From: Puco ContactOPSB
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: 16-1871-EL-BGN Icebreaker Windpower, EA 2045 Lake Erie Icebreaker Offshore Wind
Date: Monday, March 20, 2017 9:15:32 AM

From: sksajs@aol.com [mailto:sksajs@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 7:44 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>; projecticebreaker@ee.doe.gov
Cc: The.Secretary@hq.doe.gov
Subject: 16-1871-EL-BGN Icebreaker Windpower, EA 2045 Lake Erie Icebreaker Offshore Wind

To the OPSB,

All documents, input and decisions for the WITHDRAWN project entitled 13-2033-EL-BGN: LEEDCo Icebreaker Project, Lake Erie should be applied to 16-1871-EL-BNG Icebreaker Windpower. If one maintains that some documents are still applicable then so are the questions, concerns, and input from the previous application. An ownership change, name change or temporal change should not negate the salient points made by other agencies and the public in the past! This should not provide a clean slate for the sponsor in order to ignore already identified environmental concerns; otherwise this must be viewed as OVERT Segmentation.

Additionally, when referring to the project, all names should be listed. The DOE is reviewing EA 2045 Lake Erie Icebreaker Offshore Wind Project and refers to the project as **"Project Icebreaker". The OPSB refers to the project as the late, LAKE ERIE Icebreaker Offshore Wind Project and currently as Icebreaker Windpower.** Consistency has not been maintained from Agency to Agency, making it difficult to impossible for transparency and input. The usage of any of the above names with your search engines should lead the public to the same current project, but it does not.

It also appears that overt environmental **SEGMENTATION** is occurring as to size of project. The project has been repeatedly and consistently referenced as a **DEMONSTRATION** Project or PILOT Study; **part of a significantly larger project**. All environmental reviews should include the **TOTAL CUMMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT of the whole project over time**. This was brought to the attention of the DOE in their NEPA review.

This is a multi level government funded project yet many significant parameters are confidential or proprietary. The wind parameter should be measured in the turbine area and not proximal to shore. What is the confidence level of the wind resource? Why the change in turbine to a lower wind resource model? Why is the safety of the turbine not public? Maybe it is still a prototype? Costs are proprietary? How are the public funds utilized? Who pays for the transmission line? What is the effective cost per MW since Cape Wind was so highly cost prohibitive as to negatively affect the ratepayer?
Economics is very much apart of an environmental review.

Since the freshwater resource is shared by Canada, how has the US, DOE, OPSB and other agencies notified and included the Canadian governments in this process. Same for other surrounding States.

And finally, **SOLICITATION** for positive comments is being promoted by LEEDCo with outlined points generously provided by the same. Negative comments are classified as "opponents". This appears to be contrary to the OPSB process and will thwart public input and potentially distort perception by the OPSB. See attached letter with yet another name for the project**.....Icebreaker Wind**.

I am currently AGAINST this project.

Respectfully for transparency,

Dr. Alice Sokolow

From: LEEDCo <LEEDCo@mail.vresp.com>
> Subject: Let Ohio Know You Support Icebreaker Wind!
> To:
> Date: Monday, March 13, 2017, 4:41 PM
> Dear Friend of Icebreaker Wind:
>
> This is an exciting time for **Icebreaker Wind**, the first
> freshwater
> offshore wind farm in North America and only the second
> offshore wind
> farm in the US. This **demonstration project** will
> accelerate the
> transformation to a "Green City on a Blue Lake" and
> inaugurate a new
> industry in Northeast Ohio.
>
> We achieved a major milestone when we submitted our
> application on
> February 1, 2017 to the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) for
> a
> Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public
> Need. It
> contained results from our geophysical, geotechnical, and
> marine
> archaeology surveys, as well as a visual impact assessment,
> risk
> analyses for birds, bats and fisheries, and details on the
> engineering and construction. The application
> overwhelmingly
> demonstrates the environmental and economic need for this
> clean

> energy project and clearly shows the minimal risk it poses
> to the
> environment. The OPSB will review our application, issue a
> staff
> report and hold a public hearing in Cleveland sometime later
> in the
> spring. The entire process should conclude by the end of
> this summer.
>
> The OPSB wants to hear what you think about the project and
> is
> accepting public comments now. We expect that a small number
> of vocal
> opponents will make their voices heard, and in fact some
> have already
> submitted letters in opposition. This is where you come in;
> we know
> that our supporters vastly outnumber those who might oppose
> the
> project. Please make your voice heard!
>
> Send an email or letter in support of Icebreaker Wind to the
> Ohio
> Power Siting Board today!! Emails should be sent to:
> contactOPSB@puc.state.oh.us
> . Or, you can send a letter to:
>
> Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 E. Broad Street, Columbus Ohio
> 43215.
> Include in the subject line:
> 16-1871-EL-BGN Icebreaker Windpower
>
> Let me know if you have any questions about the process or
> how you
> can participate. For talking points, please go to the LEEDCo
> website
> -
> http://cts.vresp.com/c/?LakeErieEnergyDevelo/60a9294832/a6d79a04b5/13d9c5e1e4/utm_content=Tom&utm_source=VerticalResponse&utm_medium=Email&utm_term=Text%20Version%20-%20Link%201&utm_campaign=Let%20Ohio%20Know%20You%20Support%20Icebreaker%20Wind%21
> to download the sheet. Of course, feel free to share
> this request
> with your friends and family and have them participate as
> well.
>
> Thanks again for your support!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Beth Nagusky
> Director of Sustainable Development, LEEDCo
> bnagusky@leedco.org
>
> -
> http://cts.vresp.com/c/?LakeErieEnergyDevelo/60a9294832/a6d79a04b5/64dceb0a1a/utm_content=Tom&utm_source=VerticalResponse&utm_medium=Email&utm_term=Text%20Version%20-%20Link%202&utm_campaign=Let%20Ohio%20Know%20You%20Support%20Icebreaker%20Wind%21
>

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/29/2017 1:33:56 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-1871-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of concerned consumer, Alice Sokolow(originally filed 3/21/2017) electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing