

In The Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for Approval of an Adjustment to its Energy Efficiency Funding Rider Rate. ) Case No. 17-782-GA-RDR )

In this case, Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. ("Vectren") seeks to adjust the rates that it charges customers for its natural gas energy efficiency programs. OCC files this motion on behalf of Vectren's 300,000 residential natural gas customers. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio should grant OCC's motion to intervene for the reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

*/s/ Christopher M. Healey*  
 Christopher M. Healey (0086027)  
 Counsel of Record

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
Telephone: (614) 466-9571  
[christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov)  
(Will accept service via email)

In The Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for Approval of an Adjustment to its Energy Efficiency Funding Rider Rate. )  
) Case No. 17-782-GA-RDR  
)  
)

In this proceeding, Vectren seeks to update its energy efficiency rider to charge customers \$1.46 million in energy efficiency rider charges for 2017 (in addition to \$4 million through base rates).<sup>1</sup> As a result of these energy efficiency costs, Vectren will increase the rider rate from \$0.00394 per Ccf to \$0.00521 per Ccf.<sup>2</sup> OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of Vectren's residential utility customers under R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential customers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding where the Utility will increase the costs that it seeks to charge customers through this rider. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;

<sup>2</sup> Id at Redlined Tariff Sheet No. 46.

- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of Vectren in this case where Vectren will charge customers for energy efficiency costs. OCC's interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that the rates consumers pay for natural gas service (including charges for energy efficiency) should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case involving the Utility's energy efficiency costs, which affect the rates residential customers pay for natural gas service.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B), which OCC already has addressed and which OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, in deciding two consolidated appeals regarding OCC's right to intervene, the Supreme Court of Ohio has confirmed that "intervention ought to be liberally allowed."<sup>3</sup> In those cases, OCC explained in its motion to intervene that the proceeding could negatively impact residential consumers, and OCC established that the interests of consumers would not be represented by existing parties.<sup>4</sup> Because there was no evidence disputing OCC's position, nor any evidence that OCC's intervention would

---

<sup>3</sup> See *Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St. 3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶ 20 (2006).

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* ¶¶ 18-20.

unduly delay the proceedings, the Supreme Court found that the PUCO could not deny OCC the right to intervene.<sup>5</sup>

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE WESTON (0016973)  
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Christopher M. Healey  
Christopher M. Healey (0086027)  
Counsel of Record

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
Telephone: (614) 466-9571  
[christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov)  
(Will accept service via email)

---

<sup>5</sup> Id. ¶¶ 13-20.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 5th day of April 2017.

/s/ Christopher M. Healey  
Christopher M. Healey  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

### **SERVICE LIST**

William Wright  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
30 E. Broad St., 16<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[William.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:William.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)

Frank P. Darr  
McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC  
Fifth Third Center  
21 East State St., 17<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[fdarr@mwncmh.com](mailto:fdarr@mwncmh.com)

Attorney Examiner:

[Kerry.sheets@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Kerry.sheets@puc.state.oh.us)

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**4/5/2017 5:22:11 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 17-0782-GA-RDR**

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Healey, Christopher Mr.