BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate

Case No. 17-0295-EL-RDR

MOTION OF GLOBE METALLURGICAL INC. FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24, Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C."), Globe Metallurgical Inc. ("Globe") respectfully moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") to issue a Protective Order to protect the confidentiality and prohibit the disclosure of the confidential information contained in the schedules to the January 27, 2017 Application of Ohio Power Company ("AEP-Ohio") to adjust its Economic Development Cost Recovery rider ("EDR") rate. The confidential information is not subject to disclosure and includes competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information comprising trade secrets.

The grounds for this Motion are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ William A. Sieck</u> Michael J. Settineri (0073369) William A. Sieck (0071813) Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 (614) 464-5462 <u>mjsettineri@vorys.com</u> wasieck@vorys.com

Attorneys for Globe Metallurgical Inc.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate

Case No. 17-0295-EL-RDR

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Globe is a mercantile customer that is and has been taking service from AEP-Ohio pursuant to Commission-approved unique arrangements.¹ On January 27, 2017, AEP-Ohio filed an Application to adjust its EDR rate, which included Globe's confidential customer-specific information filed under seal.²

In AEP-Ohio's initial electric security plan ("ESP") proceeding (Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO,

et al.), the Commission authorized AEP-Ohio's EDR to recover economic development amounts

authorized by the Commission in reasonable arrangement cases. In the ESP proceeding, the

Commission also set the initial level of the rider at zero, to be updated quarterly.³ In AEP-

¹ In the Matter of the Application of Globe Metallurgical, Inc. for Approval of a Unique Arrangement Between Ohio Power Company and Globe Metallurgical, Inc., Case No. 16-737-EL-AEC, Opinion and Order at ¶ 12, 21 (Oct. 26, 2016) (approving unique arrangement effective Oct. 1, 2016 – Dec. 31, 2018). See also In the Matter of the Application of Globe Metallurgical, Inc. for Approval of a Unique Arrangement Between Ohio Power Company and Globe Metallurgical, Inc., Case No. 15-327-EL-AEC, Opinion and Order at 6 (May 13, 2015) (amending and extending unique arrangement through May 31, 2016); *id.*, Finding and Order at ¶ 7, 9 (May 25, 2016) (extension through June 30, 2016); *id.*, Finding and Order at ¶ 9, 11 (June 29, 2016) (extension through Sept. 30, 2016).

² See In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate, Case No. 17-295-EL-RDR, Application at Schedules 2 (reflecting actual and estimated monthly delta revenue amounts) and 4 (reflecting actual estimated monthly electric usage and bill information, discounts, and delta revenues) (Jan. 27, 2016).

³ In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of an Electric Security Plan; an Amendment to its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale or Transfer of Certain Generating Assets, Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 47-48 (Mar. 18, 2009).

Ohio's second and third ESP proceedings, the EDR was reauthorized and is filed semi-annually.⁴ The rider is calculated as a percentage of a customer's distribution charges.

AEP-Ohio's January 27, 2017 Application contains Globe's customer-specific information that was clearly marked as confidential and was submitted under seal, separate from the redacted public version of the Globe-specific schedule. The confidential information as it pertains to Globe is contained in Schedule Nos. 2 and 4 submitted on January 27, 2017.⁵

For the reasons stated below, Globe respectfully requests that the Commission grant protective treatment of Globe's customer-specific information filed under seal in Schedule Nos. 2 and 4 in support of AEP-Ohio's application to adjust the EDR rate.

II. ARGUMENT

The billing information of the Globe reasonable arrangement filed in Schedule Nos. 2 and 4 by AEP-Ohio contains competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information that constitutes trade secrets under Ohio law and the Commission's rules. State law recognizes the need to protect information that is confidential in nature. Accordingly, the General Assembly granted the Commission statutory authority to exempt certain documents from disclosure.⁶ Pursuant to this statutory grant of authority, the Commission promulgated Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-24(D), O.A.C., provides for the issuance of an order that is

⁴ In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 66-67 (Aug. 8, 2012); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 69 (Feb. 25, 2015.

⁵ See In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate, Case No. 17-295-EL-RDR, Application at Schedule Nos. 2 (reflecting actual and estimated monthly delta revenue amounts) and 4 (reflecting estimated monthly electric usage and bill information, discounts, and delta revenues) (Jan. 27, 2017).

⁶ See Sections 4901.12 and 4905.07, Revised Code.

necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed at the Commission to the extent that state and federal law prohibit the release of such information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of

the Revised Code.

Trade secrets protected by state law are not considered public records and are therefore exempt from public disclosure.⁷ A trade secret is defined by Section 1333.61 (D),

Revised Code, as follows:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any <u>business information or plans, financial information</u>, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

(1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.

(2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code (emphasis added)

The Globe-related information contained within Schedule Nos. 2 and 4 is competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business and financial information falling within the statutory characterization of a trade secret.⁸ The information for which protective treatment is sought includes Globe's delta revenue and billings paid for electricity based upon its actual and estimated usage. Public disclosure of the pricing information would jeopardize Globe's

⁷ Section 149.43(A)(1)(v), Revised Code; *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Insurance*, 80 Ohio St. 3d 513, 530 (1997).

⁸ Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code.

business position and its ability to compete. The delta revenue and the actual and projected billing information Globe seeks to protect derives independent economic value from not being generally known and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by Globe's competitors. Further, the efforts to protect the confidential pricing information are reasonable under the circumstances. Finally, actual customer usage and pricing terms are routinely accorded protected status by the Commission and the Commission accorded such treatment to Globe's information in AEP-Ohio's previous EDR update proceedings.⁹

The non-disclosure of the actual usage and pricing information will not impair the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code, as the Commission and its Staff will have full access to the confidential information in order to complete its review process. Because Globe's information constitutes a trade secret, it should be accorded protected status.

⁹ See, e.g., In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust the Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate, Case No. 16-1684-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Sept. 22, 2016); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust the Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate, Case No. 16-260-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Mar. 31, 2016); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 15-1400-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Nov. 18, 2015); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 15-279-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Mar. 8, 2015); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 14-1329-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Sept. 17, 2014); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust the Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate, Case No. 13-1739-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Sep. 18, 2013) & Entry at ¶ 5 (Dec. 08, 2014); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust the Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate, Case No. 13-0325-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Mar. 27, 2013); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-36-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 12-2210-EL-ROR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (Sept. 26, 2012); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 12-688-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (Mar. 28, 2012); and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Adjust Their Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 11-4570-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Oct. 12, 2011).

III. CONCLUSION

Globe respectfully requests that this Motion for Protective Order be granted for the reasons set forth herein.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ William A. Sieck</u> Michael J. Settineri (0073369) William A. Sieck (0071813) Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 (614) 464-5462 <u>mjsettineri@vorys.com</u> wasieck@vorys.com

Attorneys for Globe Metallurgical Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the Commission's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document upon the following parties. In addition, I hereby certify that a service copy of the foregoing *Motion of Globe Metallurgical Inc. for Protective Order and Memorandum in Support* is being served by or on behalf of the undersigned counsel for Globe Metallurgical Inc. to the following parties of record on February 16, 2017, *via* electronic transmission.

Steven T. Nourse American Electric Power Service Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 <u>stnourse@aep.com</u> Counsel for Ohio Power Company

William W. Wright Assistant Attorney General Chief, Public Utilities Section 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793 <u>william.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov</u> *Counsel for the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio* <u>/s/ William A. Sieck</u> William A. Sieck

Frank P. Darr Matthew R. Pritchard McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 <u>fdarr@mwncmh.com</u> <u>mpritchard@mwncmh.com</u> *Counsel for Eramet Marietta, Inc.*

Michael J. Settineri William A. Sieck Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 <u>mjsettineri@vorys.com</u> <u>wasieck@vorys.com</u> *Counsel for TimkenSteel Corporation*

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/16/2017 4:49:11 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-0295-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion of Globe Metallurgical Inc. for Protective Order and Memorandum in Support electronically filed by Mr. William A Sieck on behalf of Globe Metallurgical Inc.