BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the : Application of Duke Energy:

Ohio, Inc., for an : Case No. 17-32-EL-AIR

Increase in Its Electric :
Distribution Rates. :

In the Matter of the : Application of Duke Energy:

Ohio, Inc., for Tariff : Case No. 17-33-EL-ATA

Approval.

:

In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:

Ohio, Inc., for Approval : Case No. 17-34-EL-AAM

to Change Accounting Methods.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Nick Walstra and Ms. Stacie Cathcart,
Attorney Examiners, at the Butler Technology and
Career Development Schools, 3603 Hamilton-Middletown
Road, Auditorium, Hamilton, Ohio, called at 6:05 p.m.
on Monday, October 30, 2017.

PUBLIC HEARING - HAMILTON

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
            By Ms. Elizabeth Watts
 3
            139 East Fourth Street
            Cincinnati, Ohio 45201
 4
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
 5
            Bruce E. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel
 6
            By Mr. Christopher Healey,
            Assistant Consumers' Counsel
 7
            10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
 8
                 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of
 9
                 Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

			3
1		INDEX	
2			
3	Witness		Page
4	Barbara Miller		8
5	Bob Andrews		11
6	Lisa Crawford		12
7	Jim Poole		14
8	Caitlin Smith		17
9	Rich McVay		18
10	Crystal Steele		22
11	Ryan Hoskins		24
12	Cathy Marksteiner		26
13	Melissa English		29
14	Joe Davidson		30
15	Barb Boylan		35
16	Carren Herring		37
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Monday Evening Session,
October 30, 2017.

2.1

- - -

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Welcome, everyone.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing at this time and place Case No.

17-32-EL-AIR, being in the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Incorporated, for an Increase in Its Electric Distribution Rates.

My name is Nick Walstra, with me is

Stacie Cathcart, and we are the Attorney Examiners
assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

Additionally, there is staff members from the PUCO
here today you may have met coming in. Jill Kocher
is standing there in the back from our Public Affairs

Department as well as Derita Patterson who is signing
people in up at the beginning. She is a staff member
with the PUCO. These PUCO staff members are
available if you have a question concerning utility
matters other than the application which is the focus
of tonight's hearing.

At this time I'd like to take appearances on behalf of the parties. On behalf of the company.

MS. WATTS: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Duke Energy Ohio, Elizabeth H. Watts,

139 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

2.1

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

There have been a number of parties that have filed for intervention in this case. Are any of them present today? Go ahead.

MR. HEALEY: My name is Christopher

Healey representing Bruce Weston, Ohio Consumers'

Counsel, 10 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio. With

me is Andy Tingler. Thank you.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Any other parties?

Okay. Duke Energy filed this application on March 2, 2017. Duke is requesting an approximate 3 percent rate increase in order to generate over \$50 million in additional revenue. Staff filed its report and recommendation on September 2, 2017. In its report staff recommends a 3 to 6 percent rate decrease. Staff's report is a recommendation only, and the final decision that will ultimately be made will be up to the Commission.

The local public hearings scheduled in this case are only one aspect of the process. An additional public hearing is scheduled for this Thursday at 12:30 p.m. at Cincinnati City Hall, and an evidentiary hearing is also scheduled to commence

on December 11, 2017, at the Commission offices in Columbus.

2.1

The purpose of this evening's public hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding Duke's application for an increase in rates. We know that Duke does have other pending cases before the Commission including an application for an electric security plan.

Today's hearing is only comments regarding this case. Unfortunately this is not a question and answer session, although Duke and Ohio Consumers' Counsel and staff are available to talk separately after the hearing. Mainly, however, this is your opportunity to let the Commission know what you think about Duke's application.

Tonight's hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter. Your testimony will be considered part of the official record in this case. It will be reviewed by the Commission before they make a final decision on the application. If you plan to testify, please speak clearly so that the court reporter can accurately reflect your comments on the record. Also if you have prepared a written statement, it will be helpful if you could provide a copy to the court reporter.

If you decide you do not wish to testify, you may submit written comments on the PUCO website or by mail under this case number which is 17-32. When you arrived, you were offered the opportunity to sign up to testify. Once we get started I will begin calling the witnesses forward in the order they signed up. Before you give your comments, I'll ask you to state your name and address, and I'll swear you in. We have the podium up here. Come up the stairs. We do have the wireless mics if you can't make it up the stairs. Just let us know.

The Commission does appreciate your participation in tonight's hearing. We want everyone who wishes to make a public statement to have the opportunity to do so. So please be considerate of others waiting to give their testimony and keep your statements to a reasonable period of time.

At this time I will begin calling witnesses forward. Barb Miller.

MS. MILLER: Can I do the mic from down here?

22 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Yeah, sure.

MS. MILLER: Thank you.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: State your name for the record and address.

8 1 MS. MILLER: My name is Barbara Miller. 2 I live at 219 Folsom Drive, Dayton, Ohio 45405. And 3 I'm here today --EXAMINER WALSTRA: Ma'am, first, can you 4 5 scoot over here so the court reporter can see you? 6 MS. MILLER: Okay. Is that okay from 7 here? 8 EXAMINER WALSTRA: If you could raise 9 your right hand. 10 (Witness sworn.) 11 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Go ahead. 12 13 BARBARA MILLER 14 presented herself as a public witness, and being 15 first duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 THE WITNESS: I'm aware this has to do 18 with increasing the amount of money that everyone 19 pays per month for utilities here. I would like to 20 also bring some attention to health issues because 2.1 some of the money that's collected is being used by 22 utilities in order to upgrade equipment, and I think 23 that was stated in one of the things that I got 24 earlier here.

The wireless meters, digital meters,

25

remote meters, however you want to say that, not only are they more expensive to maintain, they need to be replaced more often. They need to be upgraded more often, but they also do not last. The analogue meters last sometimes 25 to 35 years. They're safer, and they are more private. The wireless meters are class 2B carcinogen, and so when you're submitting more money monthly, you're also almost like paying them to put something in your house that actually can make you ill. And I think that's something to think about.

2.1

I've had my own experiences with the digital remote meters. And I from -- speaking from my own experience, there's a lot of things -- if you are not aware of, there are a lot of problems people are reporting and things anywhere from sleep problems to stress, irritability. It goes all the way down to eye pain. The radiation coming from the meters when they're being reported -- they're recording the readings, the radiation is present in the house, and it's a fair enough amount, especially in my case, when the meter only needs to be read once a month, but it's being read numerous times every day which increases the danger to anyone in my household.

And from my own experience, you know, I

will say that my life has changed so much in the years since I was aware that I had the meter in my home. It -- so many things that I wanted to do as I retired, I used to do my own, grass, do my own yardwork, enjoyed gardening, liked to travel, liked to do all kind of things. Because of the joint problems and stomach problems, it is just very, very difficult, irritability, sleep problems. It's very difficult to do a lot of the things that I really wanted to be doing at this point in my life.

2.1

I do encourage people to think about it, be aware that, you know, there is more radiation coming off a lot of the wireless things and think about where your money is going. There's no mandate that says that, you know, the companies have to put in these new wireless meters. And it's something I think to really check and make a decision maybe to see if that's really what you want your companies to do.

So thank you for your attention and your time.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Bob Andrews.

MR. ANDREWS: My name is Bob Andrews, 5360 Millikin Road, 45011, Liberty Township.

11 1 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Raise your 2 right hand. 3 (Witness sworn.) EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Go ahead. 4 5 6 BOB ANDREWS 7 presented himself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 THE WITNESS: I'm a small volume user at 11 the present time. My monthly fixed costs is higher 12 than my usage. Obviously with this proposal a fixed 13 cost will cost more. I recently installed a new 14 furnace, an on demand hot water tank, and switched my 15 cookstove over to gas. Now with the fixed costs none 16 of this will help me offset the cost of what I put in 17 in improvements. 18 I'm a retired person and obviously this 19 will take more out of my budget, and with this 20 proposal I see no way that I can use less and save 2.1 money. It's still going to cost me more. 2.2 So thank you. 23 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. 24 Lisa Crawford. Please state your name 25 and address.

MS. CRAWFORD: Lisa Crawford. My address is 10206 Crosby Road, Harrison, Ohio 45030.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Go ahead.

2.1

LISA CRAWFORD

presented herself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My comments almost totally ditto what the fella before me said. I'm going to be a little more explicit though and just say to you our entire household is electric. We have no gas. Everything we have is electric. So you can imagine our bills are already high.

I'm frustrated by this because my husband and I are both retired. We are at a point in our life where you think you should be comfortable. You know, my health insurance is going up \$100 a month beginning January. Our water bills have doubled in the last few years. We have a school board levy issue that's coming up for a vote next Tuesday that if it passes will be a tremendous amount of money for

all of us.

2.1

It's just -- it's become very, very hard.

We live in a community where a lot of older people

live, you know, that live on Social Security and live

on a very limited fixed income. And this -- this is

really harmful to not only us but to them also.

I really want to encourage you to really take a good hard look at this. This is really -it's like it's -- it's like everything is against us and pushing us out of our homes at this point and there's going to come a day when none of us are going to be able to afford any of it. So I really hope that the Commission will stand back and really look at this and think about this.

The other point I just want to make is they talk about a thousand kilowatts an hour -- or kilowatt-hours. Well, we aren't typical. My house is not typical, so I have a feeling it's going to be a whole lot more than \$1.15 a month. From what I have been reading and researching, we're looking at, you know, like 20, 21 something a month so, you know, this -- this is just ridiculous. It's outrageous, and it's uncalled for.

Thank you.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

14 Ken Crawford. 1 2 MR. CRAWFORD: I'll decline. 3 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Jim Poole. 4 5 MR. POOLE: Hi. I'm Jim Poole. I live 6 at 782 Carini Lane, Greenhills, Ohio 45218. 7 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Raise your 8 right hand. 9 (Witness sworn.) 10 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. 11 12 JIM POOLE 13 presented himself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 THE WITNESS: I'm here to oppose the Duke 17 Energy rate increase, in particular the huge proposed 18 increase in the fixed rate for residential customers. 19 I've always conserved energy both because of 20 environmental reasons as well as not wanting to waste 2.1 money that I simply don't have.

I think the increase Duke Energy is asking for, an additional \$200 per year before we even turn on the lights, is totally outrageous. And don't even let Duke tell you many of their customers

2.2

23

24

25

would even out because of volumetric discounts either. It's ridiculous to make everyone pay more regardless of any future discount. But it's preposterous to then penalize those of us who conserve energy regularly and want to receive the full benefits from installing more energy efficient appliances or solar on our rooftops.

2.1

I really can't afford and don't want to pay this Duke bill. That's like adding a 13th or 14th bill per year. As much as I will have trouble paying an additional amount, the people who will be hurt the most by this increase are the people who are on the most fixed incomes. People like my mom, she's 78 years old, lives in a modest two-room apartment, and only nets \$1,000 per month from her Social Security check. She already has to stretch that measly monthly amount much further than most of us would ever have to experience.

She's always worrying about what to do if her rent goes up, if her other bills increase, and what happens if she doesn't get an increase yet again this year in her Social Security check. She can't handle any small increase. And, now, we're told that Duke's going to raise her rates and make her pay an additional \$200 more per year in just the fixed

customer charge alone, a charge she has no way to control herself. And my question is why does Duke think they need this more than my mom?

And she's not alone in these worries.

She lives about an hour east of Cincinnati in a rural community where she's lived her entire adult life.

In her apartment complex there are 50 residents who are in exactly the same boat she's in. And none of them live in an apartment large enough to reap any benefits from the ridiculous volumetric discount Duke is touting as softening the hurt this increase would cause.

Think of everyone who this will affect who, like my mom, can't afford any increase, let alone being able to come here tonight to speak out against it. Please do it for all of us. Reject this Duke fixed income -- or fixed rate increase.

Thanks.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Caitlin Smith.

MS. SMITH: Hi. My name is Caitlin Smith, 3926 Trevor Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45211.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Raise your

24 right hand.

2.1

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Go ahead.

2.1

CAITLIN SMITH

presented herself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: So I work in community mental health, so as you can imagine, I see a lot of people in poverty. This Duke bill is going to negatively affect those people. Not only for myself working as a community mental health provider and not making a large salary, it's going to be difficult to, you know, bear the brunt of that cost.

However, I'm thinking more about my clients and the people that I see in the community every day that are already struggling, that are budgeting down to the last penny. This is going to really negatively affect them and we are going to see an increase in anxiety. We are going to see demonstrable mental health effects from this.

So I think this is something we should consider as, you know, something neglective that is going to impact our community. And that's all.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Rich McVay.

MR. McVAY: Good evening. Rich McVay, 8198 Daleview Road, Cincinnati, Ohio.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Go ahead.

2.1

presented himself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

RICH McVAY

THE WITNESS: At the outset I would like to convey to this committee and this staff of the PUCO congratulations on the hard work you guys do in maintaining a reliable supply of low cost energy for the state of Ohio. I appreciate that. And I thank you for holding this important public hearing this evening.

I do not support the Duke proposal to increase fixed monthly charges by 280 percent. I live in Colerain, a township of 58,500 people. They have approximate 2,000 households, about 2,500 businesses, all who pay their electric bills. Maybe not all, I think they do. 23 percent of our family incomes are below \$35,000 a year. Those are families with sometimes both people working and having more

than one job. 44 percent of our family incomes are below 50,000 a year. 53 percent of the families with children under 5 years of age in our township live in poverty. 38 percent of the families with children under 18 years of age live in poverty as well.

That's census data. These families who can't afford attorneys are not able to attend these meetings.

They can't afford this increase. Your committee is their first line of hope.

2.1

Now, prior to the meeting this evening, I did go online to try to see if I could find a one- or two-page simple summary of why Duke thinks they merit this rate increase, and I couldn't find that. I did get something here as I walked in the door. I was also struck by the fact there is all these postings by attorneys, most of them well paid, who are making a case for their own companies. Most of the people I talk about can't afford those attorneys.

And I did look at some of the submissions that were made by people online. You can see those where some have filled out these packets where volunteers have said send your things in so it gets to the PUCO, and I am glad that you pay attention to that. But I hope you give them the same merit that you give the inputs of an attorney who makes \$200,000

a year because the people that are being affected are these poor people we're talking about.

2.1

2.2

Over my 50-year business career, I've operated with the assumption there is no such thing as a fixed cost that Duke talks about. In the final analysis all costs are variable. This is the assumption that guided our products strategy as Proctor and Gamble. You set your product cost rates for power each year, what it would take to produce target margins. Duke needs to do that as well.

The regular rate established that PUCO does use should require zero base budgeting for each year and every rate increase. So now they're asking they want to have their fixed rate increased. Fixed costs are not real. Fixed costs are imagined and championed by those who don't want to bother to define and reduce the total base cost of running their business. They just wanted to pass this fixed costs on from PUCO hearing to PUCO hearing so they don't have to defend it. Consumers have no choice about their energy distribution. They don't have the free market to promote competitive pricing.

What we have is your committee and Commission. I ask you to hold the line and deny the rate increase requests by Duke. They have not made

1 their case. I see that they are asking for an 2 increase of \$15 million. Duke is a big company. doesn't take much effort to come up and save \$15 3 million. Two or three bright people from the outside 4 5 can go into Duke and show them how to save that money 6 and avoid this rate increase, and I respect the fact that the staff of PUCO has declined this. I think 7 that's well reasoned on your part and applaud you for 8 9 that. 10 Thank you. 11 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. 12 Steffanie Gentile. 13 MS. GENTILE: I'm going to pass. My 14 points have already been said. 15 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. 16 Crystal Steele. 17 MS. STEELE: My name is Crystal Steele, 18 4043 Sharon Park Lane, Sharonville, Ohio 45241. 19 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Could you 20 raise your right hand. 2.1 (Witness sworn.) 22 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Go ahead. 23

presented herself as a public witness, and being

CRYSTAL STEELE

24

25

first duly sworn, testified as follows:

2.1

DIRECT EXAMINATION

earlier about some of the community workers that works in the community. I am also a community worker. I'm a director at a family homeless shelter in Walnut Hills, and I see a lot of our families in poverty. I see a lot of children with no heat, no electric. All of our families -- most of our families that come into our family shelter is because of Duke. They can't pay electric. And I know that PIPP and HEAP is a helpful thing for some families, and it is for some families.

But with the increase in Duke it's going to be hard for our families to -- to pay their electric bill, to get utilities cut on. There's a lot of landlords who will not include utilities into the rent for us to pay for our families to get into housing, and also with the Human Services that John Cranley is wanting to cut as well, that is tearing a hole in our budget at the family shelter. So we're paying thousands of dollars a week to pretty much provide for electric for our families to live in stable housing so they are not living on the street. And then that's like on my career side.

On the personal side I also have an elderly mother that I take care of who is on a fixed income. And me being a single mother with three boys, I'm always helping her to pay her electric bills. She lives in a two -- two-bedroom apartment building and I'm always helping her and she's always crying to me about how her Duke keeps going up and up and up.

And also on that notion, me being a single mother I am trying to be a homeowner myself, and with the rate increase it's really holding me back from not being a homeowner. So that's going to tear that whole economic society through the roof like everything is going to go up and up, and people won't be able to buy milk, bread, anything, and all the essentials that they need for their household.

Thank you.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Linda Newman.

MS. NEWMAN: I decline. Everything has been said.

22 EXAMINER WALSTRA: All right. Thank you.

don't know if anyone signed up additionally. Yes,

This is all I have on the list and I

25 | they have.

2.1

24 Jill, do you know if there is an updated 1 2 list? Here comes one. 3 Ryan Hoskins. MR. HOSKINS: Ryan Hoskins, 7325 Werner 4 5 Avenue, Cincinnati, 45231. 6 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Raise your 7 right hand. (Witness sworn.) 8 9 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Go ahead. 10 11 RYAN HOSKINS 12 presented himself as a public witness, and being 13 first duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 THE WITNESS: I'm here today to speak out 16 against what is essentially a fee increase charged to 17 Cincinnati consumers purely because they are 18 customers of Duke Energy. Duke Energy is reporting a 19 net profit for 2016 of \$12.17 billion. That's not 20 operation costs. It's not what it takes to pay 2.1 people to put the lines in. That's profit margin. 22 Duke continues its fights around the country to 23 maintain the status quo in energy as new technology 24 seek markets to bring savings and control and 25 innovation to the private consumer. They actually

fight privately-owned solar and wind anywhere they do business. Ask the poor idiot as of last year.

2.1

So what this is -- what will this approximately 200 percent increase in a fee do to the poor and people on fixed incomes? How much more could you afford if you only had so much money coming in? The citizens that will be affected the most are clearly the poor and unfortunately fixed incomes. This will force some to decide this winter between food and potentially heat.

How does this actually benefit anyone other than Duke Energy and its shareholders? They use an electric grid that's based on technology and design that's 100 years old and still rely upon environmentally disastrous generation technologies or technologies that have been enslaved to the military cultural complex through the waste generated from nuclear power while for decades longer -- for decades better sources have been available.

This proposed fee increase does nothing to benefit the customers nor does it improve technology, reduce transmission loss, or broaden renewables and utilization. Frankly, Duke Energy is a dinosaur of a business model selling an antiquated product that is desperate to preserve a bloated

profit margin on the backs of citizens that have no choice but to purchase their service and product.

I urge our regulators to stand on the side of fraud in a free market by shutting this bailout down and make a corporate giant adapt to an ever changing world based on new technologies.

Thank you very much.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Appreciate it. Cathy Marksteiner. Is Cathy still here? Sorry.

MS. MARKSTEINER: That's all right. Just taking the long way around.

12 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Not a problem.

MS. MARKSTEINER: Good evening. Cathy
Marksteiner and I live at 5575 Raven Valley Drive,
Liberty Township, Ohio, 45011.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Raise your right hand.

18 (Witness sworn.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

20

21 CATHY MARKSTEINER

22 presented herself as a public witness, and being

23 first duly sworn, testified as follows:

24 DIRECT EXAMINATION

25 THE WITNESS: First of all, I would like

to echo what one person said earlier which is to say thank you to the Public Utilities Commission for this opportunity to be here tonight.

2.1

The other thing is that I would like for us to recognize that we are present because short of a class action suit there is no other opportunity for the general public to have an opportunity to come together. They are the Public Utilities Commission. They represent us, not just the utility company. So I want to congratulate all of you for being here because you represent all of us even if it is one person at a time.

Duke is asking for an increase in both a fixed rate as well as a volumetric. Nobody denied that any company has the opportunity or the right to earn a living, to earn a profit. But to go from 3.14 percent to 7.82 percent is pretty incredible.

Why did they choose those numbers? Is that what inflation is allowing us to handle? These are not questions for the PUCO to be addressing and I understand that. We are not putting that to you but rather these are the frustrations that we as the public also experience.

Duke is constantly asking its customers, its consumers save energy, lower your usage,

especially during the summer. We've had entire grids go down because of overuse. So they've made opportunities available to us to reduce our usage and that includes the little meter that they will put on your air conditioner that will shut it down during when they have high energy use, a rolling brownout.

2.1

I'm a retired firefighter and paramedic, where it was vitally critical that the oxygen keep going so those patients were identified. But it doesn't necessarily mean that they weren't affected. They were. There was some that refused to turn their air conditioning on because they were afraid of the electric bill. We reached them when they were — their body temperatures were high, and it was a hyperthermic situation. We transported them to the hospital. They were afraid to turn on their air conditioning because they couldn't afford the bill already.

As we strive to lower our bills, Duke now wants to turn around and say thank you so much. You did a good job. Now, we are going to raise your bill because we still have to maintain our profit margin. You are doing exactly what we asked you to do. You are using less. It is time that Duke recognize that in using less we are just simply using less. It

doesn't necessarily mean that they need to keep increasing their profit from 3.14 percent to over 7 percent on the backs of us doing what we were supposed to do.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Melissa English.

MS. ENGLISH: Melissa English, 4209

Brookside Avenue, Cincinnati, 45223.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

13

14 MELISSA ENGLISH

presented herself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Others have made my points far more eloquently. Thank you all for turning out and speaking the truth. I will just stand here and say I want to go on record as opposing the Duke rate hike for the reasons that have been presented here today. It is something that discourages energy efficiency which is a direction in which we should be moving. It discourages the use of renewable, a

direction in which we should be moving, and it puts the hardship most on people who can afford it least.

I myself make a decent living. I have seen my health insurance go up 40 percent already this year. I've been informed I am going to see another increase the beginning of next year, and my mortgage has gone up \$250 a month. Even I am going to have trouble paying this extra \$200 to Duke, and I really hope you deny it.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

23

24

25

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

Joe Davidson.

MR. DAVIDSON: Joe Davidson, 4607 Perry

Street, Cincinnati, 45242.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Please proceed.

18

19 JOE DAVIDSON

presented himself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: My take is a little bit different. You are talking about a lot of increases and stuff. The spin I'm going to talk about is the

effect of the supposedly cost savings that Duke did which is basically putting in electronic meters. If you don't know someone who has been affected by it healthwise, you just need to talk to a few people.

2.1

In the last five years when I moved into the property that I'm at now -- by the way I do appreciate PUCO's help in getting those meters removed, but within six months of moving into my house, having had very few health issues before that, I have been blessed with some pretty good health.

Not only did I have -- there is like a long list.

Actually I have some handouts here of symptoms that are pretty typical from exposure to electronic meters.

And, again, this all started pretty drastically with moving into a house that had meters. I mean, within six months of moving in, I had not only one root canal, I had two on the same side of my face which is extremely rare according to my dentist. And, now, I just found out today I have to have one of those teeth removed because they can't save it. I've also in the previous 50 years had maybe four or five teeth that I had worked on. I've had 12 worked on in the last five years I have been exposed to this. It's been drastic.

I did finally figure out part of the issues, had meters removed with PUCO's help because Duke drug their feet because supposedly it's a cost savings measure, and they don't want to go out and read the meters, but we don't care that those meters actually send EMF waves through your house basically microwaving you.

2.1

And I have got a lot of research and a lot of things that go online and look and there's a ton of it out there people are kind of ignoring. Fortunately getting meters removed from my house was a help, and for about a couple years I actually saw a big difference with that.

But, now, I am exposed too at my work because we moved into a new building because -- I can't exactly quit a job just because where they moved, and I seen a steady decline and those health issues coming back. And, you know, a lot of people think it's all in our head.

I tell you what, I went out of the country for a week back in March. And I had joint issues, sleep issues, a lot of the issues I have been having. Went out of the country, Cuba which doesn't have any wifi, doesn't have any electronics at all. It's fairly primitive, but it's a cool place to go.

When I got back, I felt great. I couldn't believe how much better I felt. And it wasn't just because of vacation because it was pretty rustic and didn't sleep all that great, but the difference was dramatic. I got back. I didn't have any joint pain. I was sleeping like I hadn't slept in years and didn't have any issues.

2.1

And then gradually over about two or three weeks all those symptoms started coming back. So to me it's like maybe I was just, you know, getting old, right? But just going not exposed to that for a week, which is not that long, made a huge difference.

And, again, the continued issues I'm having with especially dental health, I mean, I can't even tell you how much I spent in the last two years just on the dental work from -- I've been told from EMF stuff. That's the only thing that's changed in my environment. And, now, they're putting up more and more towers and hyping up the wifi and the EMF effects.

Even though I had the meters removed from my house, they are putting some substations everywhere just to amp up that electricity and these -- all these meters are supposedly to save

money. But, then again, the rate increases have been ridiculous over the last couple of years even though we basically pay for those meters to be put in, and, now, they're still -- they are not reducing costs.

It's just a profit thing for them. So, again, my more issue is the health impact I have had on this stuff, not just the rate increases, but the rate increases are on top of basically poisoning us with the -- because they are -- they don't want to read meters.

2.1

So I don't know if -- if you would like a copy of any of the readings I did here, but I can certainly pass those along.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: You can probably submit them online if you want to, and they will be a part of the record there.

MR. DAVIDSON: Yeah, I appreciate your time.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Barb Boylan.

MS. BOYLAN: I'm Barb Boylan. I live at 4225 Delaney Street, Cincinnati, 45223.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Go ahead.

25 | - - -

BARB BOYLAN

presented herself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

2.1

DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I appreciate everything that the people have said here tonight, particularly about profit margins, so I second all of that. I want to say for the record that I'm opposed to proposals that allow a utility to charge me a mandatory fee for power I'm not using, particularly with this utility where we are not seeing a lot of energy efficiency and renewable programs as Melissa English stated.

I think in that way that's not a good business practice, and I am opposed to a utility that is not providing that kind of good business practice and also one that can charge these fixed rates.

What I really wanted to talk about tonight is the thing I find, since it's Halloween time, a little bit of creepy business practice and that is that I see a utility as being a part of the basic needs. It's housing. It has to do with how we have to be in the modern world. You need utilities. So in the old psychology triangle of the part of the hierarchy of need that's at the bottom, you know,

food, shelter, water, and so we have to have utilities. And while I understand this is a business and the profit margins need to satisfy the stakeholders, it's also something that you can't have creepy business practices on and I oppose that all generally around people and I know there's great programs and I think that they are out there but that's not -- they are never enough.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So what I wanted to read tonight was things going on in the renter's world and I think this affects greatly this what's going to be referred to as the 13th payment now if this rate hike increases. In Hamilton County, which is where I am, workers pay 30 percent of their income for housing while earning minimum wage. This is because rent has risen 66 percent since 2000 and household incomes have only risen 35 percent. The highest eviction rates in the country includes Cincinnati. It's ranked 10 out of the top 50 and there is a newspaper article that just came out last week which I will post to your website. Out of the earnings of less than \$30,000 a year, 11 percent of people faced eviction threatened in the last year. Rents are rising in Cincinnati metro at the fastest pace of any major metro in the Midwest. In August of this year,

monthly rates averaged \$935. That's a 15 percent increase from August of 2016 when they averaged \$750.

I ask the utilities how could you allow increases to fixed charges that benefit the utility and their shareholders and making people pay basically a 13th electric bill on the burden of what I would call a basic need.

Thank you.

9 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Carren 10 Herring.

MS. HERRING: Carren Herring, 3549 Glen
Ledge Drive, Cincinnati, 45213.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Raise your right hand.

15 (Witness sworn.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

16 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Go ahead.

17

18 CARREN HERRING

presented herself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

I'm a representative payee for 25 clients that have mental illness and they are required by the courts to have someone pay their bills and manage their money.

This fixed rate increase would severely harm people like my clients who live month to month. There's no way they can control this increase by decreasing their electric use. I ask PUCO to reject the request.

2.1

2.2

I myself am a renter and face the same issues. I see this as a moral issue, that we have to care for the most vulnerable in our society and protect them from rate increases that are out of their control that no amount of good stewardship of their use of electricity would impact.

I also live in the neighborhood where

Duke is trying to put in a high pressure natural gas

line which we are vehemently opposing. Duke is not

one of my favorites.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.

That is all I have on the list. Is there anyone who still wishes to testify?

That being said, I want to thank everyone for coming out. The Commission will consider everything that is said here today. I do encourage if you still want to submit comments, you can do that online.

We do have a second public hearing which is taking place Thursday at 12:30 at Cincinnati City

Hall. That being said we are adjourned and thank you all. (Thereupon, at 6:48 p.m., the hearing was concluded.) CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, October 30, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Merit Reporter. (KSG-6444) OHIO MINIMINI

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/15/2017 10:52:09 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-0032-EL-AIR, 17-0033-EL-ATA, 17-0034-EL-AAM

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in Its Electric Distribution Rates; In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval and In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods, hearing held on October 30, 2017/ electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.