

From: [Johnson, Thomas](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout
Date: Thursday, March 09, 2017 1:56:11 PM

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Edwina Walker
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 1:56:05 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Mar 9, 2017

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic and dirty power plants.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) already blocked this bailout deal, saying it was unfair to "captive customers." Now, in an attempt to thwart FERC's decision, the utility is asking you to consider "modifications" to the plan which will still result in increased customer bills at the rate of \$4 billion.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal. Doing so would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor innovation and competition over reigning power players and political clout. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Edwina Walker
5715 Jolea Dr SW
Canton, OH 44706-4241
(123) 456-7890
raggykay@yahoo.com

From: [Johnson, Thomas](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout
Date: Thursday, March 09, 2017 4:08:46 PM

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Sheila Ward
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 4:08:38 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Mar 9, 2017

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic and dirty power plants.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) already blocked this bailout deal, saying it was unfair to "captive customers." Now, in an attempt to thwart FERC's decision, the utility is asking you to consider "modifications" to the plan which will still result in increased customer bills at the rate of \$4 billion.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal. Doing so would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor innovation and competition over reigning power players and political clout. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Sheila Ward
1057 Calle 8
San Juan, PR 00927-5221
(787) 763-8584
asopao@yahoo.com

From: [Johnson, Thomas](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout
Date: Thursday, March 09, 2017 4:08:46 PM

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Sheila Ward
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 4:08:38 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Mar 9, 2017

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic and dirty power plants.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) already blocked this bailout deal, saying it was unfair to "captive customers." Now, in an attempt to thwart FERC's decision, the utility is asking you to consider "modifications" to the plan which will still result in increased customer bills at the rate of \$4 billion.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal. Doing so would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor innovation and competition over reigning power players and political clout. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Sheila Ward
1057 Calle 8
San Juan, PR 00927-5221
(787) 763-8584
asopao@yahoo.com

From: [Johnson, Thomas](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout
Date: Thursday, March 09, 2017 1:56:10 PM

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Edwina Walker
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 1:56:05 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Mar 9, 2017

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic and dirty power plants.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) already blocked this bailout deal, saying it was unfair to "captive customers." Now, in an attempt to thwart FERC's decision, the utility is asking you to consider "modifications" to the plan which will still result in increased customer bills at the rate of \$4 billion.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal. Doing so would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor innovation and competition over reigning power players and political clout. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Edwina Walker
5715 Joleda Dr SW
Canton, OH 44706-4241
(123) 456-7890
raggykay@yahoo.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/10/2017 8:52:57 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of various consumers electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing