improvements in the pilot area. Specifically, the Companies have seen a 26 percent improvement in service restoration time and a 12 percent reduction in the number of power outages. In addition, the Companies prepared and filed a Grid Modernization Business Plan ("Business Plan")<sup>1</sup> that identified three potential scenarios for grid modernization deployment. During the development of this filing, the Companies studied and analyzed opportunities for grid modernization investments across their service territories on a much

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See In the Matter of the Filing by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company of a Grid Modernization Business Plan, Case No. 16-481-EL-UNC.

larger scale than the pilot area discussed above, and identified work that needs to be completed to enable the benefits of further grid modernization investment in the future. Through these activities, the Companies have gained valuable knowledge of the benefits their customers receive from a modernized grid; this knowledge contributed significantly to the development of the proposed DPM Plan.

A.

A.

#### Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMMISSION'S POWERFORWARD INITIATIVE.

PowerForward is the PUCO's review of the latest in technological and regulatory innovation that could serve to enhance the consumer electricity experience. Through this series of workshops, the Commission intends to chart a clear path forward for future grid modernization projects, innovative regulations and forward-thinking policies. To date, two phases of this initiative have been conducted and a third is expected to be conducted in 2018.

## Q. WILL THE DPM PLAN DISRUPT OR OTHERWISE PRESUPPOSE THE OUTCOME OF THE COMMISSION'S POWERFORWARD INITIATIVE?

No. In fact, the proposed DPM Plan is an effective complement to PowerForward and is consistent with its objectives. The Companies have been active participants in each of the first two phases of the PowerForward initiative. The Companies remain fully supportive of PowerForward and will continue to participate in it going forward. The DPM Plan is an important interim step for the Companies to begin modernizing their distribution system and "charting a path forward for future grid modernization projects" in the Companies' service territories. While the DPM Plan will put the Companies in a better position to enable future grid modernization investments, the customer benefits achieved through the

proposed DPM Plan will continue in the future, independent of the outcome of
PowerForward or any future grid modernization directives.

#### 3 Q. WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND?

A. Providing safe and reliable electric service to customers while continuing to meet customers' expectations and needs remain top priorities for the Companies. There is an opportunity for the Companies to address customers' increasing reliability expectations and evolving needs, while making investments that will modernize the Companies' distribution system and prepare it for future grid modernization investments, all to the benefit of customers. The DPM Plan will allow the Companies to take advantage of this opportunity. Thus, I recommend the Commission approve the Companies' DPM Plan.

#### **OVERVIEW OF DPM PLAN**

A.

#### 12 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE DPM PLAN.

The DPM Plan is a portfolio of work that will modernize the Companies' distribution system, provide meaningful benefits to customers, and enable future grid modernization investment. The categories of work included in the DPM Plan are: circuit ties, circuit reconductoring, recloser installations, Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition ("SCADA") System, and Advanced Distribution Management System ("ADMS"). Under the DPM Plan, the Companies expect to make capital investments totaling \$450 million over a three-year period. This work is needed to help modernize the Companies' distribution system and better enable the Companies to make future grid modernization investments. All investments as part of the DPM Plan will be made consistent with good utility practice.

## 1 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE WITNESSES THAT WILL BE TESTIFYING IN 2 SUPPORT OF THE DPM PLAN.

- A. The various provisions of the DPM Plan are addressed by five witnesses. The Companies' witnesses, and the general topics that each will address in their pre-filed direct testimony, are:
- Mark Vallo circuit ties, circuit reconductoring, recloser installations, SCADA;
- Lisa Rouse ADMS;
- William Beutler estimated reliability improvements and improved storm restoration
   times, estimated customer benefits;
- Brandon Bolon cyber security;
- Brandon McMillen cost recovery, summary of the cost vs. benefit analysis.

### 12 Q. IS THE WORK INCLUDED IN THE DPM PLAN INCREMENTAL TO THE 13 COMPANIES' BASE WORK NEEDED TO MAINTAIN SAFE AND RELIABLE

#### 14 SERVICE TO CUSTOMERS?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Yes. Each year, the Companies make capital investments to maintain safe and reliable service to customers. These investments focus largely on maintaining, and expanding as needed, the Companies' distribution system as currently configured. Examples of this work include: circuit inspections, vegetation management, worst-performing circuit remediation, pole inspections, storm restoration, and installation of facilities to serve new or expanding customers. While the Companies' existing system provides safe, reliable service to customers, it must be transformed in order to meet increasing customer expectations for a multi-directional, customer-oriented grid of the future. Thus, the work in the DPM Plan is incremental to this base level of work because it transforms the underlying configuration

and architecture of the Companies' distribution system, thereby laying the foundation for improving the customer experience via a future multi-directional grid that may include grid modernization investments such as distribution automation, distributed energy resources, advanced metering infrastructure, integrated Volt/VAR control, and innovative consumer end-use technologies (without presupposing which innovations will be adopted by consumers). Accordingly, the work included in the DPM Plan is comprised of grid modernization infrastructure and is clearly distinguishable from the Companies' normal base spend. More detailed explanations of each category of work included in the DPM Plan are provided in Attachment A to the Companies' Application and in the direct testimonies of Companies' witnesses Vallo and Rouse.

A.

## 11 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ESTIMATED BENEFITS TO CUSTOMERS FROM 12 THE DPM PLAN.

The DPM Plan is expected to provide immediate, meaningful benefits to customers in terms of improved reliability and quicker storm restoration. While these investments will modernize the Companies' distribution system and better support and enable future grid modernization investments, the benefits from the DPM Plan are also self-sustaining and will continue into the future independent of other grid modernization investments that may be made. By making these investments now as part of the DPM Plan, customers will experience immediate benefits while benefiting from a more gradual transition to a modern grid. As discussed in the Application and the testimonies of witnesses Vallo and Rouse, each category of work included in the DPM Plan is integrated with and builds upon the benefits of the others. Accordingly, all categories of work included in the DPM Plan need to be implemented together to optimize the benefits to customers from the DPM Plan. As

proposed, the DPM Plan is estimated to provide significant benefits to customers in excess of the associated costs. The estimated benefits of the DPM Plan are quantified in the testimony of witness Beutler; the estimated costs are sponsored by witnesses Vallo and Rouse; and the results of the cost/benefit analysis are summarized by witness McMillen.

## 5 Q. WHAT OTHER SAFEGUARDS AND PROTECTIONS WILL BE EMPLOYED BY 6 THE COMPANIES WHEN IMPLEMENTING THE DPM PLAN?

A.

- As part of the DPM Plan, the Companies will proactively manage and address potential risks associated with cyber security and concerns with the investments from the DPM Plan becoming obsolete before the end of their useful lives. As explained in the direct testimony of witness Bolon, the Companies have a robust cyber security policy in place and have significant experience in this area that will allow them to continually monitor, assess, and mitigate potential cyber security risks. In terms of the risk of equipment obsolescence, the majority of the infrastructure to be installed as part of the DPM Plan does not rely on new or emerging technologies, but rather, is investment in the Companies' distribution system that has been proven to have a long useful life. For the software and communications components of the DPM Plan, the Companies have already taken some mitigation measures, and will continue to be diligent going forward to make prudent decisions with respect to these investments. These efforts are discussed in more detail in the direct testimonies of witnesses Bolon, Vallo and Rouse.
- Q. HOW WILL THE COMMISSION BE ABLE TO ENSURE THAT THE
  COMPANIES' INVESTMENTS AS PART OF THE DPM PLAN ARE
  REASONABLE?

A. First, the investments made as part of the DPM Plan are expected to result in significant net benefits to customers. As discussed in the testimony of Companies' witness Beutler and summarized in the direct testimony of Companies' witness McMillen, the estimated net benefits to customers are \$2.0 billion, which yields a benefit-to-cost ratio of 3.4. These calculations are based on reasonable methodologies that can be relied upon by the Commission. Second, as discussed in the DPM Plan and in the testimonies of witnesses Vallo and Rouse, these investments are needed to support and enable future grid Third, the Companies will have resources dedicated to the ongoing modernization. administration, management, tracking, and reporting of the DPM Plan projects to ensure that the costs are prudently incurred and recorded appropriately. Finally, all costs associated with the DPM Plan that are sought for recovery will be subject to the annual audit of Rider AMI. The annual audit will include a review of the Companies' adherence to the DPM Plan, and a determination of whether the amounts sought for recovery associated with the DPM Plan are not unreasonable based on the facts and circumstances known at the time the investments were made.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

A.

## 16 Q. ARE THE COMPANIES SEEKING ANY MODIFICATIONS TO THEIR 17 BUSINESS PLAN FILING AT THIS TIME?

No. While some of the DPM Plan work was included as preparatory, foundational work in the Business Plan, the majority of the investments in the Companies' Business Plan were comprised of larger-scale, longer-term deployment of other advanced technologies and grid modernization investments. In the ESP IV case, the Commission indicated that it will address the Companies' Business Plan filing upon completion of the PowerForward

initiative.<sup>2</sup> The Companies support that position by the Commission and recommend that
the DPM Plan can and should be addressed now without disruption to the progress of the
PowerForward initiative. To the extent there are changes needed to the Companies'
Business Plan as a result of the DPM Plan or the outcome of PowerForward, the Companies
will make those modifications at that time.

#### **CONCLUSION**

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

#### 7 O. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS.

A. The DPM Plan will provide significant benefits to customers in terms of improved reliability and a more gradual transition to grid modernization. Implementation of the DPM Plan will address customers' increasing expectations for reliability and evolving needs related to the provision of electric service, while modernizing the Companies' distribution platform and enabling future grid modernization investments. The DPM Plan should be approved as soon as possible so that customers can start to realize the benefits.

#### 14 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

15 A. Yes; however, I reserve the right to supplement my testimony.

16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, Fifth Entry on Rehearing at pp. 96-97 (Oct. 12, 2016).

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

12/1/2017 5:25:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-2436-EL-UNC

Summary: Testimony of David J. Karafa electronically filed by Mr. James F Lang on behalf of Ohio Edison Company and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company