BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application of Ohio Power :
Company for Authority to :

Establish a Standard : Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO

Service Offer Pursuant to: RC 4928.143 in the Form of: an Electric Security Plan:

In the Matter of the : Application of Ohio Power :

Company for Approval of : Case No. 16-1853-EL-AAM

Certain Accounting : Authority. :

PUBLIC HEARING

before Sarah J. Parrot, Hearing Examiner, and Beth Trombold, Commissioner, at the Bucyrus City Hall Council Chambers, 500 South Sandusky Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio, called at 6:00 p.m. on Monday, April 10, 2017.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            American Electric Power
            By Matthew McKenzie
 3
            One Riverside Plaza
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373
 4
 5
                 On behalf of American Electric Power
                 Service Corporation.
 6
 7
            Bruce E. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel
            By Kevin Moore
 8
            10 West Broad Street
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
10
                 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of
                 American Electric Power Ohio.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Monday Evening Session, April 10, 2017.

_

2.1

EXAMINER PARROT: Let's get started. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing at this time and place Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO which is captioned In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to RC 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, and in Case No. 16-1853-EL-AAM captioned In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

Good evening, everyone. My name is Sarah Parrot. I am an Attorney-Examiner with the Commission's legal department. I will be conducting the public hearing this evening. Presiding with me to my left here is Commissioner Beth Trombold.

COMMISSIONER TROMBOLD: Good evening. I got to meet a couple of you when I arrived. I just wanted to say thank you for having us here today. These kinds of public hearings are really important for the Commission to find out what you're thinking about cases that are pending before us and to be part of the record and considered when we make a decision.

I'm one of five Commissioners appointed by Governor Kasich to look over these types of matters in cases that come before us. And today I will be listening intently and taking notes, and, again, this becomes part of the record. And Attorney-Examiner Parrot will be leading the hearing itself, so thank you.

2.1

EXAMINER PARROT: Also with us this evening are personnel from the Commission's Public Affairs and Service Monitoring and Enforcement Departments. Lee Gary is standing at the rear of the room there and Jill Kocher as well at the back. If you have questions about general utility matters, anything that's just general types of questions about your utility service, they can assist you, but they're not here this evening to help you with the specifics of the Company's application that we are here to discuss this evening. And I'll get into that in just a bit.

At this time, before we do that, let's get started with appearances on behalf of the parties, and we will start with Ohio Power Company.

MR. McKENZIE: Matthew McKenzie, American Electric Power Service Corporation, 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio, 43215, on behalf of Ohio Power

1 Company.

2.1

2.2

2 EXAMINER PARROT: On behalf of the Ohio 3 Consumers' Counsel.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor,
Commissioner Trombold, on behalf of the Residential
Consumers of AEP Ohio, the Office of the Ohio
Consumers' Counsel Bruce A. Weston, Consumers'
Counsel, by Kevin Moore, 10 West Broad Street,
Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

EXAMINER PARROT: We will do our best to speak up. I don't believe our microphone is on for us this evening, but if you do have trouble hearing, please let us know, and we'll definitely repeat ourselves.

Any other counsel in the room representing any of the parties to the case?

All right. I do note, however, that there are a number of other parties that have

formally intervened in these proceedings. There are intervenors representing residential customers, commercial customers, industrial customers and many other consumer advocates. Each of these parties represents its various constituents in the case before the Commission.

On November 23rd, 2016 Ohio Power Company

filed an application with the Commission to establish a standard service offer in the form of an Electric Security Plan or ESP to be in effect for the period June 2018 through May of 2024.

2.1

The four local public hearings scheduled in this case are just one aspect of this case process. An evidentiary hearing is also scheduled to commence on June 6, 2016 at the Commission offices in Columbus, Ohio.

The purpose of this evening's public hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding Ohio Power Company's application in this case. It is not a question and answer session, but it's your opportunity to let the Commission know what you think about Ohio Power Company's application.

Tonight's hearing as you probably noticed is being transcribed by a court reporter. If you plan to testify, please speak clearly so that the court reporter can accurately reflect your comments on the record. Also, if you have prepared a written statement, it would be helpful if you would provide a copy to the court reporter.

When you arrived, you should have been offered the opportunity to sign up to testify. After I finish with my introduction, I will begin calling

witnesses forward that have signed the sign-up sheet. I will ask each witness to come up to the podium and face the court reporter. Before you give your comments, I will ask you to state your name and address. Before you present your testimony, I will also ask you to take an oath or affirmation that what you're about to say is the truth.

2.1

Your testimony will then be considered part of the official record in the case, and it will be reviewed by the Commission before a final decision is made on the application.

Additionally, the parties to the case and I will be permitted to ask you questions about your statement. Should you decide that you do not wish to testify when I call your name, you can pass to the next witness. And once you have finished testifying or if you're here just to observe, please, of course, feel free to leave at any time you wish.

The Commission appreciates your participation in tonight's hearing, and we want everyone who wishes to make a public statement to have the opportunity to do so.

At this time, I'm going to begin calling witnesses forward and our first witness is Beth Eckersley. And I'm also going to apologize in

advance if I mispronounce names.

2.1

MS. ECKERSLEY: You got that one right.

EXAMINER PARROT: Not my strong suit.

Please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth?

MS. ECKERSLEY: I do so swear.

EXAMINER PARROT: All right. Please state your full name and address for the record.

MS. ECKERSLEY: Beth A. Eckersley, and this is my husband, Douglas Eckersley. We reside at 2488 Merbrook Road, that's Columbus, Ohio, 43235.

EXAMINER PARROT: Okay, thank you.

MS. ECKERSLEY: Good evening. As I said, we are Doug and Beth Eckersley. We have lived in Ohio for over 20 years. We have owned property in Ohio for over 20 years. We have voted in almost every election since moving to Ohio over 20 years ago.

We have also been American Electric Power customers for over 20 years. This is not by choice. We have not had any other option. A while back, we chose IGS which is an alternative energy provider. Our electric bills still came from AEP and it included our energy use history and the IGS charges

including their per kilowatt hour rate.

2.1

2.2

Shortly after we switched to IGS, AEP revised our budget amount raising it significantly.

As it was not the time of year for the annual reconciliation of our budget account, we believe this adjustment was due to the switch to IGS.

In March of last year, we received a disconnect notice from AEP. They claim that our monthly payments had been received several days late. We were surprised that they would consider disconnecting us. According to our bill, we had over \$500 accrued on the balance of our budget account. The disconnect notice indicated that we now owed more than \$400. We could not figure out how almost a thousand dollars had disappeared.

I called AEP and asked for an explanation. No logical one was provided and two customer service representatives hung up on me during my pursuit for answers. The third person I spoke with informed me that the budget amount was for AEP charges only and did not apply to my IGS charges.

I expressed confusion and disbelief since the budget amount had been adjusted upwards shortly after I switched to IGS. She said that AEP had been paying IGS on my behalf out of the goodness of their

heart, and I now owed them for those payments.

2.1

She also said that AEP would have had no way of creating a budget that would include the IGS charges as they could not anticipate my energy usage or what IGS would charge. This, of course, was ludicrous since my energy usage history and the IGS rate are printed on the bill which AEP generates each month. I again expressed my concern and disbelief and was told that I had the option of paying up or being disconnected.

Within minutes after finishing my
telephone call with AEP, I contacted YellowLite, a
company that installs solar panel arrays. I
discussed with them our options for having solar
arrays installed in our home and made arrangements to
go solar.

During the conversation, they asked questions regarding my energy usage. So I referenced my AEP bill for that information. On the bill in small, gray-on-gray print, I saw the explanation the AEP customer service representative had been so loathe to give me. "Please note that failure to pay charges for competitive retail electric services may result in loss of those products and services, the cancellation of your contract with the competitive

retail service provider and your return to AEP Ohio's standard offer for energy services."

2.1

2.2

When a change is made to an alternative energy provider, AEP does not inform their budget customers that the budget amount does not cover the fees for both AEP and the alternative energy provider. AEP lets their budget customers who have chosen a competitive retail electric service provider to have a false sense of security.

The customers' budget amount is printed on the monthly bill, the customer pays the budget amount each month, their payments are accepted and they are lulled into the belief that they are on a budget that includes all charges.

Should such a customer be even a day or two late with their payments, AEP then closes the trap and issues a disconnect notice hoping that the customer will not be able to pay the full amount, will fall into arrears and they can then end the contract with the alternative energy provider and charge the customer whatever rate they choose.

If AEP had competition, they would never be able to behave in such a fashion. If AEP had competition, they would not be able to charge residential service charges, transmission service

charges, distribution service charges, generation charges, customer charges, retail stability rider fees, phase and recovery rider fees and deferred asset phase and rider fees which total more than the charges for the actual energy itself, even during the coldest weather months or the warmest summer seasons.

In today's society, having electrical service to one's home is a basic necessity. AEP should not be allowed to take advantage of that situation by charging continuously rising fees.

If their industry is changing, they should be required to adjust to the changing times like any other company. They certainly should not be allowed to abuse their customers that have no other options. Thank you very much.

EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you.

Any questions from counsel?

MR. McKENZIE: No questions.

EXAMINER PARROT: Ouestions?

MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor.

EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you. All right.

Our next witness this evening is Sis

Love, I believe. Please state your name and address.

MS. LOVE: Garnet Love, 936 South East

25 | Street, Bucyrus, Ohio.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

EXAMINER PARROT: And raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth?

MS. LOVE: I do.

2.1

EXAMINER PARROT: Please proceed.

MS. LOVE: Yes, in looking over the papers that were handed out to us this evening, I noticed that the last two items when they're talking about the proposed new riders, the sub metering refers to a practice where utility services are resold to consumers by middle men, and it goes on to explain that, but there's no amount of money that they're saying how much that's going to generate or how much that is going to cost.

Likewise, with the next item that's on there about the new automaker credit which will subsidize increased utilization or expansion of automakers facilities in AEP Ohio service territory, likewise, there's nothing there that says how much that's going to generate, will be generated for that and how much will be spent for that because most of those — both of those seem like that's something that might happen but really will it happen? That's all I have.

EXAMINER PARROT: Any questions?

```
14
 1
                 MR. McKENZIE: No questions.
 2
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Counsel, questions?
 3
                 MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor.
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Commissioner.
 4
     questions?
 5
 6
                 COMMISSIONER TROMBOLD: No questions.
 7
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Miss Love? I'm sorry,
 8
     Miss Love, just -- I have one question for you, just
9
     so the record is clear. Again, the court reporter is
10
     taking everything down, you do reference a document
     you're referring to. Could you just state for the
11
12
     record what it is you're looking at for us?
13
                 MS. LOVE: It says AEP Ohio Electric
14
     Security Plan.
15
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Who's the author of the
     document?
16
17
                 MS. LOVE: I have no clue. It says
     Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel.
18
19
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Okay, thank you.
20
     That's very helpful. Thank you very much.
2.1
                 Our next witness is Sharon Estrada.
22
                 MS. ESTRADA: Good evening, I'm Sharon --
23
     Oh, I've got to take an oath.
24
                 EXAMINER PARROT: We'll do that first.
25
     Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to
```

15 1 give will be the truth? 2 MS. ESTRADA: Yes. 3 EXAMINER PARROT: And you already gave us your name. Your address, please. 4 5 MS. ESTRADA: The truth is my opinion. 6 EXAMINER PARROT: And your address? 7 MS. ESTRADA: I live at 903 Reid Street, 8 Bucyrus. 9 EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you. Go ahead. 10 MS. ESTRADA: The first gal, she read off 11 some of the things. I knew I was against this 12 security thing before when they were going to have it 13 for eight years and a guaranteed profit. I did that 14 on the next, so that will be on the record somewhere. 15 Then I knew, I knew a year or two later 16 they'd be crying back to you because I figure you're 17 their sugar daddy. You oppose -- or you don't 18 oppose, you approve just about everything they ask 19 for and that makes me very angry. 20 And I can read off all the things that 2.1 the first gal read off about all the different riders 22 and this and that that's on my bill and even at the bottom there's like three more items there which 23 24 probably still are around from the civil war charges,

I don't know, but I'm not happy with it at all.

25

This thing is not a fixed rate. It's a progressive fee. And if they're going to charge 60 percent more this year, then two-and-a-half times next year, what are they going to do 2019? 2020? You know, I know they're going to come crying back to you we've got to have some more money. I figured that if you run a business, you should put some of your profit into making your business up-to-date, keep it going. Seems to me that would make sense.

2.1

It wasn't too long ago they had charged us for something, because a lot of this goes on that you never even know until somebody says something, and it came to millions of dollars. And then someone suggested, well, give them back their money because you never finished the project you were going to do, whatever.

Well, a court or a commission, whatever, said, "Oh, you don't have to give them back their money. Just take your millions and go on your way."

Now, what did they do with the millions? Did they put them into their infrastructure to make it better to get us electricity which you know the whole world runs on, or did they pocket it and give it to their investors? Who knows.

They could have done a lot of good things

with those millions of dollars that they had, but I am angry because I know they don't invest enough into their business. I didn't look up to see how much their CEOs and all of this make. And I know all of you are qualified because I looked you up, so you're not new to anything and just thrown in there for political purposes.

2.1

So I'm saying that I don't think AEP -if they can't get along on what they've got and they
can't use some of their profits to make their
business better, update it -- Oh, and there was a
time they wanted us to be owners of those failed
plants they had up there around the lakes, too. I
don't know who put a pish on that, but anyhow, we
weren't going to get any stock, but we were going to
be owners, but we didn't get any stock.

Anyhow, all of this just is just to me like a big, fat crooked deal, and it's not a fixed rate. According to what I saw, I didn't even get to the other side of the page yet, but what I saw was it was going to go up like 8.40, that's what it is now, and that's supposed to be billing and maintenance.

It's going to go up to 13 something this year. And then 2018, it's going to go to 18 something. So it's a progressive fee. It is not a

fixed rate. If it was going to be a fixed rate, they could say, okay, fixed rate now is \$18.25. Good enough. But they don't. It's going to be progressive. And each year or two years, it's going to get more and more.

2.1

And while everybody is saying, "Oh, you got to save electricity, you've got to save your energy, buy special light bulbs, do this, do that," this thing here will only help people who use a lot of electricity. If you're trying to save electricity, it doesn't matter, your bill is going to be the same. So what good is it? That's my opinion. Thank you.

EXAMINER PARROT: Any questions?

MR. McKENZIE: No questions.

MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor.

EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you.

Jeff Wagner.

MR. WAGNER: I'll pass, your Honor.

EXAMINER PARROT: Dan Clark. Please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give will be the truth?

MR. CLARK: I do.

EXAMINER PARROT: Please state your name and address.

MR. CLARK: My name is Reverend Dan Clark, 633 South Cassingham Road, Bexley, Ohio, 43209.

2.1

EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you.

MR. CLARK: Thank you for the opportunity today to share my concern regarding AEP Ohio's proposal to more than double the fixed charges residents must pay on their electric bills each month.

I represent a statewide faith organization that helps over 2,000 faith leaders in Ohio. As a Deputy Director, I see firsthand the hardship of Ohioans. As a pastor, I've seen working class people being nickeled and dimed to death. No one wants to take the blame of causing hardship but everyone steps up to get their nickels and dimes out of these people, hard working Ohioans who live month-to-month and can't afford this price hike.

Ohioans take seriously the moral obligation to provide for and care for our families. Any law that makes it harder for us to do so is an unjust, anti-family law. This policy proposal fits that bill. If you're an AEP Ohio customer, you'd see your monthly fixed charge go up to \$18.40, more than double the current rate.

Duke Energy Ohio has proposed to raise its customers' fixed charges to \$22.77 a month, almost triple the current rate. When you're a low wage family, every penny counts. I know from experience. And as a Christian, I believe it is wrong to take money out of poor people's pockets to enrich powerful special interests.

2.1

Another mark of good policy is whether it promotes good stewardship of our resources and our planet. By this measure, the proposed increase in fixed charges fails the moral test. It discourages conservation, discourages improving energy efficiency, and penalizes people who are trying to be more responsible in their energy usage. That makes us less efficient and wasteful. It's irresponsible and it's wrong.

If you think this rate increase won't have more consequences, then you are wrong. I'm not a technician or economist or a legislator or a government official. I'm a pastor. And I believe that policy that negatively impacts the environment we all share is a serious spiritual issue. As a pastor, I believe that overly burdening the poor for the benefit of those who have more than enough is a spiritual issue. Thank you.

21 1 EXAMINER PARROT: Any questions? 2 MR. McKENZIE: No questions. 3 MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor. 4 EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you. 5 Our next witness is Larry Shutler, I 6 believe. Please raise your right hand. Do you swear 7 or affirm the testimony you're about to give will be the truth? 8 9 MR. SHUTLER: Yes. 10 EXAMINER PARROT: Please state your name 11 and address. 12 MR. SHUTLER: Larry Shutler. Resident at 13 357 West Southern Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio. I've been a resident, my wife and I, in Bucyrus for about over 30 14 15 years. I'm here tonight basically to speak to two 16 points. 17 One being as a resident, I'm concerned 18 about the proposed increase this year, next year, the 19 amount, whether it is progressive or flat rate but up 20 to \$18.40. I'm concerned that the -- there's a 2.1 desperate effort here to cover the costs in a rapidly 22 changing landscape -- energy landscape. 23 I believe that the American Electric 24 Power should specify what they're talking about 25 instead of just saying energy landscape. I think

it's to be pointed out what they're specifying that the increase is necessary for.

2.1

Secondly, I'm here also to represent the Heritage Village Condo Association as a representative on that board. We have over 42 units in the city of Bucyrus. All of those units are powered by electricity, electrical furnaces. Many of those people, probably over 80 percent, are renters.

Those homes, those condo units in the last year have seen a tremendous increase in their monthly bills, plus many of them are on fixed incomes and they're also single parents. One specifically in which I have my unit was just rented out this past November, the young lady has a minimal paying job.

She received her first payment utility bill; she was ready to leave right away. I thought we'd check the furnace out and made -- a heat sensor was being replaced, but still the bill was unacceptable. So that for single parents that have minimum incomes, retired people who are on fixed incomes, this is going to be quite a burden.

In our county, we have a high unemployment rate. And I think the timing, along with the federal government healthcare costs, I don't think it's a very appropriate time to look at an

```
That's my point. Thank you.
 1
     increase.
 2
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Ouestions?
 3
                 MR. McKENZIE: No questions.
                 MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 EXAMINER PARROT:
                                   Thank you.
 6
                 Bill O'Rourke. Please raise your right
 7
     hand. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're
     about to give will be the truth?
 8
 9
                 MR. O'ROURKE: I do.
10
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Please state your name
11
     and address.
12
                 MR. O'ROURKE: Bill O'Rourke, 1042 Martha
13
    Avenue in Bucyrus.
14
                 EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you.
15
                 MR. O'ROURKE: I basically just have one
     question or two questions. I'm more concerned about
16
17
     the cost of raising an average of over $10 a month
18
     per customer by the end of this term of two or three
19
     years, whatever they increase it to. So you're
20
     talking over $120 a year per household. And I don't
2.1
     know how many households there are, but there's a
2.2
     lot.
23
                 And just to reiterate Mr. Shutler, we do
24
    have a lot of retirees in this town. We have a lot
25
     of low income people that are on fixed incomes. And
```

I did not learn of this until today, this meeting, and otherwise I might have some more questions, but I just look at my costs, what they were three years ago a month, what they are now, and then we want to go add another \$120 a year onto that. That's more or less almost a fixed rate, and I just haven't received any explanations what they want to do with that money. Thank you.

EXAMINER PARROT: Any questions?

MR. McKENZIE: No questions.

MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor.

EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you,

Mr. O'Rourke.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

That concludes the witnesses who have signed the sign-up sheet. Is there anyone else in the audience that would like to testify? You know, maybe now you've heard some of the comments, and there's someone else who wishes to speak? Now's your chance.

20 MR. FANKHAUSER: My name is Kurt

21 Fankhauser.

22 EXAMINER PARROT: Go ahead, and your

23 address.

MR. FANKHAUSER: 1675 South Sandusky

25 | Street, Bucyrus.

EXAMINER PARROT: Can you spell your last name for us since you're not on the roster.

2.1

MR. FANKHAUSER: F-A-N-K-H-A-U-S-E-R.

EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you. Please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give will be the truth?

MR. FANKHAUSER: Yes.

EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. FANKHAUSER: About a year ago, I found out that there was multiple tariffs. There's like a residential tariff and then starting after that, there's like a small commercial tariff. And you — there's certain situations where you possibly can be on a different tariff that may actually save you money.

AEP never told me this. I found that out on my own, that my residential electric bill after typing it in on their calculator, I would have been saving money every month if I would have been under the small commercial tariff.

And I called them, I told them, "I want to switch to this other tariff." And they said, "Well, we've got to -- have to come out to your house and make sure that you're running commercial at your house." I said, "I operate a business from my

house."

2.1

And they just didn't -- I never got them switched. They kept having excuses. And, I mean, it was pretty significant the one month, I would say \$50, by being under this other tariff. And I have many other service locations with them for other services I have.

And it's my understanding that this is -this fixed rate that they're wanting to go up is for
the customer charge which is your minimum bill even
if you don't use any electric service at all. Well,
are their increases -- is their fixed cost for that,
you know, billing, you know, is that stuff all going
up?

Because I see them doing cost savings that actually would make their fixed costs go down. Like the meters that they put in that you don't have to have a person come by and read the numbers now, so they're saving money because of them doing things like that, but then they're saying, "Oh, we need it more." I don't know if they've given a reason for why they want to increase it.

But from what I've seen them doing, you know, back a long time ago before computers and things, autogeneration fields, there probably was a

higher cost to do the fixed, you know, sending out of bills and things, but with everything being automated now with computers, it seems like the fixed costs should be going down. So I guess that's just what I have to say. You know, instead of raising it, maybe let's look into lowering it. That's all I have to say.

2.1

EXAMINER PARROT: Any questions?

MR. McKENZIE: No questions.

MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor.

EXAMINER PARROT: Anyone else in the audience who wishes to say something? We will stay for a while after we conclude, but this is your last chance to say something that's officially submitted to the Commission on the record. There are other opportunities to comment, and I'll mention that in just a bit, but last chance to actually offer sworn testimony before the Commission. Okay.

Well, seeing no one, I thank everyone for coming this evening. This will conclude officially tonight's public hearing. As I mentioned, everything will be submitted as part of the record for the Commission's consideration. As I just said, we will stay for a while to address questions that you may have about the process before the Commission or how

```
28
     you can file written comments in the docket. And
 1
 2
     with that, thank you, and we're concluded.
 3
                  (The public hearing was concluded at 6:32
     p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, April 10, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Cynthia L. Cunningham

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/20/2017 10:26:44 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-1852-EL-SSO, 16-1853-EL-AAM

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to RC 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority, hearing held on April 10, 2017. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Cunningham, Cindy