## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application of Ohio Power :

Company for Approval of : Case No. 16-0574-EL-POR

Its Energy Efficiency/Peak:
Demand Reduction Portfolio:
Plan. :

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Dick Bulgrin, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, December 16, 2016.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	American Electric Power By Mr. Steven T. Nourse	
3	1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
4	On behalf of the Ohio Power Company.	
5		
6	Ohio Environmental Council By Ms. Miranda Leppla 1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I	
7	Columbus, Ohio 43212	
8	On behalf of the Ohio Environmental Council and the Environmental Defense Fund.	
10	Kegler Brown Hill + Ritter,	
11	By Mr. Christopher J. Allwein 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
12	On behalf of the Mid-Ohio Regional	
13	Planning Commission.	
14	Carpenter Lipps & Leland, LLP By Ms. Kimberly W. Bojko	
15	and Mr. James T. Perko 280 North High Street, Suite 1300	
16	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
17	On behalf of the Ohio Manufacturers' Association Energy Group.	
18		
19	Carpenter Lipps & Leland, LLP By Ms. Angela Paul Whitfield	
20	and Mr. James T. Perko 280 North High Street, Suite 1300	
21	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
22	On behalf of the Kroger Company.	
23		
24		
25		

```
3
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2.
            Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel
            By Mr. Christopher Healey
 3
            10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
                 On behalf of the Residential
 5
                 Consumers of the Ohio Power Company.
            Mr. Robert Dove
 6
            P.O. Box 13442
 7
            Columbus, Ohio 43213
 8
                 On behalf of the Natural Resources
                 Defense Council.
 9
            Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
10
            By Ms. Colleen L. Mooney
            P.O. Box 42451
            Columbus, Ohio 43212
11
12
                 On behalf of the Ohio Partners for
                 Affordable Energy.
13
            McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC
14
            By Mr. Frank P. Darr
            and Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard
15
            21 East State Street, 17th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
16
                 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users
17
                 of Ohio.
18
            Bricker & Eckler, LLP
            By Mr. Devin D. Parram
19
            Mr. Matt W. Warnock
            Mr. Dylan F. Borchers
            100 South Third Street
20
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
21
                 On behalf of the Ohio Hospital
22
                 Association.
23
            Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
            By Ms. Jody Kyler Cohn
2.4
            36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
            Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
25
```

```
4
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
            Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
 2
            William L. Wright, Section Chief
 3
            Public Utilities Section
            By Mr. John H. Jones
 4
            and Ms. Natalia V. Messenger
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
 6
                 On behalf of the Staff of the Public
                 Utilities Commission.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			1
			5
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS	PAC	GE E
4	Jon F. Williams	_	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Nourse Cross-Examination by Mr. Healey	10 13	
6			
7	EXHIBITS		
8	JOINT EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD
9	1 - Stipulation and Recommendation	10	12
10	COMPANY EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD
11	1 - Application	9	12
12	2 - Prefiled Testimony of	9	12
13	Jon F. Williams		
14	3 - Errata to the Prefiled Testimony	10	12
15	of Jon F. Williams		
16	4 - Prefiled Testimony of	10	12
17	Jon F. Williams in support of the Stipulation		
18	OCC EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD
19	1 - 9/13/16 filing by the company amending	13	12
20	Mr. Gil's exhibits		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Friday Morning Session,

December 16, 2016.

2.1

2.2

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good morning.

This is Case No. 16-0574-EL-POR, being In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Its Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction Portfolio Plan.

My name is Dick Bulgrin. I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to conduct the hearing. I guess we will start with appearances for the company.

MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Ohio Power Company, Steven T. Nourse, 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And staff.

MS. MESSENGER: Good morning, your Honor. On behalf of the staff of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine, by Assistant Attorneys General Natalia Messenger and John Jones, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: OCC.

MR. HEALEY: Good morning, your Honor.

25 Chris Healey representing the residential customers

Proceedings

```
of AEP Ohio on behalf of the Ohio Consumers Counsel,
Bruce Weston, 10 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio,
43215.
```

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just go around the room, whoever wants to enter an appearance.

2.1

MR. ALLWEIN: Good morning, your Honor, staff and counsel. Happy holidays. On behalf of the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission, Christopher J. Allwein, 65 East State Street, Suite 1800, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Good morning, your Honor. Miranda Leppia for the Ohio Environmental Council and the Environmental Defense Fund.

1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I, Columbus, Ohio, 43212.

MR. DOVE: Robert Dove, on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council, P.O. Box 13442, Columbus, Ohio, 43213.

MR. PERKO: Good morning, your Honor. On behalf of OMAEG, Kimberly W. Bojko, James T. Perko, Carpenter Lipps & Leland, 280 North High Street, Suite 1300, 43215.

I have Also been asked to make appearances on behalf of Angela Paul Whitfield on behalf of the Kroger Company, also with Carpenter,

Lipps.

2.1

MS. MOONEY: On behalf of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, I'm Colleen Mooney, Post Office Box 42451, Columbus, Ohio 43212.

MR. DARR: On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio, Frank P. Darr, Matt Pritchard of the law firm of McNees Wallace & Nurick, 21 East State Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

MR. PARRAM: Good morning, your Honor.

On behalf of the Ohio Hospital Association, Devin D.

Parram, the law firm Bricker & Eckler, 100 South

Third Street. Also appearing is Matt Warnock and

Dylan Borchers.

MR. NOURSE: Your Honor, if there's no one else, I was asked to make an appearance for Jody Cohn, Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry, Cincinnati -- I don't have the rest of the address -- on behalf of the Ohio Energy Group.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I was going to say, they have a motion to intervene that is pending, and so at this time if there's no objections, we will grant their motion, as well as the motion of Noble Americas Energy Solutions, LLC.

MR. NOURSE: Your Honor, that's fine. I guess it was my understanding from counsel for Noble

```
1
     they were going to withdraw intervention.
 2
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, okay.
 3
                 MR. NOURSE: It's probably academic since
     they didn't show up today.
 4
 5
                 And also I was also authorized by
 6
     Ms. Cohn to indicate that OEG supports the
 7
     Stipulation.
 8
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good.
 9
                 Anything else preliminary?
10
                 Mr. Nourse.
11
                 MR. NOURSE: Your Honor, we would like to
12
    mark some exhibits and call Jon F. Williams to the
13
     stand.
14
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
15
                 MR. NOURSE: Your Honor, could I mark
     some exhibits and then get started with Mr. Williams?
16
17
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
18
                 MR. NOURSE: The first, AEP Ohio Exhibit
19
     1 will be the application that was filed in this
20
     docket on June 15, 2016.
2.1
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
2.2
                 MR. NOURSE: Then AEP Exhibit No. 2 will
```

24

25

the same date.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: His original

be the testimony of Jon F. Williams. This is dated

1 testimony? 2 MR. NOURSE: Yes. Then, your Honor, 3 there was an errata sheet that Mr. Williams filed to the direct testimony, to the June 15, 2016, 4 5 testimony, and this was also docketed on 6 September the 13th. We would like to make this 7 Company Exhibit 3. 8 The Stipulation and Recommendation filed 9 by the parties on December 9 we would like to make 10 Joint Exhibit 1. 11 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. 12 MR. NOURSE: And I believe Mr. Williams' 13 testimony in support of the Stipulation would be AEP Exhibit 4. 14 15 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. 16 MR. NOURSE: I don't have the date of 17 that. I think it was yesterday. 18 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. 19 20 JON F. WILLIAMS 21 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 2.2 examined and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 By Mr. Nourse: 25 Q. Mr. Williams, good morning. Can you

state your name and your capacity with AEP Ohio?

- A. My name is Jon F. Williams. My capacity with AEP Ohio is energy efficiency and peak demand reduction.
- Q. The reporter might appreciate it if you slow down a bit when you talk. Would you also state your business address?
- A. My business address is 301 Cleveland Avenue SW, Canton, Ohio, 44702.
- Q. Mr. Williams, do you have the exhibits that we just marked, AEP Exhibits 1 through 4, and then the Stipulation, Joint Exhibit 1?
  - A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And you're familiar with all those documents?
- 16 A. Tam.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And you're here today to sponsor each one of those documents as evidence in the record?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you have any changes, additions, or corrections to make to the testimony?
    - A. I do not.
  - Q. Okay. If I were to ask you all the same questions in your testimony today on the record, would your answers be the same?

12 1 Α. Yes. 2 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. for admission of AEP Exhibits 1 through 4 and Joint 3 Exhibit No. 1, subject to any questions. 4 5 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are there any questions? 6 7 Very good. 8 MR. HEALEY: I'm sorry, your Honor. I do 9 have some cross. 10 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh. MR. HEALEY: I don't have any objections 11 12 generally to the admission. 13 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So those documents will be admitted. 14 15 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 16 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Healey, you 17 have some questions for the witness. 18 MR. HEALEY: Yes. First, your Honor, I'd 19 like to mark as OCC Exhibit 1 the September 13 filing 20 by the company amending actually Mr. Gil's exhibits. 2.1 I'm requesting this be put in the record because Mr. Williams' testimony filed yesterday updates one 2.2 23 of the exhibits from Mr. Gil's testimony. 24 May I approach? 25 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, sure.

13 1 MR. NOURSE: Mr. Healey, can I confirm 2 this is the same document you handed him that you are 3 planning to use? 4 MR. HEALEY: Yes. I can hand out other 5 copies. THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This is OCC 6 7 Exhibit 1? 8 MR. HEALEY: Yes. 9 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thanks. 10 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 By Mr. Healey: 14 Mr. Williams, do you recognize the 15 document that I just handed to you, which has been 16 marked OCC Exhibit 1? 17 Α. I do. 18 And this is an errata sheet for a portion 19 of Gil's testimony; is that right? 20 Α. That's correct. 2.1 Ο. Can you turn to what's identified as 22 Exhibit DRG-1, Schedule 1 in OCC Exhibit 1? 23 Α. Okay. 24 Now, Schedule 1 calculates the revenue 25 requirement under your application that's filed,

correct?

2.1

- A. Could you repeat the question, please?
- Q. Sure. This exhibit from the September 13 filing, which has been marked as OCC Exhibit 1, this shows a calculation of the revenue requirement for the portfolio plan as filed in the application, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And I'd like you now to turn to your testimony which was filed yesterday. Do you have a copy of that in front of you?
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Can you turn to Settlement Exhibit JFW-3?
  - A. I have it, yes.
- Q. And this Settlement Exhibit JFW-3 serves roughly the same purpose as OCC Exhibit 1, but it has been updated to account for the Stipulation, correct?
- A. Correct. In terms of the energy efficiency and peak demand reduction, right.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to look first at the OCC Exhibit 1 draft, and I direct you to the column marked Program Costs, and then the row for Residential, and you'll see that says \$175,580,355 of program costs allocated to the residential class, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And that was for a three-year period?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

20

2.2

23

24

25

Q. 2017 to 2019, correct?

MR. HEALEY: Your Honor, if you will allow me to ask Mr. Williams to do some very basic math, I just want to get to the annual costs, if that's all right with Mr. Williams.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Healey) Can you confirm that this 175 million charge for three years is about 58.5 million per year?
- 14 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Subject to check?
  - Q. It can be subject to check if you like.
- A. Subject to check or my calculator on my phone.

THE WITNESS: May I use my calculator?

19 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure, absolutely.

What number would that be?

MR. HEALEY: 58.5 million.

- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, looking at your updated exhibit from your testimony filed on December 15, we find the number for Program Costs, Residential, is 143,580,

correct? This is just looking at your exhibit now.

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. And that's for a four-year period, 2017 to 2020?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. So that comes out divided by four to about 35.9 million per year?
    - A. That is correct.
- Q. And so the change from the application as filed to the settlement is a reduction of about 22.6 million for the residential class, is that right, from 58.5 to 35.9?
  - A. On an annual basis, that's correct.
- 0. On an annual basis. Thank you.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. I'll walk you through the exact same
  thing but now on the Shared Savings numbers. On
  Exhibit 1 Shared Savings for the residential class
  was 57,562,949, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was over three years?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And so that comes out to about
- 24 | 19.2 million per year?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- Q. And then looking at your testimony from yesterday, Schedule 1, we see Shared Savings for the residential class of 21,233,677, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that's over a four-year period, 2017 to 2020?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So that comes out to about 5.3 million per year?
- 10 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. So the change for the residential class under the shared savings allocation is about 13.9 million?
- A. On an annual basis, that's correct.
- Q. Thank you. And then a real simple one.

  Let's go back to OCC Exhibit 1, which shows Long-Term

  Incentive Costs for the residential class of
- 18 14,390,737, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And then that's over a three-year period,
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And so that would be about 4.8 million
  per year for the residential class under the
  application for the Long-Term Incentive Costs?

- A. Could you repeat that?
- Q. About 4.8 million on an annual basis?
- A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And then under the Stipulation, AEP has agreed to withdraw the long-term incentive so that amount will be zero under the Stipulation; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So, obviously, that would be a \$4.8 million reduction?
  - A. (Witness nods head.)
- Q. Bear with me for one more calculation.

  Can we add up these three reductions, the 22.6

  million, the 13.9 million, and the 4.8 million, and we arrive at a \$41.3 million reduction in costs allocated to the residential class on an annual basis, correct?
  - A. Could you repeat those numbers?
- Q. Sure. The numbers we had were 22.6 million, 13.9 million for shared savings, and then 4.8 million for the long-term incentive for a total of a \$41.3 million reduction in allocation to the residential class on an annual basis.
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. One more question. I just wanted to

confirm in your Exhibit JFW-3, Schedule 1, in your testimony filed on December 15, 2016, the numbers in this exhibit assume no opt-outs, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

13

14

15

22

5 MR. HEALEY: I have nothing further, your 6 Honor.

7 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Anybody 8 else?

9 You are excused. Thank you.

MR. NOURSE: Thank you, Your Honor. I
think you already admitted these exhibits, but I just
want to make sure.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. There is no objection to the admission of any of the exhibits, so they will be so admitted.

MR. NOURSE: Thank you.

exhibit will also admitted.

17 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The matter will be submitted upon the record.

MR. HEALEY: Can I confirm that OCC's exhibit was admitted as well?

21 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, OCC's

23 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. HEALEY: I have one request before we are done.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. MR. HEALEY: I ask for OCC's December 12 letter, which was filed in the docket, to be administratively noticed in this case for the record. THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will be so administratively noticed. Thank you. Anything more? MR. NOURSE: No, your Honor. THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We are adjourned. (The hearing adjourned at 10:20 a.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, December 16, 2016, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson,
Professional Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State of
Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2019.

11 (rfa-82627)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

12/20/2016 9:23:03 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-0574-EL-POR

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of its Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction Portfolio Plan, hearing held on December 16, 2016. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Anderson, Rosemary Foster Mrs.