From: Puco ContactOPSB To: Puco Docketina

Subject: FW: LEEDco File number, 16-1871-EL-BGN Icebreaker Windbreaker

Date: Monday, March 20, 2017 9:10:32 AM

From: Michael Spencley [mailto:maspencley@rogers.com]

Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 7:07 PM

To: Puco ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>; joseph.w.krawczyk@usace.army.mil

**Cc:** Sherri Lange <kodaisl@rogers.com>; projecticebreaker@ee.doe.gov;

sarah.devine@governor.ohio.gov; Allison Glasgow <allison.glasgow@governor.ohio.gov>; Puco

ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>; jessica.johnson@governor.ohio.gov

Subject: LEEDco File number, 16-1871-EL-BGN Icebreaker Windbreaker

## Gentlemen:

I am writing to you today with both respect and deeply rooted concerns regarding the referenced proposed project.

In short there are several compelling reasons as to why this project is enormously harmful and therefore, should be aborted. I encourage you to consider the damage that would be caused by this proposed project. These serious concerns and problems include:

- 1. cluttering the vistas of any one of our Great Lakes with industrial wind turbines is an unthinkable sacrilege.
- 2. polluting any one of the Great Lakes with the constant leakage of lubricating oils from these turbines would be criminal.
- 3. industrial wind turbines are antiquated and inefficient technology that require subsidies and force electricity prices higher.
- 4. the slaughter of migrating birds and bats that represent the only truly natural pesticide in the world.
- 5. documented health problems to humans and livestock located within 20 miles of industrial wind turbines.

For the sake of all present and future citizens, I trust that you will make the right decision.

Yours sincerely

Michael Allan Spencley

Michael A. Spencley International Consulting

Michael Spencley, Chairman

Cell: 647 401 7090

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

3/29/2017 1:36:15 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-1871-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of concerned consumer, Michael Allan Spencley(originally filed 3/21/2017) electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing