

FILE

4

PUCO Change of Venue Aug 8 2017

Public Utilities Commission Ohio

Dawn Heller
838 May Street #1
Akron, Ohio 44311

Complainant,
vs.

The East Ohio Gas Company
dba/ Dominion Energy Ohio

Respondant

Re: Case No. 17-1546-GA-CSS

2017 AUG -9 AM 11:41
PUCO

Appellant herein finds that in accordance with orders of this body that in the absense of settlement on the part of respondants, that an agency order for review would be just and would allow for the further review of a Superior Court Authority in the event of Claimants inability to appear, due to significant distance preventing attendance.

The Claimant Appellant lacks resources to appear before the agency review board in Columbus, as she is indigent and lacks sufficient funding nor the transporation to appear before arbitrary or counter claim hearings on review; and merely requested that Domionin EOG Settle on matters to show that all requested repairs, whether lawfully requested or not, were made, and to verify cerification of those repairs, so that further problems would be eliminated.

Such matters should normally be dismissed by the trying party at this time concerning the shut off of services, seeing that services have been restored, but citing that they were re-instated without certification, and hold civil

Page 1

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician Shirley Date Processed AUG 09 2017

PUCO Change of Venue Aug 8 2017

orders to maintain an effect of third party vexatious prosecution and attempts to attach to property continue, Via Shared agency authority.

The Appellant is happy where called for to initiate investigations, or discuss any matters of importance to the agency by telephone conference with board in Columbus. However She requests that such hearing be made through the local common pleas courts via thier teleconferencing faciilties. If it may be arranged, under ORC 4901.-1-26(f), or in accordance with

"Rule 16. Pretrial Conferences; Scheduling; Management | Federal ...

The provision for consulting at a scheduling conference by "telephone, mail, or other means" is deleted.

A scheduling conference is more effective if the court and parties engage in direct simultaneous communication.

The conference may be held in person, by telephone, or by more sophisticated electronic means."

FRCP: 45 would require summons of complaint to issue: which would only be instated, in the refusal to rule properly in upholding appellants rights for protected services, as all services were restored.

Failure to allow all or any rights to the appellant, would indicate, at this point a possible increased risk to National Security. for matters pending appeal on similar related property interest matters.

Appellant asks that in the inability of a teleconference that matters be removed to the, summit County Common Pleas Court, or

board of of Revisions for the PUCO review hearing, at thier chosen location, with updated orders assigning a meeting place for such teleconference to take place.

PUCO Change of Venue Aug 8 2017

This is again at my appology but is only to accomodate indigent status of the Claimant/Landlord, in the deprivation of gas services by errant civil orders, and in the preservation of civil rights and of due process.

Appellant, cites that without the ability to travel long distances, and assuming there would be some local office to deal with local PUCO issues she would be in forfeiture of her rights outside of the lawful consideration of any meritorious claims making jurisdictional preferences by this agency to be made irrelevant, and unconstitutional as a practice in violation of 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 11th, and 14th amendment rights. To avoid the abridgment of equal access, and of other civil and due process rights. for this reason, an order may be made by this body with or without meeting, to initiate SAID investigations listed under order, and the Appellant will be happy to act as a witness, if required to do so, but it is her personal desire to only make settlement in the preservation of gas services and by extension, her linked property rights.

Appellant merely asked to verify return of gas services, to avoid ongoing harrasment, and to make any attempt at settlement, referring questions concerning service addresses etc., prior to making a return or write off of such errant enforcements. made in writing and not responded to. This wasa not an attempt to invoke an inquisition. but in the failure of all honest and good faith attempts at private communication with the Respondants DEO, either by telephone or in writing have all failed.

Appellant cites that any rights of agency administrators of the courts being overridden, that result in the appellants rights abridged shall For controversies remaining would be subject however to

PUCO Change of Venue Aug 8 2017
scrutiny of the Supreme Court
in the loss of those rights.

Sincerely Submitted this day,

Dawn M. Heller, pro se

Dawn M. Heller, pro se
838 May Street #1
Akron, Ohio 44311
(234) 678-7809