

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy)
Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider DR-IM for 2016 Grid) Case No. 17-1403-EL-RDR
Modernization Costs.)

**MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke" or "Utility") requests approval to adjust its advanced utility rider ("Rider AU") to collect from customers 2016 costs for grid modernization.¹ OCC files on behalf of the 623,000 residential utility customers of Duke who pay for the grid modernization.² The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE WESTON (0016973)
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Jodi Bair
Jodi Bair (0062921)
Counsel of Record
Christopher Healey (0086027)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
Telephone [Bair]: (614) 466-9559
Telephone [Healey]: (614) 466-9571
Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.gov
Christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov
(Will accept service via email)

¹ Application at 1 (June 29, 2017).

² See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy)
Ohio, Inc. To Adjust Rider DR-IM for 2016 Grid) Case No. 17-1403-GA-RDR
Modernization Costs.)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

The outcome of this case will affect the charges that Duke’s residential customers must pay to maintain electric service to their homes. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of Duke’s 623,000 residential utility customers, according to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding that impacts the amount that they will pay for their utility services. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of Duke in this case involving the PUCO's determination of what customers will pay for grid modernization. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where the residential customers of Duke will be charged for SmartGrid equipment.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4).

These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider “The extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.³

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC’s Motion to Intervene.

³ See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE WESTON (0016973)
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Jodi Bair
Jodi Bair (0062921)
Counsel of Record
Christopher Healey (0086027)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
Telephone [Bair]: (614) 466-9559
Telephone [Healey]: (614) 466-9571
Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.gov
Christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov
(Will accept service via email)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene* was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 19th day of July 2017.

/s/ Jodi Bair

Jodi Bair

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

William Wright
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
30 E. Broad St., 16th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
William.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Amy B. Spiller
Elizabeth H. Watts
139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main
P.O. Box 960
Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960
Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com

Attorney Examiners:

Patricia.Schabo@puc.state.oh.us
Nicholas.walstra@puc.state.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/19/2017 4:32:46 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-1403-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Jamie Williams on behalf of Bair, Jodi Mrs.