

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In The Matter of the Audit of the Exit Transition )	
Cost Rider of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, )	Case No. 17-220-GA-EXR
Inc. )	
In The Matter of The Audit of The Uncollectible )	
Expense Rider of Vectren Energy Delivery of )	Case No. 17-320-GA-UEX
Ohio, Inc. )	
In The Matter of The Audit of The Percentage of )	
Income Payment Plan Rider of Vectren Energy )	Case No. 17-420-GA-PIP
Delivery of Ohio, Inc. )	

---

**MOTION TO INTERVENE  
BY  
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

---

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case<sup>1</sup> where the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") is auditing the Exit Transition Cost Rider, Uncollectible Expense Rider and Percentage of Income Payment Plan Rider of the Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio ("Vectren" or "Utility"). These rider charges are added onto the bills that Vectren's customers pay and thus, should be reviewed to determine if the charges are verifiable. OCC is filing on behalf of the 293,000 residential utility customers of Vectren. The reasons the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

---

<sup>1</sup> See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE WESTON (0016973)  
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Ajay Kumar

Ajay Kumar (0092208)  
Counsel of Record  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
Telephone: [Kumar] 614-466-1292  
Ajay.kumar@occ.ohio.gov  
(will accept service via email)

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In The Matter of the Audit of the Exit Transition )  
Cost Rider of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, ) Case No. 17-220-GA-EXR  
Inc. )

In The Matter of The Audit of The Uncollectible )  
Expense Rider of Vectren Energy Delivery of ) Case No. 17-320-GA-UEX  
Ohio, Inc. )

In The Matter of The Audit of The Percentage of )  
Income Payment Plan Rider of Vectren Energy ) Case No. 17-420-GA-PIP  
Delivery of Ohio, Inc. )

---

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

---

The PUCO is auditing the Transition Cost Rider, uncollectable expense Rider, and the Percentage of Income Payment Plan Rider. These riders affect the rates that residential customers pay for natural gas utility service. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the 293,00 residential utility customers of Vectren, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding that affects the rates those customers pay for natural gas service. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of Vectren in this case involving an audit of certain expenses that the Utility seeks authority to collect from customers in natural gas rates. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a “real and substantial interest” according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case that will affect the rates that customers pay for natural gas service.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider “The extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20.

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE WESTON (0016973)  
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Ajay Kumar

Ajay Kumar (0092208)  
Counsel of Record  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
Telephone: [Kumar] 614-466-1292  
Ajay.kumar@occ.ohio.gov  
(will accept service via email)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 28<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2017.

*/s/ Ajay Kumar* \_\_\_\_\_  
Ajay Kumar  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**SERVICE LIST**

William.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov    jlemond@vectren.com

Attorney Examiner:  
James.lynn@puc.state.oh.us

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**6/28/2017 3:45:37 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 17-0220-GA-EXR, 17-0320-GA-UEx, 17-0420-GA-PIP**

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Jamie Williams on behalf of Kumar, Ajay Mr.