

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. FOR TARIFF
APPROVAL REGARDING CUSTOMER
ENERGY USAGE DATA.

CASE NO. 14-2209-EL-ATA

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on March 14, 2017

{¶ 1} Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke or the Company) is a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 2} On December 16, 2014, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. filed an application requesting approval of tariff language regarding customer energy usage data (CEUD). Duke explains this application is being filed pursuant to Commission orders from Case No. 12-3151-EL-COI, which required Duke to submit an amended tariff specifying the terms, conditions, and charges associated with providing interval CEUD. In this application, Duke proposes to amend its tariff to provide details related to exactly what certified retail electric service (CRES) providers may request, how such data will be provided, and at what cost.

{¶ 3} By Entry on December 16, 2015, the Commission granted the motions to intervene by IGS Energy (IGS), Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy (OPAE), Retail Energy Supply Association (RESA), Direct Energy Business, LLC and Direct Energy Services, LLC (collectively, Direct), the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF), and Ohio Environmental Council (OEC).

{¶ 4} Also on December 16, 2015, the Commission issued a procedural schedule. The schedule required Duke to file testimony by January 22, 2016, intervenors to file testimony by February 5, 2016, and a hearing to begin February 17, 2016. Since that time, the attorney examiner has granted several requests to continue the procedural schedule. On October 3, 2016, the attorney examiner issued a procedural Entry scheduling an evidentiary hearing for April 11, 2017. Testimony on behalf of Duke was to be filed by March 14, 2017, and testimony on behalf of the intervenors was to be filed by March 28, 2017.

{¶ 5} On March 2, 2017, Duke filed a motion to extend the procedural schedule and a request for expedited treatment. Duke states that on March 2, 2017, the Company filed an application for an electric distribution rate case in Case No. 17-32-EL-AIR, et al. According to Duke, in the rate case, Duke is seeking cost recovery for system changes associated with CEUD. Duke states that issues in this proceeding will be significantly addressed in the rate case. Additionally, Duke notes that the Company's testimony in this case must be filed by March 14, 2017, and its testimony in the rate case must be filed by March 16, 2017. To administrate these case efficiently, Duke requests that either this case be held in abeyance until the rate case is completed, or, alternatively, that the filing of the Company's testimony be delayed. No parties filed memoranda contra.

{¶ 6} The attorney examiner finds the request is reasonable and the motion to extend the procedural schedule should be granted. Accordingly, the following procedural schedule should be adopted:

- (a) Testimony on behalf of Duke shall be filed by April 26, 2017.
- (b) Testimony on behalf of all intervenors shall be filed by May 10, 2017.
- (c) The evidentiary hearing shall commence on May 24, 2017, 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 E. Broad St., 11th Floor, Hearing Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.

{¶ 7} It is, therefore,

{¶ 8} ORDERED, That the motion to extend the procedural schedule be granted. It is, further,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/ Nicholas Walstra

By: Nicholas Walstra
Attorney Examiner

jrj/vrm

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/14/2017 10:14:46 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-2209-EL-ATA

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting the motion to extend the procedural schedule; electronically filed by Vesta R Miller on behalf of Nicholas Walstra, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio