BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of the : Ohio Development Services Agency for :

an Order Approving Adjustments to the : Case No. 17-1377-EL-USF

Universal Service Fund Riders of :

Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution

Utilities. :

TESTIMONY OF

MEGAN MEADOWS

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGENCY

TESTIMONY OF MEGAN MEADOWS On Behalf of The Ohio Development Services Agency

| \mathbf{Q} | . Р | lease state | your | name | and | busi | iness | add | ress. |
|--------------|-----|-------------|------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-------|
|--------------|-----|-------------|------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-------|

- 2 A. My name is Megan Meadows. My business address is Ohio Development Services
- 3 Agency ("ODSA"), 77 South High Street, 25th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1001.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by ODSA as Assistant Deputy Chief of the Office of Community
- 6 Assistance ("OCA"), an office within ODSA's Division of Community Services.
- 7 Q. Please briefly describe your professional experience and educational background.
- 8 A. I have served as the Assistant Deputy Chief for the Ohio Development Services Agency,
- 9 Office of Community Assistance since March of 2016. In this position I directly oversee
- the Universal Services Fund rate case. Prior to this position I was the Director of
- Operations and Planning for Lancaster-Fairfield Community Action Agency, a non-profit
- 12 Community Action Agency whose mission is to serve those in need with programs that
- promote self-sufficiency. While in this position I provided service to many low-income
- Ohioans that participated in the PIPP program and other energy assistance programs
- available. I also oversaw the agency's regional Homeless Crisis Response Program,
- Adult Literacy and Basic Education program and the Temporary Assistance for Needy
- Families Summer Youth program. In that position I was also responsible for and
- participated in the development of the grant application and reporting for all other agency
- programs. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in Psychology from Wheeling Jesuit
- University, WV.

21

11883475v2 2

| 1 | Q. | What are your d | uties and resp | onsibilities as | OCA's Assista | ant Deputy Ch | nief? |
|---|----|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|-------|
| - | χ. | , , 11000 001 0 , 0 011 01 | | 3011010111110 | 0 011 0 11001000 | ···· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | |

- 2 A. OCA administers a number of energy assistance programs for low-income utility
- 3 customers, including the federally-funded Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
- 4 Program ("LIHEAP"), Home Weatherization Assistance Program ("HWAP"),
- 5 Community Service Block Grant program, State Energy Program, Ohio Coal Research
- and Development Program and Alternative Fuels Transportation Program. In addition,
- OCA administers the electric PIPP program, which is funded from the state treasury's
- 8 Universal Service Fund ("USF"). As Assistant Deputy Chief, I have responsibility for
- 9 administering the funds that support these programs. I also have management
- responsibility for the day-to-day operations of the Energy, HWAP, CSBG, Special
- Projects Unit and Information Management Sections of OCA.
- 12 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 13 A. Yes, I testified in the USF proceeding last year, Case No. 16-1223-EL-USF.
- 14 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 15 A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the revenue requirement and rate design
- methodologies contained in the Notice of Intent ("NOI") filed in this proceeding on May
- 17 31, 2017. I also will address the objections to the NOI filed by the Duke Energy Ohio,
- Inc. ("Duke"), the Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy ("OPAE") and The Kroger Co.
- 19 ("Kroger").
- 20 Q. Please summarize the NOI.
- 21 A. The purpose of the NOI phase of this proceeding is to determine the revenue requirement
- and rate design methodologies ODSA proposes to use in preparing its 2017 USF rider

11883475v2 3

1 rate adjustment application for the 2018 calendar year. The NOI proposes the same rate

design methodology that the Commission has approved since 2001, and also recommends

that the PUCO adopt nearly the same revenue requirement methodology.

The methodologies ensure adequate funding for the low-income customer assistance

programs and the consumer education programs administered by ODSA, and provide a

reasonable contribution by all customer classes to the USF revenue requirement.

Moreover, the methodologies adopted will result in USF rider rates that represent the

minimal rates necessary to collect the EDUs' USF rider revenue requirements.

Q. Have you reviewed Duke's Objection to the NOI?

A. Yes. Duke states that it agreed to an accounting adjustment with ODSA and Commission staffs in 2012 for Percentage of Income Payment Plan ("PIPP") purposes. Duke claims that the adjustment resulted in an "accounting anomaly" that led to the USF fund underreimbursing Duke in the amount of \$1,560,871.49. Duke and ODSA have discussed Duke's claim for a number of years, and ODSA engaged an independent accounting firm to assess the issue in 2015. Neither ODSA nor the independent accounting firm has been able to confirm Duke's claim. Without sufficient facts to support Duke's claim, ODSA cannot accept it at this time

Q. What does ODAS propose with respect to Duke's objection?

19 A. The NOI phase of this proceeding provides intervenors the opportunity to contest the 20 methodologies proposed. Inasmuch as Duke's objection goes to the accuracy of USF 21 calculations, and not the methodologies subject to this NOI phase of the proceeding,

4

.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

¹ Duke Objections at 2. 11883475v2

1 ODSA agrees to engage in continuing negotiations and consider this objection in the 2 application phase of this proceeding.

Have you reviewed OPAE's Objection? Q.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

4 A. Yes. In the NOI, ODSA proposes to retain the traditional two-step declining block rate 5 design adopted in every USF proceeding since 2001. In each proceeding, the Commission has found that the rate design does not violate R.C. 4928.52(C), which 6 7 requires that the USF rider rate not shift among customer classes the cost of funding low-8 income customer assistance programs.

> OPAE objects to the two-tier rate design, claiming that it shifts costs from customers with usage in the second tier to customers with usage only in the first tier. OPAE litigated this issue in the 2015 USF proceeding and the Commission expressly found that OPAE's analysis failed to demonstrate any significant cost shift between customer classes as required by the statute.² OPAE's objection also fails to support a shift in costs among customer classes, and ODSA cannot accept it.

0. Have you reviewed Kroger's Objection?

16 A. Whereas OPAE seeks to eliminate the two-step declining block rate structure, Kroger seeks to retain and expand it. Kroger objects that the second tier is not available to 17 mercantile customers, as defined in R.C. 4928.01(A)(19). 18

> Although Kroger raised this same issue in the 2016 USF rider rate proceeding, Kroger has yet to present information as to how its proposal would be implemented and the number of mercantile customers affected. Nor has it presented information on how the

5

² See In the Matter of the Application of the Ohio Development Services Agency for an Order Approving Adjustments to the Universal Service Fund Riders of Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution Utilities, Case No. 15-1046-EL-USF, Opinion and Order (October 28, 2015), at 23. 11883475v2

- proposal would affect Ohio's electric distribution utilities, other ratepayers, and ODSA's
- 2 administration of the PIPP program. Considering the lack of information available in
- 3 Kroger's proposal, ODSA is not in a position to accept it.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 5 A. Yes. However, I reserve the right to supplement my testimony, and to file additional
- 6 reply testimony per the Attorney Examiner's procedural entry of June 7, 2017.

11883475v2 6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing *Testimony of Megan Meadows* has been served upon the following parties by first class mail, postage prepaid, and/or electronic mail this 24th day of July 2017.

Steven T. Nourse Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, Ohio 43215 stnouse@aep.com

Randall V. Griffin
Judi L. Sobecki
Michael J. Schuler
The Dayton Power & Light Company
MacGregor Park
1065 Woodman Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Randall.Griffin@dplinc.com
Judi.Sobecki@dplinc.com
Michael.Schuler@aes.com

Elizabeth H. Watts
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 155
East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com

Angela Paul Whitfield Kimberly W. Bojko Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Bojko@capenterlipps.com Paul@carpenterlipps.com William L. Wright
Section Chief, Public Utilities Section
Thomas W. McNamee
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
William.Wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
Thomas.McNamee@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Dane Stenson

Sam Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Matthew Pritchard
McNees, Wallace & Nurick
Fifth Third Center Suite 910
21 East State Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Ajay Kumar Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Ajay.Kumar@occ.oh.us

Carrie M. Dunn
FirstEnergy Corp.
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Colleen L. Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
PO Box 1793
231 West Lima Street
Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793
cmooney@ohiopartners.org

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/24/2017 5:08:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-1377-EL-USF

Summary: Testimony of Megan Meadows electronically filed by Dane Stinson on behalf of Ohio Development Services Agency