

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

<b>In the Matter of the Application of Ohio</b>	)	
<b>Power Company to Adjust The Economic</b>	)	<b>Case No. 17-0295-EL-RDR</b>
<b>Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate</b>	)	

---

**MOTION TO INTERVENE  
OF TIMKENSTEEL CORPORATION  
AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

---

Pursuant to Section 4903.221, Revised Code, and Rule 4901-1-11, Ohio Administrative Code, TimkenSteel Corporation moves for intervention in the above-styled proceeding. The reasons supporting the intervention are contained in the accompanying Memorandum in Support.

WHEREFORE, TimkenSteel Corporation respectfully requests that the Commission grant this motion to intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP

By: /s/ William A. Sieck

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

William A. Sieck (0071813)

52 East Gay Street

P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

(614) 464-5462 Telephone

(614) 719-5146 Facsimile

mjsettineri@vorys.com

wasieck@vorys.com

*Counsel for TimkenSteel Corporation*

---

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE  
MOTION TO INTERVENE**

---

On January 27, 2017, Ohio Power Company (“Ohio Power”) filed an application in this proceeding to update its Economic Development Cost Recovery rider (“EDR”) rates and recover economic development amounts through the rider previously authorized by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”). In its application, Ohio Power referenced its unique arrangement with TimkenSteel Corporation (“TimkenSteel”) approved by the Commission in Case No. 15-1857-EL-AEC,<sup>1</sup> and included information specific to TimkenSteel to support Ohio Power’s calculations for updating the EDR.

Section 4903.221, Revised Code, and Rule 4901-1-11, Ohio Administrative Code, set forth the standard for intervention in cases before the Commission. Rule 4901-1-11(B), Ohio Administrative Code, states:

- (B) In deciding whether to permit intervention under paragraph (A)(2) of this rule, the commission, the legal director, the deputy legal director, or an attorney examiner shall consider:
  - (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest.
  - (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case.
  - (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.
  - (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

---

<sup>1</sup> *In the Matter of the Application of TimkenSteel Corporation for Approval of a Unique Arrangement for the TimkenSteel Corporation’s Stark County Facilities*, Case No. 15-1857-EL-AEC, Opinion & Order (Dec. 16, 2015).

- (5) The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties.

TimkenSteel has an interest in this case – in part, to be able to protect information contained in the following schedules attached to the application:

- Schedule No. 2, containing the actual and estimated delta revenue amounts (by month) for TimkenSteel and monthly carrying charges; and,
- Schedule No. 5, containing the actual and estimated monthly electric bill information, monthly discounts, and monthly delta revenues of TimkenSteel.

This confidential information is extremely sensitive and would be harmful to TimkenSteel if it were disclosed to the public. TimkenSteel has a real and substantial interest in protecting such information. No one else can adequately protect that interest. Granting TimkenSteel's intervention will not unduly delay this proceeding.

Moreover, TimkenSteel has intervened successfully in matters involving the economic development calculations. *See, e.g., In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate*, Case No. 16-1684-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Sep. 22, 2016), and *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate*, Case No. 16-260-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Mar. 31, 2016), wherein TimkenSteel was granted intervention and its request for a protective order relating to bill information, discount amounts, and delta revenues was granted. TimkenSteel's request to intervene in this proceeding is consistent.

To the extent that any other party seeks a hearing or raises issues in Case No. 17-0295-EL-RDR that would adversely affect the unique arrangement approved in Case No. 15-1857-EL-AEC, TimkenSteel also seeks intervention in this case so as to be able to protect that interest.

WHEREFORE, TimkenSteel respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene so that the Commission can consider its motion for protective order to protect the

information contained in Schedule No. 2 and Schedule No. 5 attached to the application in this case, and so that TimkenSteel can protect its interests in this proceeding should other issues be raised or should this matter go to hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP

By: /s/ William A. Sieck

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

William A. Sieck (0071813)

52 East Gay Street

P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

(614) 464-5462 Telephone

(614) 719-5146 Facsimile

mjsettineri@vorys.com

wasieck@vorys.com

*Counsel for TimkenSteel Corporation*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced on the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to the case (those individuals are marked with an asterisk below). In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served (via electronic mail) on February 16, 2017 upon all persons/entities listed below:

Steven T. Nourse  
American Electric Power Service Corp.  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[stnourse@aep.com](mailto:stnourse@aep.com)  
*Counsel for Ohio Power Company*

Frank P. Darr  
Matthew R. Pritchard  
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC  
21 East State Street, 17th Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215-4228  
[fdarr@mwncmh.com](mailto:fdarr@mwncmh.com)  
[mpritchard@mwncmh.com](mailto:mpritchard@mwncmh.com)  
*Counsel for Eramet Marietta, Inc.*

William W. Wright  
Assistant Attorney General  
Chief, Public Utilities Section  
30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215-3793  
[william.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:william.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)  
*Counsel for the Staff of the Public Utilities  
Commission of Ohio*

Michael J. Settineri  
William A. Sieck  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008  
[mjsettineri@vorys.com](mailto:mjsettineri@vorys.com)  
[wasyeck@vorys.com](mailto:wasyeck@vorys.com)  
*Counsel for Globe Metallurgical Inc.*

/s/ William A. Sieck

William A. Sieck  
*Counsel for TimkenSteel Corporation*

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**2/16/2017 4:44:54 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 17-0295-EL-RDR**

Summary: Motion Motion of TimkenSteel Corporation to Intervene and Memorandum in Support electronically filed by Mr. William A Sieck on behalf of TimkenSteel Corporation