

FILE

16-1852-EL-SSO

April 15, 2017 (7)

Attn: PUCO Commissioners,

I am very concerned about proposed increases on transmission rates for Ohio's electric utilities. Because it is a fixed cost that must be ~~paid~~ ^{paid} for any electrical service, this will negatively impact poorer people and people on fixed incomes.

I also ~~object~~ object to funding dirty legacy coal plants and disintegrating nuclear plants. These plants should be mercifully put to death to make way for ~~the~~ better options.

Thank you,

Cheryl R. Grossman

CHERYL GROSSMAN

5055 FRANCISCO PL

COLUMBUS, OH 43220

PUCO

2017 APR 18 AM 9:27

RECEIVED - PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician te Date Processed APR 18 2017

To: PUCO

Re: Electric increase

RECEIVED DOCKETING CL

2017 APR 18 AM 9:28

PUCO

I am opposed to the increase to customers for electric transmission in Ohio. I am equally opposed to utilizing the money such increases would generate being used to update any nuclear energy facility and/or coal-fired facilities.

The increase for electricity is devastating for me as I live on Social Security and have to juggle my bills each month to keep the roof over my head & keep the utilities on.

Loyce A. Jacobson
4848 Winterset Drive
Columbus, OH 43220

April 15, 2017

P.U.C.O. Representative,

RECEIVED-BOOKETING

2017 APR 18 AM 9:28

I am a senior citizen in ^{PUCO} Columbus, Ohio living on a fixed income. I am extremely opposed to a price increase on the fixed portion of my electric bill. Not only am I opposed to this due to the way you will be using this money, but I can not afford to have the fixed portion of my bill so dramatically increased. You should encourage electric and power companies to convert to clean energy not perpetuate fossil fuel.

Jean Wintzyl
1178 Francisco Rd
Columb., Oh 43220

4/15/2017

POCO

Rate increase in the amount
for AEP 120% and a 280% increase
~~for rates~~ are unreasonable. It
also will impact the budgets of
the poor, under-employed and
those that are on a fixed income.
I urge the POCO not to approve
such an unreasonable increase.

David L. Kelley
2800 Ariana Court
Columbus, Ohio 43235

2017 APR 18 AM 9:29

REC'D INFO - SECRETARY

PUCO

April 15, 2017

RECEIVED-BOOKETINE 01

2017 APR 18 AM 9:30

Dear Sir &/or Madam,

PUCO

I am on a fixed income and any increase in utility costs will impact my priorities. I am also quite concerned about the negative effect of using fossil fuels to generate power. This double whammy of cost + environmental damage causes me great concern. Please consider the citizens that you are supposed to be representing when making your decision in regards to the new AEP charge you are considering.

Sherry Girves

Sherry Girves

5041 Greensboro CT

Columbus OH 43220-2409

sgirves@gmail.com


PUCO,

4/15/2017

PLEASE OPPOSE AEP'S REQUEST TO INCREASE FIXED MONTHLY CHARGES OF 120% - THIS RATE IS CHARGED BEFORE A LIGHT SWITCH IS TURNED ON. THIS NEW CHARGE WILL PROVIDE NO NEW SERVICES OR BENEFIT TO CUSTOMER'S. THIS WILL BE VERY DIFFICULT FOR LOWER INCOME FAMILIES & SENIOR CITIZENS ON FIXED INCOMES TO ABSORB.

I DO SUPPORT AEP'S EFFORTS REGARDING CLEAN ENERGY PLAN FOR OHIO ESPECIALLY A PLAN TO DEVELOP AT LEAST 4000 MW OF SOLAR ENERGY PROJECTS IN OHIO.

Sincerely



Roy A. Wentzel
1178 FRANCISCO RD.
COLUMBUS, OH 43220
WENTZEL1@YAHOO.COM

PUCO

2017 APR 18 AM 9:30

RECEIVED PUCO

4/15/17

To Whom It May Concern,

RECEIVED-DOKUMENTS

2017 APR 18 AM 9:30

I strongly object to your ^{PUP} proposal to increase rates on such a large scale. This impacts all residents, making those residents living on a tight budget to make tough decisions - food? Medicines? bills? or pass this enormous electric bill?

I implore you to reconsider. This discourages all those who try to be energy efficient.

Please reconsider and take a far more equitable approach to this problem.

Thank you,

Karen Tankovich
5377 Amy Lane
Columbus, OH 43235

614-286-1562