THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING OF THIS PHAN-SHRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCU-BERT DELIVERED IN THE RECURAL COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR MYOTOGRAPHING. CAN BE OPERATOR Trade United Parts PROCESSED 9-27-72

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Valley Electric Corporation, for:

- (1) Approval of Modification No. 14 to a Power Agreement between Ohio Valley Electric Corporation and The United States of America; and
- (2) Permission to File Modification No. 14 in the Commission's Tariff Files.

Case No. 92-1161-EL-AEC

## FINDING AND ORDER

The Commission finds:

- (1) The Applicant, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation, is a public utility as defined in Section 4905.02, Revised Code, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) This Commission has previously approved a Power Agreement between Applicant and The United States of America, then acting through the Atomic Energy Commission, by Order dated January 29,1953, in Proceeding No. 23,719 and Order dated July 21, 1953, in Proceeding No. 24,150. The Atomic Energy Commission's function was transferred to the Administrator of the Energy Research and Development Administration in 1975 and then to the Department of Energy in 1977.
- (3) This Commission has subsequently approved thirteen (13) modifications to the Agreement:

Modification No. 1, approved by Order dated July 21, 1953, in Proceeding No. 24,150;

Modification No. 2, approved by Order dated April 27, 1964, in Proceeding No. 32,418;

Modification No. 3, approved by Order dated July 27, 1966, in Proceeding No. 34,029;

Modification No. 4, approved by Order dated January 30, 1967, in Proceeding No. 34,346;

Modification No. 5, approved by Order Dated August 22, 1967, in Proceeding No. 34,690;

Modification No. 6, approved by Order dated August 4, 1970, in Proceeding No. 36,636;

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICHOPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING OF THIS PHANNING IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT. OF THE PROCESSED F-27-92.

Case No. 92-1161-EL-AEC Page -2-

Modification No. 7, approved by Order dated June 16, 1976, in Proceeding No. 76-427-ATR;

Modification No. 8, approved by Order dated July 26, 1977, in Proceeding No. 77-924-EL-ATR;

Modification No. 9, approved by Order dated October 4, 1978, in Proceeding No. 78-1253-EL-ATR;

Modification No. 10, approved by Order dated November 14, 1979, in Proceeding No. 79-944-EL-AEC

Modification No. 11, approved by Order dated March 19, 1980, in Proceeding No. 80-174-EL-ATR;

Modification No. 12, approved by Order dated September 30, 1981, in Proceeding No. 81-1062-EL-ATR; and

Modification No. 13, approved by Order dated November 21, 1989, in Proceeding No. 89-1596-EL-AEC.

- (4) The Agreement is for the supply of electric power by OVEC to DOE's uranium enrichment plant in Pike County, Ohio.
- (5) The Applicant now petitions this Commission for approval of Modification No. 14 to the DOE Power Agreement.
- (6) The modification would extend the Agreement from its present expiration date of October 14,1992 through December 31, 2005. In addition, there would be certain other changes to Applicant's and Customer's rights as to the continued supply of electric power.
- (7) Modification No. 14 should be approved in accordance with its terms as filed pursuant to Section 4905.31, Revised Code.
- (8) Our approval of this contract does not constitute state action for the purpose of the antitrust laws. It is not our intent to insulate the Applicant or any party to contracts approved by this Finding and Order from the provisions of any state or federal law which prohibit the restraint of trade.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the Modification attached to the Application is approved and hall become effective pursuant to its terms. Two copies of the Modification as filed shall be accepted for inclusion in this docket. It is, further,

ORDERED, That nothing in this Finding and Order shall be binding upon this Commission in any subsequent investigation or proceeding

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROMOTOGRAM APPEARING OF THIS FIRST SHEET IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL HERE DELIVERED IN THE REGULAR OCCUSE OF BUSINESS FOR HOTOGRAPHING.

LATERA OPERATOR THAT WAS WATER PROCESSED \$27-72.

Case No. 92-1161-EL-AEC Page -3-

involving the justness or reasonableness of any rate, charge, rule or regulation. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Finding and Order be served upon the  $\mbox{\it Applicant.}$ 

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE

raig A. Glazer, Chai

ichael Biddison

J. Michael Biddison

Ashley C Brow

Jolynn Barry Butler

Richard M. Fanelly

KW:jr

Entered in the Journal

AUG 2 7 1992 A True Copy

edry ES Vigor Oo

Secretary

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

4/12/2017 10:01:32 AM

in

Case No(s). 92-1161-EL-AEC

Summary: Finding & Order electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing