

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE
DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY TO
ESTABLISH A STANDARD SERVICE OFFER IN
THE FORM OF AN ELECTRIC SECURITY PLAN.**

CASE No. 16-395-EL-SSO

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE
DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR
APPROVAL OF REVISED TARIFFS.**

CASE No. 16-396-EL-ATA

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE
DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR
APPROVAL OF CERTAIN ACCOUNTING
AUTHORITY.**

CASE No. 16-397-EL-AAM

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on December 9, 2016

{¶ 1} The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined under R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 2} On February 22, 2016, DP&L filed an application for a standard service offer pursuant to R.C. 4928.141. DP&L's application is for an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with R.C. 4928.143. Additionally, DP&L filed accompanying applications for approval of revised tariffs and for approval of certain accounting authority. In its application, DP&L asserts that the proposed ESP is designed to promote economic growth and stability in the state of Ohio.

{¶ 3} On November 21, 2016, Industrial Energy Users – Ohio (IEU-Ohio) filed two motions to dismiss and memoranda in support arguing that the Commission should dismiss various aspects of DP&L's application for an electric security plan.

{¶ 4} Thereafter, on November 23, 2016, DP&L filed an unopposed motion for a seven-day extension of time to respond to IEU-Ohio's motions to dismiss. Similarly, DP&L agreed to an extension of time for IEU-Ohio to file its replies until December 13, 2016.

{¶ 5} By Entry issued on November 28, 2016, the attorney examiner granted DP&L's unopposed motion for an extension of time to file memoranda contra. Accordingly, on December 6, 2016, DP&L and the retail energy supply association (RESA) filed memoranda contra to IEU-Ohio's motions to dismiss.

{¶ 6} Thereafter, on December 8, 2016, IEU-Ohio filed an unopposed motion for an extension of time to file replies. IEU-Ohio requests that the deadline for filing replies be extended to December 22, 2016. IEU-Ohio asserts that no party would be disadvantaged by the extension.

{¶ 7} The attorney examiner finds that the unopposed motion for an extension of time to file replies to the memoranda contra is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, any replies in this case shall be filed by December 22, 2016.

{¶ 8} It is, therefore,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That IEU-Ohio's motion for an extension of time to file replies be granted, in accordance with Paragraph 7. It is, further,

{¶ 10} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Bryce McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/9/2016 2:49:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting IEU-Ohio's motion for an extension of time to file replies in accordance with Paragraph 7. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio