BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Pedro Mirabal : Case No.

Notice of Apparent Violation : 16-2310-TR-CVF

and Intent to Assess Forfeiture :

PROCEEDINGS

Before Scott Farkas, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Tuesday, March 7, 2017, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Pedro Mirabal
 3
            c/o Mrs. Milena Spassova
            1411 Kismet Parkway
 4
            Cape Coral, Florida 33993
 5
                 Appearing Pro se.
 6
 7
            Mr. Steven Beeler
            Assistant Attorney General
 8
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
10
                 Public Utilities Commission
                 of Ohio.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

		3				
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES					
2						
3	DIRECT CROSS					
4	STATE'S WITNESSES					
5	Philip Haskins 6 19					
6	Thomas Persinger 24					
7						
8	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES					
9	Pedro Mirabal 32					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STATE'S EXHIBITS MARKED ADT				
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination	9	31	
5		Report			
6	2	Notice of Preliminary Determination	27	31	
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
1
                          Tuesday Morning,
 2
                          March 7, 2017.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Commission
 4
 5
     has called for hearing at this time and place
 6
     The Matter of Pedro Mirabal Notice of Apparent
 7
     Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.
     Case No. 16-2310-TR-CVF.
 8
 9
                 My name is Scott Farkas, I am the
10
     Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this case.
11
     First I will take appearances. For the Staff.
12
                 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.
13
     On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities
14
     Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Mike
15
     DeWine. I am Steve Beeler, Assistant Attorney
16
     General, 30 East Board Street, 16th Floor,
17
     Columbus, Ohio 43215.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. And
19
     if you would state your name for the record.
20
                 MR. MIRABAL: Pedro Mirabal.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And you
22
     are here without counsel; is that correct?
23
                 MR. MIRABAL: Yes, sir.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And why
25
     don't I swear you in since you are going to be I
```

```
6
     assume testifying today. So raise your right
 1
 2
     hand.
 3
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Do you
 4
 5
     understand the process, how the hearing is going
     to go forward?
 6
 7
                 MR. MIRABAL: Yes.
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any
9
     questions about that?
10
                 MR. MIRABAL: No.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Then,
12
     Staff, you can call your first witness.
13
                 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.
14
    At this time Staff would call Philip Haskins to
15
     the stand.
16
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
17
18
                      PHILIP HASKINS
19
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
20
     testified as follows:
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
2.1
22
    By Mr. Beeler:
23
            Q. Good morning, Mr. Haskins.
24
            A. Good morning.
25
            Q. Start off here, please state your
```

full name for the record.

2.1

- A. Philip Haskins.
- Q. Where are you employed?
- A. Employed by the Public Utilities

 Commission of Ohio, Transportation Department,

 Columbus, Ohio.
- Q. And what is your position within the Transportation Department of the Commission?
- A. My job title is Hazardous Materials
 Investigative Specialist. My duties include
 investigating motor carriers and hazardous
 material shippers in compliance with the motor
 carrier safety regulations and the hazardous
 materials regulations.
- Q. Thank you. How long have you been with the Commission?
 - A. Twenty-nine years.
- Q. And you just explained your position. What exactly are your duties in that capacity?
- A. My duties are to ensure that the motor carriers comply with federal safety regulations, and hazardous materials regulations. And in addition we also do inspections of facilities that ship hazardous

materials and ensure compliance of the hazardous materials regulations.

2.1

2.2

And the biggest component of our duties are doing roadside inspections of motor carriers transporting hazardous materials.

- Q. What sort of training do you have in this area?
- A. Extensive training from the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, United States Department of Transportation, annual training to ensure certification to conduct these types of inspections, and complete these duties in the course of my responsibilities to ensure compliance with these regulations.
- Q. And did you have additional training when you first became a motor carrier inspector?
- A. Yes. And then ongoing training annually to conduct these inspections. The federal government requires verification, annual certification which must be met, which I do meet.
- Q. How many inspections on average do you think you perform in a year? Just roughly?
 - A. Several hundred. Two hundred.
 - Q. Is it safe to say that the motor

```
9
     carrier inspections are conducted to protect
 1
 2
     the safety of Ohio's traveling public?
 3
            Α.
                 Yes. Yes.
                 MR. BEELER: Okay. May I approach
 4
 5
     the witness, your Honor?
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
                 MR. BEELER: I have what's in front
 7
     of me that has been marked as Staff Exhibit 1.
 8
 9
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
10
     IDENTIFICATION)
                 This is the Driver/Vehicle
11
            Ο.
12
     Examination Report, an inspection date of
13
     September 8th, 2016. And this is the inspection
     report that happened on the day of the citation.
14
                 Go ahead an take a second to look
15
     over that. Do you recognize this document?
16
17
            Α.
                 I do.
18
            Q.
                 Is this document a Commission
19
     record?
20
            Α.
                 It is.
2.1
            Ο.
                 It's kept in the ordinary course of
2.2
     the Commission's business?
23
            Α.
                 Yes.
24
                 It's the practice of the Commission
            Ο.
25
     to make this report; is that correct?
```

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you report on matters observed pursuant to a duty imposed by law as to which there was a duty by you to report?
- 5 A. Yes. And to execute an inspection 6 report.
 - Q. Is this document in the same condition substantially as when you prepared it originally?
- 10 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

- Q. And was the reason for you producing this report an inspection of a motor carrier vehicle operated by Silvicom, Inc?
 - A. Yes. This inspection was conducted as an observation of a violation while I was performing my normal roadside duties. I happened to observe the vehicle fail to comply with the hazardous materials regulations.
- Q. Okay. So you remember the inspection?
- 21 A. T do.
- Q. Is the driver listed on the report?
- A. The driver's name is Pedro Mirabal.
- Q. Is Mr. Mirabal here today?
- 25 A. He is present, yes.

- Q. Does the driver get a copy of the report at the stop?
- A. He does. He gets an executed copy at the completion of the inspection.
- Q. Are there violations noted on the report, and what are they?
- A. Yes. In the middle of the document you will see the violations in bold print. In this report I documented two violations while completing this inspection report.
- Q. And there are two violations listed there. The violation that we are dealing with here today is the violation for Code 392.10A3, failure to stop at railroad crossing; is that correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. So, just turning to the day of the inspection, you observed Mr. Mirabal fail to stop at a railroad crossing; is that correct?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Okay. Now, this is just your narrative. How did you observe that?
- A. The facts of the case are I was on a two-lane rural route in Union County, and I was moving while I was driving my state issued

vehicle. I happened to approach the crossing. Traveled through the crossing, and in so doing I observed in my rear view mirror the vehicle that was transporting placardable hazardous materials immediately behind me.

2.1

As I moved through the crossing I had an unobstructed view of the vehicle that was driven by Mr. Mirabal and observed him drive through the crossing without stopping.

- Q. How did you know it was hazardous materials from your rear view mirror?
- A. I made previous observations during that moment observing that the vehicle was placarded and displaying warning placards. The vehicle was a large commercial vehicle transporting, semi-trailer, with warning placards displayed on all four sides.

The contour of the road is such that it's not perfectly straight. There are curves to the road. And in so doing I observed that the vehicle behind me was displaying hazardous material warning placards because he was transporting hazardous materials.

Q. How long was Mr. Mirabal following you or the vehicle following you? Do you

remember?

2.1

- A. I don't have an independent memory.

 I just remember my observation, immediately behind me, my vehicle.
- Q. And the actual -- how did you observe the actual not stopping? Was it a roll through or --
- A. Yes. My notes say that, the unique characteristics of this is, normally you are behind traffic, but in this instance I was actually in front of the offending vehicle, and I say in my notes "The driver was observed transporting placardable hazardous material and failed to stop at a grade crossing as required. Driver approached CSX main line crossing and only briefly slowed to approach crossing and subsequently proceeded through grade crossing without stopping as required."
 - Q. Can you go on reading your notes, please, for the record?
 - A. Yes. "CMV was immediately behind this inspector's vehicle and violation was observed from that observation. The relationship of distance was consistent through the crossing with traffic on two-lane state

route moderately heavy. The driver stated he was aware of the hazardous requirements specific to grade crossings and further stated he felt he slowed adequately to view clear traffic to proceed through the crossing."

2.1

- Q. You were going to say something about the previous sentence first. Go ahead and say that.
- A. This is relevant because there is a unique observation that I was in front of the vehicle. The key element often is whether the vehicle slowed or stopped. The rule says he must stop.

I did not observe any braking action as I was in front of the vehicle. Therefore, I could not see any stop lamps illuminated. So my physical location in front of the vehicle allowed me to view the vehicle unobstructed and saw the vehicle proceeded through the crossing without stopping.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Could I just ask a question for clarification? At what point in time prior to you traveling over the grade crossing did you observe the motion of the vehicle driven by Mr. Mirabal?

15 1 THE WITNESS: Probably five 2 seconds. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So your testimony is that at that point you drove over 4 5 the crossing and Mr. Mirabal drove his vehicle 6 over the crossing and the distance between your 7 two vehicles did not change at any time? THE WITNESS: That's correct. 8 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is your 10 testimony. 11 THE WITNESS: That is my testimony. 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead. 13 Q. And then just turning to the last 14 sentence, I just want to talk to you a little 15 bit about your discussion with Mr. Mirabal on 16 that -- at the time of the inspection. 17 So, Mr. Mirabal basically told you 18 on that day that he felt that he slowed 19 adequately? 20 Α. Yes. At the beginning of 2.1 the inspection I notified Mr. Mirabal the reason 2.2 for his being stopped and inspected. And his 23 statement to me was that, how I documented it, 24 he stated he was aware of the hazardous 25 requirements, specifically to the grade

- crossing. And further stated he felt he slowed adequately to view traffic to clear the crossing safely.
- Q. So the driver did mention some traffic approaching him from behind?
- A. That I cannot testify. I can only testify what my notes say.
- Q. Do you believe the driver admitted not stopping at the scene?
- A. He made a reference to slowing, that he made an excuse, if you will, for the reason for not stopping.
 - Q. Okay. And traffic from behind a commercial motor vehicle, that would not excuse Mr. Mirabal from stopping at the crossing; is that correct?
- 17 A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. It's not a mitigating factor here?
- 19 A. It is not.
 - Q. Just back to your notes, you completed these notes contemptuousness or at the same time the day of the report; correct?
 - A. At the day of the report, yes, sir.
- Q. And just commonly as part of your job you regularly are looking for driver's

behavior at railroad crossings; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And just Ohio drivers in general, cars, trucks, they are all obligated to keep a safe distance while following another vehicle; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. The stop was at 7:30 in the morning; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes. 7:31 actually.
- 11 Q. Okay. Was it light outside?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. Nothing weather related that would have impeded your vision; is that correct?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. And your vision is okay?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You have regular checkups?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. So, as a result, kind of to sum this
 up, as a result of your observations on the day
 of the citation you cited Mr. Mirabal with the
 violation of failure to stop at a railroad
 crossing; correct?
- 25 A. I did.

- Q. And that is your testimony today here that you observed Mr. Mirabal fail to stop at a railroad crossing; is that correct?
 - A. It is, yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Anything else that you can think of which would be important for the Commission to know?
- A. Well, as I stated the route utilized, U.S. 42, is a two-lane rural route with moderately heavy traffic. There are two schools within approximately four miles of my location or location of the crossing, which is also of note that school buses must also stop at grade crossings, which they do every day, I observe them every day.

Unfortunately Mr. Mirabal did not stop at the crossing and that is why he was inspected for that violation.

MR. BEELER: No further questions right now, Your Honor. I would reserve Mr. Haskins for rebuttal if it's necessary.

And then again at this time I move for the admission of Staff Exhibit 1 into the record subject to cross-examination from Mr. Mirabal.

```
19
 1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
 2
     Mirabal, do you have any questions for the
 3
     witness?
                 MR. MIRABAL: I do.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
 6
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
 7
     By Mr. Mirabal:
                 I saw the officer in front of me.
 8
            Ο.
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I need you to
10
     ask him questions.
11
                 MR. BEELER: Objection.
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You will have a
13
     chance to testify.
14
                 Yes. Well, you told them that you
            Ο.
15
     didn't see -- you don't remember about
16
     the traffic behind, and I don't know if you
     remember that when you pulled me over and I
17
18
     explained to you about the traffic behind me.
19
     Okay? The way this person was driving behind
20
    me. Okay.
2.1
                 And then you told me, which was the
22
     red car, you saw the car. You saw the car.
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You have to ask
24
     him a question. Like I said, you will have the
25
     opportunity to tell your side of the story, but
```

- if you have any questions for the witness you need to form them, frame them in the form of a question.
 - Q. Do you remember that?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. When I told you about the traffic behind me, I have been driving for 17 years, and I never have any problem with my driver's license. And I really don't want it to ruin my record.
- MR. BEELER: Objection, your Honor.
- 12 Testifying, you will have an opportunity to
- 13 testify.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. Sustained.
- 15 You will have an opportunity. This is an
- 16 opportunity for you to ask questions of the
- 17 witness.
- Q. You don't remember when you told me about the red car?
- MR. BEELER: Objection. Asked
- 21 and answered.
- 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will allow it.
- Q. Do you remember?
- A. I don't recall my exact dialogue.
- 25 I don't remember any discussion of a red car.

1 MR. MIRABAL: Okay.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any

3 | further questions?

4 MR. MIRABAL: No, that is

5 | the question because I asked him when he pulled

6 | me over.

9

10

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I have a

8 few questions for you.

EXAMINATION

By the Hearing Officer:

- 11 | Q. At the time that you -- your
- 12 testimony is that you were in front of Mr.
- 13 | Mirabal and observed him travel over the tracks
- 14 | without stopping. How did you come to inspect
- 15 | his vehicle? Can you explain that?
- 16 A. Yes. Once I observed the commercial
- 17 | vehicle pass through the crossing I waited until
- 18 | I had a safe shoulder on the highway to inspect
- 19 | the vehicle. So probably maybe almost a mile to
- 20 | where I activated my traffic lights and pulled
- 21 the vehicle over on a safe shoulder section of
- 22 the road.
- Q. So Mr. Mirabal passed you?
- A. He did not. Our relationship never
- 25 | changed. I was in front of Mr. Mirabal, and at

- the time I observed a wide stop from the side of the road I was activating my lights and pulled Mr. Mirabal over to that part of the highway.
 - Q. So he was still in back of you?
- 5 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. Okay. And he reacted to your lights, he pulled over?
 - A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Alongside the road. US 42 is a 10 two-lane road?
- 11 A. It is.

1

2

3

4

- Q. What is the speed limit of that road?
- 14 A. 55, at that location.
- Q. And at the crossing itself are there qates and lights?
- 17 A. There is.
- 18 Q. Is there an exempt sign posted?
- 19 A. There is not.
- Q. And on the day of your observation
 of the violation what were the traffic
 conditions?
- A. At 7:30 in the morning I would say
 moderate to heavy based on the schools in the
 area and the fact that it is somewhat rural, so

it is a truck route. So I would say there were vehicles utilizing the highway moderately.

2.1

2.2

- Q. On the inspection report it indicates in the vehicle identification section that there was a cargo seal removed and a cargo sealed replaced. Can you explain that?
- A. Yes. Part of our duties conducting these roadside inspections involves the inspection of the cargo in addition to the vehicle and the driver. This inspection requires us to remove a seal. In this case it was offered by the shipper in Florida, and I am required to document removal and replacement of the seal if I feel that inspection of the cargo is necessary.

In this case that is exactly what I did. I removed the existing seal, replaced it with a State issued seal, signed the shipping document provided by the driver, and made an inspection and entry into the trailer to investigate the commodity, the cargo.

- Q. And are cargo seals normally used with what is hazardous materials? I mean, with cargo that is placarded?
 - A. Often, yes, your Honor, that is the

normal industrial practice to secure the cargo with a metal seal.

- Q. And what was the cargo in this case?
- A. It was a corrosive chemical. I believe it was -- I would have to check.
 - Q. If you know?
 - A. I know it was 43,008 pound shipment of a corrosive material.
 - Q. And that corrosive material means it's a hazardous material sufficient to require placards?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is all I
- 14 have. You may step down.
- Do you have another witness?
- MR. BEELER: I do, your Honor. At
- 17 this time the Staff would call Thomas Persinger
- 18 to the stand.

3

6

7

8

9

10

- 19 (WITNESS SWORN)
- 20
- 21 THOMAS PERSINGER
- 22 | called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
- 23 testified as follows:
- 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 25 By Mr. Beeler:

- Q. Good morning.
- 2 A. Good morning.
- 3 Q. Please state your name for the
- 4 Examiner.

- 5 A. My name is Thomas Persinger.
- 6 Q. Where are you employed, Mr.
- 7 | Persinger?
- A. I am employed in the Transportation
- 9 Department of the Public Utilities Commission of
- 10 Ohio.
- 11 Q. What is your position?
- 12 A. I am a Compliance Officer.
- 13 Q. How long have you been in that
- 14 position?
- 15 A. Just short of six years.
- Q. What are your duties in that
- 17 position?
- 18 A. Some of my duties include
- 19 determining fine amounts for violations that
- 20 result from a roadside inspection as well as
- 21 compliance reviews.
- Then I also on occasion will do
- 23 | roadside inspections where I go out to the field
- 24 and inspect vehicles for safety purposes.
- Q. What sort of training do you have in

this area, qualifications?

2.1

2.2

- A. Certain training I have gone through, North America Standard classes, the Part A, Part B classes that deal with driver regulations, vehicle regulations as well as several hazmat courses including federal hazmat, bulk package, cargo tank.
- Q. Okay. Just generally as part of your duties just explain how forfeitures are generally derived.
- A. Forfeitures originate from roadside inspections where an inspector or hazmat specialist or State Trooper in uniform will perform an inspection on a commercial motor vehicle. Those inspections are uploaded into the central database FMCS portal into Safetynet and then from that our system will determine the fine based upon the violation that is written.
- Q. And the Commission applies this process uniformally to all carriers, shippers, drivers?
- A. Correct.
- MR. BEELER: May I approach
- 24 | the witness, your Honor?
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

```
27
                 MR. BEELER: I have here what has
1
2
     been marked as Staff Exhibit 2. This is a
    Notice of Preliminary Determination that was
 3
     sent to Mr. Mirabal. It was addressed to Ms.
 4
 5
    Milena Spassova. And this is dated November 20,
     2016.
 6
7
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
8
     IDENTIFICATION)
9
            Ο.
                 Do you recognize this document?
10
            Α.
                Yes, sir.
            Q. What is it?
11
12
            Α.
                 It's a Notice of Preliminary
    Determination letter.
13
14
                 Okay. And this is the document that
            Ο.
15
    was sent to the Respondent, Mr. Mirabal?
16
            Α.
                 Correct.
17
                 I noticed that it is addressed to
            Q.
18
    Ms. Spassova. Do you know why that is?
19
                 A lot of times the driver may
20
     indicate or designate someone to have, or excuse
2.1
     me, request a conference on their behalf. It
22
     could be an attorney, it could be a carrier
23
     official, employment official.
24
                 At least to the best of your
            0.
```

understanding Ms. Spassova was a carrier

official?

1

7

8

- A. Correct.
- Q. But Mr. Mirabal is down in the text, the body of this document as the driver in this case?
- 6 A. Yes, sir
 - Q. Okay. As far as you know this document was sent to the Respondent?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you review this document in preparation for hearing today?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. And is this document a Commission record?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. It's kept in the ordinary business of the Commission?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Explain in this specific case how the civil forfeiture was derived.
- 21 A. The forfeiture was derived based 22 upon the listing of the violations as a Group 4 23 violation. Depending upon what sort of Group 4 24 violation it is, it will receive a forfeiture 25 amount anywhere from \$100 to \$500.

In this case any sort of failure to stop at a railroad crossing where hazmat is on board automatically generates a fine amount of \$500.

- Q. Okay. So \$500 is the forfeiture amount in this case?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And that is a correct forfeiture amount in your opinion?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

- Q. So the penalty here, is that consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Alliance?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Would you recommend this amount to the Commission?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Anything else important that we have not talked about that you think the Commission should know?
- 22 A. Not that I can think of.
- MR. BEELER: Thank you. No further questions, your Honor.
- 25 At this time I would move for the

1 admission of Staff Exhibit 2 subject to
2 cross-examination by Mr. Mirabal.
3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Do

you have any questions?

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

MR. MIRABAL: No.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I just have a question just for clarification.

EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. This is identified as Group 4.

 Could you explain the grouping numbers

 associated with fines that the Commission uses?
- A. The grouping a lot of times will vary depending upon what has been determined as the severity of a violation. And a lot of times the grouping, depending upon are you a Group 1, Group 4, sometimes there could be multipliers if you have more violations in a particular group.

And sometimes if you only have one violation in a particular group you may not necessarily get a fine for it.

- Q. Okay. And there aren't any multipliers in this case; is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And the system that you are using is

```
31
     adopted from the Commercial Motor Vehicle
 1
 2
     Alliance; is that correct?
 3
            Α.
                 Correct.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. All
 4
 5
     right. That is all I have. Thank you.
 6
                 Did you have another witness?
 7
                 MR. BEELER: No other witnesses,
 8
     your Honor.
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. The Staff
     has moved for admission of Staff Exhibit 1 and
10
11
     2. Do you have any objections to the admission
12
     of those exhibits?
13
                 MR. MIRABAL: I am sorry.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any
     objection to the admission of Staff Exhibits 1
15
16
     or 2?
17
                 MR. MIRABAL: No.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Then they
     will be admitted.
19
20
                 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
2.1
     EVIDENCE.
2.2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, Mr.
23
    Mirabal, you will have a chance to tell your
24
     side of this. Why don't you come up here, and
25
     you can bring your papers with you up here.
```

32 MR. MIRABAL: Can I do it from here? 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is okay. 3 MR. MIRABAL: I can see straight to 4 your face. 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. (WITNESS SWORN) 6 7 8 PEDRO MIRABAL 9 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 10 testified as follows: 11 MR. MIRABAL: Something I don't 12 understand over here. I have been driving for 13 almost 18 years. If you check my records I got 14 a great record. I never have any major problem 15 with my driver's license because this is my 16 living. 17 The officer was driving in front of 18 me for a while. I was watching the officer in 19 front of me. I would not do something like that 20 to risk my load, to risk somebody, because I 2.1 know I am going to get in trouble. Okay. 22 I had a big traffic behind me. The 23 car behind me, he was pushing me bad. This 24 driver, I cannot -- with this load I will not --25 I don't like to go like 55 miles an hour and

only two-lane road, only two-lane road because this thing is. Okay.

2.1

So I was going under 55 miles. And this driver I think he was -- he was dimming the lights, he tried to move forward the line.

Okay. And then he was pushing me. He was pushing me. I was put in danger with this driver.

I didn't see the sign. When I saw the sign for the railroad it was late. Okay. I do try to stop the most I can, but if I tried to stop complete I would stop in the middle of the railroad, or I have to jerk my brake to complete and risk the load, which I knew would be dangerous.

That is something, that is what I tried to explain to the officer when he pulled me over. And then he told me which one, the red car? So he saw the car, he saw the car.

I think in this case he should have pulled over the car and see why he was driving behind me that way and pushing the truck that way. Okay.

I went down the hill because something like that, and in Arizona and in the

wintertime, because car like that was pushing me. I slowed down, this car tried to go over me. When he tried to go over me he was sliding under my trailer. I went to the shoulder and the car go. And then when I tried to get in my lane I went jackknife down the hill.

2.1

2.2

This is the reason why I always check my traffic behind me. When I saw the car and the way he was driving, something to me that I have to keep looking at this car the way he was driving behind me, because it was dangerous.

I didn't see the sign. When I saw the sign it was a little bit late. I could stop complete, but if I tried to stop complete the load will move and we can have a spill and spill hazmat. We could have something dangerous.

So I did the most safe I could, my process, I tried my best. I didn't stop complete because I didn't want no like that.

This is the only living I have.

My driver's license. And I sustain my dad, he is sick, and I can't pay my house.

I will not put my driver's license like that in the middle for two seconds, sir. I would not. I will not.

```
When I told the officer about the
 1
 2
     car, he told me which one, the red car? He saw
 3
     the car.
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is that all you
 5
     have to say?
 6
                 MR. MIRABAL: Yes, sir.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Do you
 8
     have questions?
9
                 MR. BEELER: One second, your Honor.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure.
11
                 MR. BEELER: Just one just to
12
     reiterate.
13
                 I take that back. I have no
     questions, your Honor.
14
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you very
16
     much.
17
                 MR. MIRABAL: I will not try to do
18
     something like that, believe me. This is my
19
     living. This is the only way I can make a
20
     living.
2.1
                 I will not try to do something like
22
     that. And if you check my record you will see I
23
     got a good record. For 17 years, keep
24
     the record the way I keep it is not easy.
25
                       EXAMINATION
```

By the Attorney Examiner:

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

- Q. Your testimony is that you traveled over the crossing without stopping; correct?
 - A. No, I did stop, but when I --
- Q. You are testifying now that you
 stopped?
 - A. No, I slowed down the most I can.
 - Q. So, you went over the crossing without stopping though; is that correct?
 - A. I did, sir.
 - Q. And your testimony --
- A. I was going like probably like 3 -
 at the moment I was on the railroad might be 4,

 5 miles an hour because I don't want to stop in

 the middle of the railroad.
- And that was the only choice to be safe, make sure nobody come.
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any questions?
- MR. BEELER: No, your Honor.
- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Do you
- 22 have any documents or anything you want to offer
- 23 | as exhibits?
- MR. MIRABAL: No, sir, I don't.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I have no

further questions. We will submit this on the record and the Commission will render a decision. Thank you.

MR. MIRABAL: Is this going to suspend my driver's license?

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The process, what will happen now, is that the transcript this gentleman here has been preparing, and the exhibits will become the record in this case. The transcript of the testimony of you, the officer, this witness here, and the questions we have all asked, that becomes — that and the exhibits become the record of the case.

The Commission within three months, two or three months, will render a decision on this case. If they find against you your case will be referred to the Bureau of Motor Vehicles and the Bureau of Motor Vehicles is the forum which they decide what happens to your license.

The Commission doesn't do anything with your commercial motor vehicle license. The Bureau of Motor Vehicles deals with those in Ohio.

So the Commission doesn't have

```
38
     anything to do with that. They will just render
 1
     a decision on whether or not there is a
 2
 3
     violation of the law as alleged by staff. Does
     that explain that?
 4
 5
                 MR. MIRABAL: Yes, sir.
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. All
 7
     right. Thank you.
                 (At 10:40 A.M. the hearing was
 8
 9
     concluded)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on March 7, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/21/2017 11:52:12 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-2310-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Pedro Mirabal Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on March 7, 2017. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.