FILE

- 24

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Energy Professionals, LLC for Renewal)	Case No. 11-5444-GA-AGG
Of Its Certification as a Natural Gas)	
Broker/Aggregator)	

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

Now comes Energy Professionals, LLC ("Energy Professionals"), seeking renewal of its certification to provide Natural Gas Broker/Aggregator services; and pursuant to Rule 4901-l-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C.") moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio for a protective order to keep financial exhibits C-3, C-5 & C-7 to its renewal application for certification confidential and not part of the public record. The reasons underlying this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support. Consistent with the requirements of the above cited Rule, three (3) unredacted copies of the exhibits are submitted under seal.

ZOITOCT 25 PM 3: 06

Respectfully submitted,

VP Corporate Affairs
Energy Professionals, LLC
9701 International Court North
Suite A, St. Petersburg, FL 33716
LZepeda@executiveenergy.com

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

Energy Professionals requests that the information designated as confidential –

Exhibits C-3(Financial Statements) & C-5 (Forecasted Financial Statements) of its

Renewal Certification Application for Competitive Retail Natural Gas

Brokers/Aggregators be protected from public disclosure. The information for which

protection is sought covers financial forecasts, statements, and internal information. Such information if released to the public would harm Energy Professionals by providing its competitors proprietary information in what is designed by statute to now be a competitive service.

Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division, to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. State law recognizes the need to protect certain types of information which are the subject of this motion. The non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

The need to protect the designated information from public disclosure is clear, and there is compelling legal authority supporting the requested confidentiality. While the

Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982.)

Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(A)(7)).

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, patter, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R.C.§1333.61(D). This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of the companies' subject to its

jurisdiction, the trade secrets statute creates a duty to protect them. New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y., 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, and now the new entrants who will be providing power through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. This Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, Elyria Tel. Co., Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); Ohio Bell Tel. Co., Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 17, 1990).

In Pyromatics. Inc. v. Petruziello, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing Koch Engineering Co. v. Faulconer, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), has delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

Applying these factors to the financial exhibits Energy Professionals seeks to keep confidential, it is clear that a request for confidentiality should be granted. Similar motions were granted in November, 2015 by the PUCO for Energy Professionals' Natural Gas Broker/Aggregator certificate renewal.

Exhibits C-3 & C-5 contain confidential financial information. Such

sensitive financial information is generally not disclosed. Its disclosure could give competitors an advantage that would hinder Energy Professionals ability to compete. On the other hand, public disclosure of this financial information is not likely to assist the Commission in carrying out its duties under CRES rules.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons Energy Professionals requests the Commission to grant its motion for a protective order and to maintain exhibits C-3 & C-5 of its Renewal Certification Application for Competitive Retail Natural Gas Brokers/Aggregators under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

Laura Zepeda

VP Corporate Affairs Energy Professionals, LLC

9701 International Court North, Ste A

midh.

St. Petersburg, FL 33716

LZepeda@executiveenergy.com

LIST OF EXHIBITS

2	FOR WHICH CONFIDENTIALITY IS SOUGHT			
3 4 5	EXHIBIT C-3 (Balance Sheets)	REASONS JUSTIFYING CONFIDENTIALITY This exhibit contains financial information. Disclosure would give an unfair advantage to competitors and would hinder Energy Professionals		
6		ability to compete.		
7	C-5 (Financial Forecasts)	This exhibit contains financial information. Disclosure would give an unfair advantage to		
9		competitors and would hinder Energy Professionals' ability to compete.		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26 27				
28 ;				