

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 112049
Received: 3/30/2017 3:47:27 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 112049 AT:03-30-2017 at 03:47 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mrs. Louise Sulecki

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 6558 Inland Shores Drive
- Mentor , Ohio 44060
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: jsulecki@att.net

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

REGARDING CASE NUMBERS: AEP: 16-1852-EL-SSO DP&L: 15-1830-EL-AIR Duke Energy: 17-0032-EL-AIR I am against the proposals that allow any utility to charge me a fixed mandatory fee, especially if it's hidden under something called customer charge. These fees unfairly charge customers for power that they are not using. Instead of having NEOhio customers subsidize outdated polluting energy sources such as coal, please spend more time concentrating on how you can increase energy efficiency and renewable energy programs in Ohio.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 112056
Received: 3/31/2017 11:49:47 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 112056 AT:03-31-2017 at 11:49 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Ms. Shelley Stark

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?)* , Ohio *(NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 740-448-7552
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: goodsolutionsnow@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP Ohio
- Name on account: Drake Chamberlin
- Service address: 32 N. Franklin St., Amesville, OH 45711
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

This comment is regarding the following case numbers: AEP: 16-1852-EL-SSO; DP&L: 15-1830-EL-AIR; Duke Energy: 17-0032-EL-AIR. I am opposed to the companies' attempt to charge minimum, mandatory fixed fees. My husband and I are already paying over \$10/month, just to be connected; even if we use no power. We are very conservative in our energy usage. Customers who use less energy should not be punished by steep fixed rates. Fixed rate charges also increase the burden on low and fixed income users already struggling to pay their bills monthly. Rather than rewarding people who save energy, we are the ones who are punished. I

support an increase in energy efficiency and renewable energy programs in Ohio. The energy companies must become more efficient themselves, and invest in renewable energy sources, rather than shoving the costs of their inefficient and harmful energy production technologies onto the backs of their customers. They are subsidized by our tax dollars. They need to be brought in line with serving the public, instead of continually looking for ways to prey on us.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 112051
Received: 3/30/2017 6:17:12 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 112051 AT:03-30-2017 at 06:16 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Jack Walker

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: *(no e-mail address provided)*

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I'm a resident of Coshocton, Ohio. I try to be very conscious of my energy usage, and aim to use far less than average. When I use less, I expect to pay less. So, I'm very concerned that DP&L, AEP and Duke Energy have asked PUCO for mandatory fixed charge increases. These companies should not be allowed to charge me for energy I'm not using. They should adapt to the changing energy landscape, instead of sticking with a failing business model. Here are the specific case numbers I'm referring to: AEP: 16-1852-EL-SSO DP&L: 15-1830-EL-AIR Duke Energy: 17-0032-EL-AIR

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/31/2017 4:10:34 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-1852-EL-SSO, 15-1830-EL-AIR, 17-0032-EL-AIR

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of various concerned consumers electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing