## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In The Matter Of The Application Of:

Case No. 16-0395-EL-SSO

**Dayton Power And Light Company For** 

Approval of its Electric Security Plan

Case No. 16-0396-EL-ATA

In The Matter Of The Application Of: The Dayton Power And Light Company:

For Approval of Revised Tariffs

Case No. 16-0397-EL-AAM

In The Matter Of The Application Of:
Dayton Power And Light Company For:
Approval Of Certain Accounting:
Authority Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code:

§4905.13

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

**OF** 

STEPHEN J. BARON

## ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC. ROSWELL, GEORGIA

November 18, 2016

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In The Matter Of The Application Of: Case No. 16-0395-EL-SSO **Dayton Power And Light Company For** Approval of its Electric Security Plan In The Matter Of The Application Of: Case No. 16-0396-EL-ATA The Dayton Power And Light Company For Approval of Revised Tariffs In The Matter Of The Application Of: Case No. 16-0397-EL-AAM Dayton Power And Light Company For Approval Of Certain Accounting : Authority Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code:

§4905.13

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER	2
III.	CLEAN ENERGY RIDER	11

1 2		I. QUALIFICATIONS
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is Stephen J. Baron. My business address is J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc.
5		("Kennedy and Associates"), 570 Colonial Park Drive, Suite 305, Roswell, Georgia
6		30075.
7		
8	Q.	What is your occupation and by whom are you employed?
9	A.	I am the President and a Principal of Kennedy and Associates, a firm of utility rate,
10		planning, and economic consultants in Atlanta, Georgia.
11		
12	Q.	Please describe briefly the nature of the consulting services provided by Kennedy
13		and Associates.
14	A.	Kennedy and Associates provides consulting services in the electric and gas utility
15		industries. Our clients include state agencies and industrial electricity consumers. The
16		firm provides expertise in system planning, load forecasting, financial analysis, cost-of-
17		service, and rate design. Current clients include the Georgia and Louisiana Public
18		Service Commissions, and industrial and commercial consumers throughout the United
19		States. My educational background and professional experience are summarized on
20		Exhibit SJB-1.
21		
22	Q.	On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?

1	A.	I am testifying on behalf of The Ohio Energy Group ("OEG"), a group of large
2		industrial customers of the Dayton Power & Light Company ("DP&L" or
3		"Company"). The members of OEG who take service from DP&L are Cargill,
4		Incorporated and General Motors LLC.
5		
6	Q.	Have you previously presented testimony in Standard Service Offer (SSO) cases in
7		Ohio?
8	A.	Yes. I have testified in SSO cases involving The Cleveland Electric Illuminating
9		Company, Ohio Edison Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively,
10		"the FirstEnergy Companies"), Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke"), and Ohio Power
11		Company ("AEP Ohio"). See e.g. Case Nos. 10-2586-EL-SSO, 11-346-EL-SSO, 13-
12		2385-EL-SSO, 14-841-EL-SSO, and 14-1297-EL-SSO.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
15	A.	I provide a recommendation regarding the proper cost allocation and rate design for a
16		rider such as the Distribution Modernization Rider ("DMR") proposed by DP&L in this
17		case. I previously addressed the identical issue in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO (the
18		"FirstEnergy ESP Case"). I also address DP&L's proposed Clean Energy Rider.
19		
20		II. DP&L's PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER
21		
22	Q.	Please briefly describe the DMR proposal currently at issue in this case.

A. DP&L is proposing a DMR that would recover \$145 million annually from retail customers. OEG witness Lane Kollen provides testimony in this case discussing the specifics of the overall DMR revenue increase and recommends that the Commission reject the Company's proposal. My testimony addresses the DMR revenue allocation among rate classes and rate design that would be appropriate in the event that the Commission adopts a DMR.

DP&L has proposed an allocation of its requested \$145 million DMR revenue requirement to rate classes using the same apportionment as currently exists for the Company's Service Stability Rider ("SSR"). As described in the testimony of DP&L witness Claire Hale, the Company simply proposes to scale-up the current SSR charges by rate class to meet its requested \$145 million target. First, DP&L adjusts the SSR to reflect its recent rate design changes presented in its distribution rate case and then uniformly scales these charges up from the \$112.698 million SSR revenue to the \$145 million amount for the DMR.

- Q. Is there any basis to use the existing SSR revenue allocation to allocate the distribution related DMR revenue requirement?
- 19 A. No. The SSR revenue requirement is related to generation, not distribution. There is
  20 no evidentiary support at all for the Company's proposal. The DMR, if it is approved,
  21 is designed to provide revenue that the Company can use for distribution infrastructure
  22 improvements, it is not related to the SSR cost recovery. While the Company argues

that using a scaled-up version of the SSR charges would not cause intra-class cost shifts, this is not a basis for allocating the DMR. The basis for, or reasonableness of the SSR allocation has not even been addressed by the Company. There is certainly no evidence to justify continuing to use this allocation to assign cost responsibility for a new, distribution related DMR charge.

A.

## Q. When was the SSR originally established?

It was established by the Commission in its Order in Case No.12-426-EL-SSO. The Commission approved an SSR revenue requirement in that case of \$110 million. As clarified in the Commission's Order of December 13, 2013, the SSR was allocated to rate classes based on a weighted allocation that reflected 1) the allocation of legacy charges produced by the then existing Rate Stability Charge ("RSC") rider and 2) a 1 CP allocator. The RSC produced revenues of \$71.9 million (out of the total approved amount of \$110 million). The additional approved revenue of \$38.1 million was allocated on the 1 CP factor.

A.

## Q. How was the RSC portion allocated to rate classes?

The RSC was originally established by applying an 11% factor to DP&L's 2004 generation charges. The SSR allocation, which DP&L now proposes to use to allocate distribution investment recovered through a DMR, is a generation related charge, not a distribution related charge. Moreover, it is primarily based (\$71.9 million out of \$110 million or 65%) on the allocation of DP&L's 2004 generation charges. There is simply

1		no legitimate basis to now use this allocation to assign distribution related DMR
2		revenues to rate classes.
3		
4	Q.	In its order approving a DMR for the FirstEnergy Companies, did the
5		Commission find that the DMR revenues are to be used for distribution and grid
6		modernization?
7	A.	Yes. At ¶ 211 (page 97) of the Order in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, the Commission
8		stated as follows:
9 10 11 12 13		With respect to rate design, we note that we agree with OEG witness Baron that Rider DMR is "primarily a distribution-related rider since the revenues received by the Companies under the Rider are intended to incentivize increased investment in distribution modernization.
14		In other portions of the Order, the Commission also confirms that the DMR is a
15		distribution related rate recovery mechanism designed to improve the FirstEnergy
16		Companies' distribution system (see ¶206 – the Commission requires that the recovery
17		of revenue under Rider DMR be conditioned on "a demonstration of sufficient progress
18		in the implementation and deployment of grid modernization programs approved by the
19		Commission"). In Chairman Haque's Concurring Opinion, he states at ¶ 3 "The
20		DMR's primary purpose is to ensure the FirstEnergy retains a certain level of financial
21		health and creditworthiness so that it can invest in future distribution modernization
22		endeavors." It is unequivocal that the DMR is distribution related.
23		

How is distribution related investment normally recovered from customers?

J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc.

24

Q.

A. First, distribution related investments would normally only be recovered from distribution customers, not those taking service at higher voltages (e.g., transmission).

Second, the allocation of distribution investments and expenses is generally based on a functionalization of such investments between primary and secondary service, and then allocated on the basis of kW demand (not energy).

A.

# Q. Should any of the costs collected through a DMR-like rider be allocated on the basis of energy?

No. There is no nexus between the nature of such a rider and the volume of energy used by a given customer. Consequently, recovery of any of the rider costs on the basis of energy would be inconsistent with principles of cost causation. Having testified in over 335 cases, including over 100 related to cost-of-service/revenue allocation, I can attest that I have never seen a Commission allocate distribution costs on the basis of energy usage. Adopting an energy-based allocation for a distribution-related rider like the DMR would therefore be directly counter to regulatory practice I have observed throughout the country. The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners' Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual also advises against allocating any distribution-related costs on the basis of energy, explaining "[t]o ensure that [distribution] costs are properly allocated, the analyst must first classify each account as demand-related, customer-related, or a combination of both...Because there is no

energy component of distribution-related costs, we need only consider the demand and customer components."<sup>1</sup>

Allocating the costs of a DMR-like rider on the basis of energy would harm economic development in Ohio, contrary to one of the goals of establishing such a rider. Such an allocation would force large energy-intensive customers, who must compete both nationally and internationally and who would derive little benefit from additional distribution infrastructure modernization, to pay a disproportionate amount of costs under the rider. This outcome would be inconsistent with Ohio's policy of bolstering the State's effectiveness in the global economy.

A.

## Q. How should the DMR revenue requirements be allocated?

The most appropriate cost allocation for a DMR-like rider would be an allocation based entirely upon distribution revenues. The DMR is primarily a distribution-related rider and it would be adopted largely in order to incentivize increased investment in distribution modernization.

That said, I recommend that the Commission take a somewhat different approach with respect to allocating the costs of DMR-like riders due to their unique nature and to balance rate impacts among various customer classes. Because DMR-like riders are not purely distribution-related riders, but can also have an economic development

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, *Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual* (January 1992), *available at* https://efile.mpsc.state.mi.us/efile/docs/17689/0078.pdf at 89.

component, I recommend that the Commission take a hybrid approach to allocating costs under those riders. In the event that the Commission approves a DMR for DP&L, it should be allocated under a two-step process. First, the revenue requirement should be allocated between 1) the residential class (RES Non-Heat and RES Heat) and 2) to all other rate classes as a single group based on the 50% demand/50% energy method that the Commission approved for the FirstEnergy Companies DMR in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO (the Staff recommended method in that case). Second, the resulting non-residential DMR revenue requirement should then be allocated to all other rate classes on the basis of a 50% distribution revenue/50% demand methodology.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q. Would this two-step allocation methodology result in the identical assignment of
  12 DMR charges to the residential class as a straightforward 50% demand/50%
  13 energy method?
- 14 A. Yes. For the residential class, the allocation would be identical to the allocation
  15 approved by the Commission in the recent FirstEnergy case. This hybrid approach is
  16 identical to OEG's Alternative Recommendation in the FirstEnergy case.

17

- 18 Q. What is your recommendation with respect to the rate design of a DMR-like rider?
- A. After the DMR revenue requirement is allocated to each rate class following the method that I recommended above, DP&L should collect the allocated costs using a kWh charge calculated separately for each rate schedule for non-demand metered rate

classes. For demand metered rate classes, the 50% of the allocated DMR costs should be recovered on a kW demand basis and 50% on an energy basis. This is consistent with the Commission's directive in the FirstEnergy case (see ¶ 211).

A.

# Q. What are the potential rate impacts of adopting your recommended two-step allocation methodology?

Table 1 below shows the increases using OEG witness Kollen's estimate of a DMR revenue requirement (\$60 million). I also show the increases using the Staff methodology recommended in the FirstEnergy ESP case and adopted by the Commission in its Order and the Company's SSR allocation method. Under the OEG and the Commission approved FirstEnergy DMR allocation methods, the Residential class would be assigned 41.4% of the total DMR revenue requirement. Under the Company's proposed SSR allocation, the Residential class would be assigned 43.9% of the overall revenue requirement. As can be seen, for the Residential class, the impact is identical using the OEG recommended method and the Commission approved method. This is a lower allocation to the Residential class than proposed by DP&L.

OI	EG Recomme	nded Allocati	Table 1 on of \$60 Million I	OMR Revenue Re	quirement	
	DP&L Allo	cation	50% kWh/50% k	W Allocation	OEG Allo	cation
	Revenue	% of Total	Revenue	% of Total	Revenue	% of Total
Residential	26,351,000	43.9%	24,830,618	41.4%	24,830,618	41.4%
Secondary	18,317,182	30.5%	18,107,149	30.2%	22,414,741	37.4%
Primary	9,907,026	16.5%	10,829,604	18.0%	8,533,909	14.2%
Primary Substation	2,021,876	3.4%	2,296,323	3.8%	1,379,024	2.3%
High Voltage	3,276,123	5.5%	3,731,696	6.2%	2,258,041	3.8%
Street Lighting	80,068	0.1%	121,740	0.2%	132,461	0.2%
POL	46,725	0.1%	82,870	0.1%	451,206	0.8%
Takal	CO 000 000	100.00/	50,000,000	400.00/	60,000,000	100.00/
Total	60,000,000	100.0%	60,000,000	100.0%	60,000,000	100.0%

For all other rate classes, the increases based on my recommended 50% demand/50% distribution revenue allocation methodology gives more recognition to the distribution nature of the DMR revenue requirement. I believe that my recommended two-step approach is consistent with the Commissioner Slaby's Concurring Opinion in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO at ¶ 6 ("Therefore, we have to be aware of the precarious balance that is needed between the residential consumer, as well as the needs of big and small business. In the event the cost of doing business in a given area becomes too high because of utility rates, businesses will not be able to survive. Likewise, there would be a disincentive to locate in the area.").

#### Q. How did you develop the allocation factors used in Table 1?

13 A. There are three different allocation factors used in the analysis: 1) class energy, 14 adjusted for losses, 2) rate class demands, adjusted for losses, and 3) distribution revenues. I obtained the class energy and losses from DP&L witness Hale's Exhibit CEH-1. Rate class demands are based on 4 CP demands produced by DP&L in response to IEU 5<sup>th</sup> Set INT 5-32 Attachment 1. A 4 CP demand allocator is a reasonable measure of demand for use in the DMR allocation. The distribution revenues used for this allocator are based on the revenues approved in the Company's most recent distribution rate case.

#### III. CLEAN ENERGY RIDER

A.

## Q. Please summarize DP&L's proposed Clean Energy Rider?

As set forth in the Direct Testimony of Claire Hale, the Company proposes a new Clean Energy Rider that DP&L states will facilitate future investment in renewable and advanced technologies consistent with state and federal policies. This rider, set initially at zero, would recover any currently unknown environmental compliance costs, including but not limited to green energy initiatives, environmental expenses, and decommissioning costs. Once those costs are known, the Company would apply for recovery of those costs through the non-bypassable Clean Energy Rider in a separate proceeding.

Q.

How does DP&L rationalize charging its distribution customers for environmental costs incurred by generation units owned by DP&L's unregulated affiliate?

A. Ms. Hale states that certain environmental and decommissioning expenses are related to activities involved in serving the Company's customers and were caused when the generation assets were owned by the regulated entity and were for the benefit of DP&L's customers.<sup>2</sup> According to Ms. Hale, it is therefore appropriate that these expenses are recovered on a non-bypassable basis from all customers, both shopping and SSO.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

A.

## Q. Do you agree with this rationale?

No. First, DP&L's distribution customers that shop for electric generation do not take service from these generating units and receive no benefit from the continued operation of these units. These units may even be owned by a DP&L unregulated affiliate. There is no nexus between these unregulated units and DP&L's distribution customers. I am not aware of any provision of Ohio law that would allow for shopping customers to be forced to pay, on an on-going basis, for the environmental costs of unregulated generating units.

16

17

18

19

20

21

15

# Q. Does the Clean Energy Rider require DP&L's customers to subsidize unregulated generation assets owned by DP&L and/or an affiliate?

A. Potentially, yes. It is possible that FERC would view the Clean Energy Rider as a mechanism that impermissibly requires "captive" customers to pay for the unregulated generation assets of their distribution utility. Although there are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Direct Testimony of Claire Hale, p. 8.

obviously many differences between DP&L's Clean Energy Rider proposal and the AEP and FirstEnergy's affiliate PPA Riders, there is a fundamental similarity between the Clean Energy Rider and the PPA Riders. In both circumstances, the distribution utility proposed that its captive shopping customers pay for the generation-related expenses of its unregulated generation assets. From FERC's perspective, such a mechanism could be viewed as distorting the wholesale market by allowing otherwise uneconomic units to remain economically viable.

Q.

A.

Will both shopping and SSO customers have to pay for environmental compliance costs twice if a non-bypassable, Clean Energy Rider is ever implemented?

Yes. Both shopping and non-shopping customers already pay for environmental compliance costs that are embedded in the market price of electricity that CRES providers charge for generation and that SSO auction participants reflect in their prices. It is basic economics that when the price of an input increases, the market price of a product will increase so that costs are recovered. So when environmental compliance costs increase, the market price for electricity will also increase. DP&L's shopping customers will pay more for power from CRES providers and non-shopping customers will pay higher SSO auction rates. Also, DP&L's generation owning affiliate will be able to sell its power for a higher price if market prices increase.

Therefore, if the Commission were to approve the proposed Clean Energy Rider, customers will pay for environmental compliance costs twice; once through increased market prices for the electric generation; and again through the Clean Energy Rider for generating units that don't serve them. DP&L and/or its affiliate generation owner will also be collecting environmental costs twice; once through higher market prices that reflect increased environmental compliance costs and again through the Clean Energy Rider.

A.

## Q. Is the proposed Clean Energy Rider duplicative of other existing DP&L riders?

Yes. The Clean Energy Rider language is vague. The types of costs proposed to be included in the Clean Energy Rider are already recoverable from customers through existing rate mechanisms. For example, costs associated with "green energy initiatives" may already be recovered from non-shopping customers through DP&L's Alternative Energy Rider and from shopping customers through CRES provider rates (subject to the 3% statutory cost cap). Allowing such costs to be recovered through the Clean Energy Rider as well would be duplicative, would risk double recovery of renewable energy costs, and would increase the possibility that the 3% statutory renewable energy cost cap would be exceeded.

# Q. What is your recommendation concerning the Company's proposed Clean Energy Rider?

1 A. The Commission should reject the proposed non-bypassable Clean Energy Rider
2 because shopping customers receive no benefit from the environmental compliance
3 expenditures that are the subject of the Rider, and the Clean Energy Rider is
4 designed to double-charge and double-recover environmental compliance costs.

5

- 6 Q. Does that complete your testimony?
- 7 A. Yes.

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In The Matter Of The Application Of:
Dayton Power And Light Company:
For Approval of its Electric Security:
Plan:

Case No. 16-0395-EL-SSO

In The Matter Of The Appli

Case No. 16-0396-EL-ATA

In The Matter Of The Application Of The Dayton Power And Light Company For Approval of Revised Tariffs

Case No. 16-0397-EL-AAM

In The Matter Of The Application Of Dayton Power And Light Company For Approval Of Certain Accounting Authority Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code §4905.13

EXHIBIT (SJB-1)

**OF** 

STEPHEN J. BARON

## ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC. ROSWELL, GEORGIA

November 18, 2016

## **Professional Qualifications**

Of

#### Stephen J. Baron

Mr. Baron graduated from the University of Florida in 1972 with a B.A. degree with high honors in Political Science and significant coursework in Mathematics and Computer Science. In 1974, he received a Master of Arts Degree in Economics, also from the University of Florida. His areas of specialization were econometrics, statistics, and public utility economics. His thesis concerned the development of an econometric model to forecast electricity sales in the State of Florida, for which he received a grant from the Public Utility Research Center of the University of Florida. In addition, he has advanced study and coursework in time series analysis and dynamic model building.

Mr. Baron has more than thirty-five years of experience in the electric utility industry in the areas of cost and rate analysis, forecasting, planning, and economic analysis.

Following the completion of my graduate work in economics, he joined the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission in August of 1974 as a Rate Economist. His responsibilities included the analysis of rate cases for electric, telephone, and gas utilities, as well as the preparation of cross-examination material and the preparation of staff recommendations.

In December 1975, he joined the Utility Rate Consulting Division of Ebasco Services, Inc.

as an Associate Consultant. In the seven years he worked for Ebasco, he received successive promotions, ultimately to the position of Vice President of Energy Management Services of Ebasco Business Consulting Company. His responsibilities included the management of a staff of consultants engaged in providing services in the areas of econometric modeling, load and energy forecasting, production cost modeling, planning, cost-of-service analysis, cogeneration, and load management.

He joined the public accounting firm of Coopers & Lybrand in 1982 as a Manager of the Atlanta Office of the Utility Regulatory and Advisory Services Group. In this capacity he was responsible for the operation and management of the Atlanta office. His duties included the technical and administrative supervision of the staff, budgeting, recruiting, and marketing as well as project management on client engagements. At Coopers & Lybrand, he specialized in utility cost analysis, forecasting, load analysis, economic analysis, and planning.

In January 1984, he joined the consulting firm of Kennedy and Associates as a Vice President and Principal. Mr. Baron became President of the firm in January 1991.

During the course of his career, he has provided consulting services to more than thirty utility, industrial, and Public Service Commission clients, including three international utility clients.

He has presented numerous papers and published an article entitled "How to Rate Load Management Programs" in the March 1979 edition of "Electrical World." His article on "Standby Electric Rates" was published in the November 8, 1984 issue of "Public Utilities Fortnightly." In February of 1984, he completed a detailed analysis entitled "Load Data Transfer Techniques" on behalf of the Electric Power Research Institute, which published the study.

Mr. Baron has presented testimony as an expert witness in Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Maryland, Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and in United States Bankruptcy Court. A list of his specific regulatory appearances follows.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
4/81	203(B)	KY	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Cost-of-service.
4/81	ER-81-42	МО	Kansas City Power & Light Co.	Kansas City Power & Light Co.	Forecasting.
6/81	U-1933	AZ	Arizona Corporation Commission	Tucson Electric Co.	Forecasting planning.
2/84	8924	KY	Airco Carbide	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, cost-of-service, forecasting, weather normalization.
3/84	84-038-U	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Excess capacity, cost-of- service, rate design.
5/84	830470-Ei	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Florida Power Corp.	Allocation of fixed costs, load and capacity balance, and reserve margin. Diversification of utility.
10/84	84-199-U	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power and Light Co.	Cost allocation and rate design.
11/84	R-842651	PA	Lehigh Valley Power Committee	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Interruptible rates, excess capacity, and phase-in.
1/85	85-65	ME	Airco Industrial Gases	Central Maine Power Co.	Interruptible rate design.
2/85	1-840381	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users' Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	Load and energy forecast.
3/85	9243	KY	Alcan Aluminum Corp., et al.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Economics of completing fossil generating unit.
3/85	3498-U	GA	Attorney General	Georgia Power Co.	Load and energy forecasting, generation planning economics.
3/85	R-842632	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Generation planning economics, prudence of a pumped storage hydro unit.
5/85	84-249	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design return multipliers.
5/85		City of Santa Clara	Chamber of Commerce	Santa Clara Municipal	Cost-of-service, rate design.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
6/85	84-768- E-42T	WV	West Virginia Industrial Intervenors	Monongahela Power Co.	Generation planning economics, prudence of a pumped storage hydro unit.
6/85	E-7 Sub 391	NC	Carolina Industrials (CIGFUR III)	Duke Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, interruptible rate design.
7/85	29046	NY	Industrial Energy Users Association	Orange and Rockland Utilities	Cost-of-service, rate design.
10/85	85-043-U	AR	Arkansas Gas Consumers	Arkla, Inc.	Regulatory policy, gas cost-of- service, rate design.
10/85	85-63	ME	Airco Industrial Gases	Central Maine Power Co.	Feasibility of interruptible rates, avoided cost.
2/85	ER- 8507698	NJ	Air Products and Chemicals	Jersey Central Power & Light Co.	Rate design.
3/85	R-850220	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Optimal reserve, prudence, off-system sales guarantee plan.
2/86	R-850220	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Optimal reserve margins, prudence, off-system sales guarantee plan.
3/86	85-299U	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, revenue distribution.
3/86	85-726- EL-AIR	ОН	Industrial Electric Consumers Group	Ohio Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, interruptible rates.
5/86	86-081- E-Gl	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Generation planning economics, prudence of a pumped storage hydro unit.
8/86	E-7 Sub 408	NC	Carolina Industrial Energy Consumers	Duke Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, interruptible rates.
10/86	U-17378	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Excess capacity, economic analysis of purchased power.
12/86	38063	IN	Industrial Energy Consumers	Indiana & Michigan Power Co.	Interruptible rates.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
3/87	EL-86- 53-001 EL-86- 57-001	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities, Southern Co.	Cost/benefit analysis of unit power sales contract.
4/87	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Load forecasting and imprudence damages, River Bend Nuclear unit.
5/87	87-023- E-C	WV	Airco Industrial Gases	Monongahela Power Co.	Interruptible rates.
5/87	87-072- E-G1	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Analyze Mon Power's fuel filing and examine the reasonableness of MP's claims.
5/87	86-524- E-SC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Economic dispatching of pumped storage hydro unit.
5/87	9781	KY	Kentucky Industrial Energy Consumers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Analysis of impact of 1986 Tax Reform Act.
6/87	3673-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Co.	Economic prudence, evaluation of Vogtle nuclear unit - load forecasting, planning.
6/87	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Phase-in plan for River Bend Nuclear unit.
7/87	85-10-22	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Methodology for refunding rate moderation fund.
8/87	3673-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Co.	Test year sales and revenue forecast.
9/87	R-850220	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Excess capacity, reliability of generating system.
10/87	R-870651	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Interruptible rate, cost-of- service, revenue allocation, rate design.
10/87	1-860025	PA	Pennsylvania Industrial Intervenors	>	Proposed rules for cogeneration, avoided cost, rate recovery.
10/87	E-015/	MN	Taconite	Minnesota Power	Excess capacity, power and

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
	GR-87-223		Intervenors	& Light Co.	cost-of-service, rate design.
10/87	8702-EI	FL	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Florida Power Corp.	Revenue forecasting, weather normalization.
12/87	87-07-01	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light Power Co.	Excess capacity, nuclear plant phase-in.
3/88	10064	KY	Kentucky Industrial Energy Consumers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue forecast, weather normalization rate treatment of cancelled plant.
3/88	87-183-TF	AR	Arkansas Electric Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Standby/backup electric rates.
5/88	870171C001	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Cogeneration deferral mechanism, modification of energy cost recovery (ECR).
6/88	870172C005	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Cogeneration deferral mechanism, modification of energy cost recovery (ECR).
7/88	88-171- EL-AIR 88-170- EL-AIR Interim Rate	OH Case	Industrial Energy Consumers	Cleveland Electric/ Toledo Edison	Financial analysis/need for interim rate relief.
7/88	Appeal of PSC	19th Judicial Docket U-17282	Louisiana Public Service Commission Circuit Court of Louisiana	Gulf States Utilities	Load forecasting, imprudence damages.
11/88	R-880989	PA	United States Steel	Carnegie Gas	Gas cost-of-service, rate design.
11/88	88-171- EL-AIR 88-170- EL-AIR	OH	Industrial Energy Consumers	Cleveland Electric/ Toledo Edison. General Rate Case.	Weather normalization of peak loads, excess capacity, regulatory policy.
3/89	870216/283 284/286	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Corp., Allegheny Ludlum Corp.	West Penn Power Co.	Calculated avoided capacity, recovery of capacity payments.
8/89	8555	TX	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Houston Lighting & Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/89	3840-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Co.	Revenue forecasting, weather normalization.
9/89	2087	NM	Attorney General of New Mexico	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	Prudence - Palo Verde Nuclear Units 1, 2 and 3, load fore- casting.
10/89	2262	NM	New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	Fuel adjustment clause, off- system sales, cost-of-service, rate design, marginal cost.
11/89	38728	IN	Industrial Consumers for Fair Utility Rates	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	Excess capacity, capacity equalization, jurisdictional cost allocation, rate design, interruptible rates.
1/90	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Jurisdictional cost allocation, O&M expense analysis.
5/90	890366	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Non-utility generator cost recovery.
6/90	R-901609	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Corp., Allegheny Ludlum Corp.	West Penn Power Co.	Allocation of QF demand charges in the fuel cost, cost-of-service, rate design.
9/90	8278	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, revenue allocation.
12/90	U-9346 Rebuttal	MI	Association of Businesses Advocating Tariff Equity	Consumers Power Co.	Demand-side management, environmental externalities.
12/90	U-17282 Phase IV	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, jurisdictional allocation.
12/90	90-205	ME	Airco Industrial Gases	Central Maine Power Co.	Investigation into interruptible service and rates.
1/91	90-12-03 Interim	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Interim rate relief, financial analysis, class revenue allocation.
5/91	90-12-03 Phase II	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Revenue requirements, cost-of- service, rate design, demand-side management.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/91	E-7, SUB SUB 487	NC	North Carolina Industrial Energy Consumers	Duke Power Co.	Revenue requirements, cost allocation, rate design, demand-side management.
8/91	8341 Phase I	MD	Westvaco Corp.	Potomac Edison Co.	Cost allocation, rate design, 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.
8/91	91-372	ОН	Armco Steel Co., L.P.	Cincinnati Gas &	Economic analysis of
	EL-UNC			Electric Co.	cogeneration, avoid cost rate.
9/91	P-910511 P-910512	PA	Allegheny Ludlum Corp., Armco Advanced Materials Co., The West Penn Power Industrial Users' Group	West Penn Power Co.	Economic analysis of proposed CWIP Rider for 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments expenditures.
9/91	91-231 -E-NC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Economic analysis of proposed CWIP Rider for 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments expenditures.
10/91	8341 - Phase II	MD	Westvaco Corp.	Potomac Edison Co.	Economic analysis of proposed CWIP Rider for 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments expenditures.
10/91	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Results of comprehensive management audit.
	o testimony filed on this.				
11/91	U-17949 Subdocket A	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell Telephone Co. and proposed merger with Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Analysis of South Central Bell's restructuring and
12/91	91-410- EL-AIR	ОН	Armco Steel Co., Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Rate design, interruptible rates.
12/91	P-880286	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Corp., Allegheny Ludlum Corp.	West Penn Power Co.	Evaluation of appropriate avoided capacity costs - QF projects.
1/92	C-913424	PA	Duquesne Interruptible Complainants	Duquesne Light Co.	Industrial interruptible rate.
6/92	92-02-19	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Yankee Gas Co.	Rate design.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/92	2437	NM	New Mexico Industrial Intervenors	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	Cost-of-service.
8/92	R-00922314	PA	GPU Industrial	Metropolitan Edison	Cost-of-service, rate
			Intervenors	Co.	design, energy cost rate.
9/92	39314	ID	Industrial Consumers	Indiana Michigan	Cost-of-service, rate design,
			for Fair Utility Rates	Power Co.	energy cost rate, rate treatment.
10/92	M-00920312 C-007	PA	The GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, energy cost rate, rate treatment.
12/92	U-17949	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell Co.	Management audit.
12/92	R-00922378	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Co. The WPP Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, energy cost rate, SO₂ allowance rate treatment.
1/93	8487	MD	The Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.	Electric cost-of-service and rate design, gas rate design (flexible rates).
2/93	E002/GR- 92-1185	MN	North Star Steel Co. Praxair, Inc.	Northern States Power Co.	Interruptible rates.
4/93	EC92 21000 ER92-806- 000 (Rebuttal)	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities/Entergy agreement.	Merger of GSU into Entergy System; impact on system
7/93	93-0114- E-C	WV	Airco Gases	Monongahela Power Co.	Interruptible rates.
8/93	930759-EG	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Generic - Electric Utilities	Cost recovery and allocation of DSM costs.
9/93	M-009 30406	PA	Lehigh Valley Power Committee	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Ratemaking treatment of off-system sales revenues.
11/93	346	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Generic - Gas Utilities	Allocation of gas pipeline transition costs - FERC Order 636.
12/93	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Nuclear plant prudence, forecasting, excess capacity.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
4/94	E-015/ GR-94-001	MN	Large Power Intervenors	Minnesota Power Co.	Cost allocation, rate design, rate phase-in plan.
5/94	U-20178	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Louisiana Power & Light Co.	Analysis of least cost integrated resource plan and demand-side management program.
7/94	R-00942986	PA	Armco, Inc.; West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Cost-of-service, allocation of rate increase, rate design, emission allowance sales, and operations and maintenance expense.
7/94	94-0035- E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Cost-of-service, allocation of rate increase, and rate design.
8/94	EC94 13-000	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities/Entergy	Analysis of extended reserve shutdown units and violation of system agreement by Entergy.
9/94	R-00943 081 R-00943 081C0001	PA	Lehigh Valley Power Committee	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	Analysis of interruptible rate terms and conditions, availability.
9/94	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Evaluation of appropriate avoided cost rate.
9/94	U-19904	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements.
10/94	5258-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Co.	Proposals to address competition in telecommunication markets.
11/94	EC94-7-000 ER94-898-0		Louisiana Public Service Commission	El Paso Electric and Central and Southwest	Merger economics, transmission equalization hold harmless proposals.
2/95	941-430EG	CO	CF&I Steel, L.P.	Public Service Company of Colorado	Interruptible rates, cost-of-service.
4/95	R-00943271	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Cost-of-service, allocation of rate increase, rate design, interruptible rates.
6/95	C-00913424 C-00946104		Duquesne Interruptible Complainants	Duquesne Light Co.	Interruptible rates.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/95	ER95-112 -000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Open Access Transmission Tariffs - Wholesale.
10/95	U-21485	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities Company	Nuclear decommissioning, revenue requirements, capital structure.
10/95	ER95-1042 -000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	System Energy Resources, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, revenue requirements.
10/95	U-21485	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Nuclear decommissioning and cost of debt capital, capital structure.
11/95	I-940032	PA	Industrial Energy Consumers of Pennsylvania	State-wide - all utilities	Retail competition issues.
7/96	U-21496	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Central Louisiana Electric Co.	Revenue requirement analysis.
7/96	8725	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Elec. Co., Potomac Elec. Power Co., Constellation Energy Co.	Ratemaking issues associated with a Merger.
8/96	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Revenue requirements.
9/96	U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Decommissioning, weather normalization, capital structure.
2/97	R-973877	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Competitive restructuring policy issues, stranded cost, transition charges.
6/97	Civil Action No. 94-11474	US Bank- ruptcy Court Middle District of Louisiana	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Confirmation of reorganization plan; analysis of rate paths produced by competing plans.
6/97	R-973953	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
6/97	8738	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Generic	Retail competition issues

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
7/97	R-973954	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
10/97	97-204	KY	Alcan Aluminum Corp. Southwire Co.	Big River Electric Corp.	Analysis of cost of service issues - Big Rivers Restructuring Plan
10/97	R-974008	PA	Metropolitan Edison Industrial Users	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
10/97	R-974009	PA	Pennsylvania Electric Industrial Customer	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
11/97	U-22491	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Decommissioning, weather normalization, capital structure.
11/97	P-971265	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	Enron Energy Services Power, Inc./ PECO Energy	Analysis of Retail Restructuring Proposal.
12/97	R-973981	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost
12/97	R-974104	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	analysis. Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
3/98 (Allocate Cost Iss	U-22092 ed Stranded ues)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Retail competition, stranded cost quantification.
3/98	U-22092		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities, Inc.	Stranded cost quantification, restructuring issues.
9/98	U-17735		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	Revenue requirements analysis, weather normalization.
12/98	8794	MD	Maryland Industrial Group and Millennium Inorganic Chemicals Inc.	Baltimore Gas and Electric Co.	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
12/98	U-23358	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, weather normalization, Entergy System Agreement.
5/99 (Cross- Answer	EC-98- 40-000 ring Testimony	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	American Electric Power Co. & Central South West Corp.	Merger issues related to market power mitigation proposals.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
5/99 (Respon Testimo		KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Performance based regulation, settlement proposal issues, cross-subsidies between electric. gas services.
6/99	98-0452	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power, Monongahela Power, & Potomac Edison Companies	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
7/99	99-03-35	СТ	Connecticut Industrial \Energy Consumers	United Illuminating Company	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
7/99	Adversary Proceeding No. 98-1065	U.S. Bankruptcy Court	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Motion to dissolve preliminary injunction.
7/99	99-03-06	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
10/99	U-24182	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, weather normalization, Entergy System Agreement.
12/99	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	Ananlysi of Proposed Contract Rates, Market Rates.
03/00	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	Evaluation of Cooperative Power Contract Elections
03/00	99-1658- EL-ETP	OH	AK Steel Corporation	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate Unbundling.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
08/00	98-0452 E-Gl	WVA	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Co. American Electric Co.	Electric utility restructuring rate unbundling.
08/00	00-1050 E-T 00-1051-E-T	WVA	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Electric utility restructuring rate unbundling.
10/00	SOAH 473- 00-1020 PUC 2234	TX	The Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council and The Coalition of Independent Colleges And Universities	TXU, Inc.	Electric utility restructuring rate unbundling.
12/00	U-24993	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, revenue requirements.
12/00	EL00-66- 000 & ER00 EL95-33-002		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services Inc.	Inter-Company System Agreement: Modifications for retail competition, interruptible load.
04/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket Addressing)	LA B) Contested Issue	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Jurisdictional Business Separation - Texas Restructuring Plan
10/01	14000-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Co.	Test year revenue forecast.
11/01	U-25687	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning requirements transmission revenues.
11/01	U-25965	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Generic .	Independent Transmission Company ("Transco"). RTO rate design.
03/02	001148-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design, resource planning and demand side management.
06/02	U-25965	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States Entergy Louisiana	RTO issues
07/02	U-21453	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO, AEP	Jurisdictional Business Sep Texas Restructuring Plan.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
08/02	U-25888	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Louisiana, Inc. Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Modifications to the Inter- Company System Agreement, Production Cost Equalization.
08/02	EL01- 88-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Modifications to the Inter- Company System Agreement, Production Cost Equalization.
11/02	02S-315EG	СО	CF&I Steel & Climax Molybdenum Co.	Public Service Co. of Colorado	Fuel Adjustment Clause
01/03	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Louisiana Coops	Contract Issues
02/03	02S-594E	СО	Cripple Creek and Victor Gold Mining Co.	Aquila, Inc.	Revenue requirements, purchased power.
04/03	U-26527	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Weather normalization, power purchase expenses, System Agreement expenses.
11/03	ER03-753-0	00 FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Proposed modifications to System Agreement Tariff MSS-4.
11/03	ER03-583-0 ER03-583-0 ER03-583-0	01	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc., the Entergy Operating Companies, EWO Market-	Evaluation of Wholesale Purchased Power Contracts.
	ER03-681-0 ER03-681-0			Ing, L.P, and Entergy Power, Inc.	
	ER03-682-0 ER03-682-0 ER03-682-0	01			
12/03	U-27136	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	Evaluation of Wholesale Purchased Power Contracts.
01/04	E-01345- 03-0437	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Revenue allocation rate design.
02/04	00032071	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Company	Provider of last resort issues.
03/04	03A-436E	СО	CF&I Steel, LP and Climax Molybedenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Purchased Power Adjustment Clause.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
04/04	2003-00433 2003-00434	КҮ	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service Rate Design
0-6/04	03S-539E	CO	Cripple Creek, Victor Gold Mining Co., Goodrich Corp., Holcim (U.S.,), Inc., and The Trane Co.	Aquila, Inc.	Cost of Service, Rate Design Interruptible Rates
06/04	R-00049255	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance PPLICA	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Cost of service, rate design, tariff issues and transmission service charge.
10/04	04S-164E	CO	CF&I Steel Company, Climax Mines	Public Service Company of Colorado	Cost of service, rate design, Interruptible Rates.
03/05	Case No. 2004-00426 Case No. 2004-00421	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Environmental cost recovery.
06/05	050045-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design
07/05	U-28155	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc. Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Independent Coordinator of Transmission – Cost/Benefit
09/05	Case Nos. 05-0402-E-0 05-0750-E-F		West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Environmental cost recovery, Securitization, Financing Order
01/06	2005-00341	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Cost of service, rate design, transmission expenses. Congestion
03/06	U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Cost Recovery Mechanism Separation of EGSI into Texas and Louisiana Companies.
04/06	U-25116	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	Transmission Prudence Investigation
06/06	R-00061346 C0001-0005		Duquesne Industrial Intervenors & IECPA	Duquesne Light Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design, Transmission Service Charge, Tariff Issues
06/06	R-00061366 R-00061367 P-00062213 P-00062214		Met-Ed Industrial Energy Users Group and Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co. Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Generation Rate Cap, Transmission Service Charge, Cost of Service, Rate Design, Tariff Issues
07/06	U-22092 Sub-J	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Separation of EGSI into Texas and Louisiana Companies.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
07/06	Case No. 2006-00130 Case No. 2006-00129	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Environmental cost recovery.
08/06	Case No. PUE-2006-0	VA 00065	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Co.	Cost Allocation, Allocation of Rev Incr, Off-System Sales margin rate treatment
09/06	E-01345A- 05-0816	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Revenue allocation, cost of service, rate design.
11/06	Doc. No. 97-01-15RE	CT :02	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power United Illuminating	Rate unbundling issues.
01/07	Case No. 06-0960-E-4	WV 42T	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Retail Cost of Service Revenue apportionment
03/07	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Implementation of FERC Decision Jurisdictional & Rate Class Allocation
05/07	Case No. 07-63-EL-UN	OH OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power, Columbus Southern Power	Environmental Surcharge Rate Design
05/07	R-00049255 Remand	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance PPLICA	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Cost of service, rate design, tariff issues and transmission service charge.
06/07	R-00072155	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance PPLICA	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Cost of service, rate design, tariff issues.
07/07	Doc. No. 07F-037E	CO	Gateway Canyons LLC	Grand Valley Power Coop.	Distribution Line Cost Allocation
09/07	Doc. No. 05-UR-103	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Electric Power Co	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
11/07	ER07-682-0	00 FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Proposed modifications to System Agreement Schedule MSS-3. Cost functionalization issues.
1/08	Doc. No. 20000-277-E	WY ER-07	Cimarex Energy Company	Rocky Mountain Power (PacifiCorp)	Vintage Pricing, Marginal Cost Pricing Projected Test Year
1/08	Case No. 07-551	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Class Cost of Service, Rate Restructuring, Apportionment of Revenue Increase to Rate Schedules
2/08	ER07-956	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Entergy's Compliance Filing System Agreement Bandwidth Calculations.
2/08	Doc No. P-00072342	PA !	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Default Service Plan issues.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
				• • •	
3/08	Doc No. E-01933A-0	AZ 5-0650	Kroger Company	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
05/08	08-0278 E-Gl	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Co. American Electric Power Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis.
6/08	Case No. 08-124-EL-A	OH ATA	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Recovery of Deferred Fuel Cost
7/08	Docket No. 07-035-93	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
08/08	Doc. No. 6680-UR-11	WI I6	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
09/08	Doc. No. 6690-UR-11	WI 19	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Public Service Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
09/08	Case No. 08-936-EL-		Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Provider of Last Resort Competitive Solicitation
09/08	Case No. 08-935-EL-		Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Provider of Last Resort Rate Plan
09/08	Case No. 08-917-EL- 08-918-EL-	SSO	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company Columbus Southem Power Co	Provider of Last Resort Rate . Plan
10/08	2008-00251 2008-00252		Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/08	08-1511 E-Gl	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis.
11/08	M-2008- 2036188, M 2008-20361		Met-Ed Industrial Energy Users Group and Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co. Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Transmission Service Charge
01/09	ER08-1056	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Entergy's Compliance Filing System Agreement Bandwidth Calculations.
01/09	E-01345A- 08-0172	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
02/09	2008-00409	KY KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Cost of Service, Rate Design

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
5/09	PUE-2009 -00018	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Transmission Cost Recovery Rider
5/09	09-0177- E-Gl	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis
6/09	PUE-2009 -00016	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider
6/09	PUE-2009 -00038	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider
7/09	080677-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design
8/09	U-20925 (RRF 2004)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana LLC	Interruptible Rate Refund Settlement
9/09	09AL-299E	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Energy Cost Rate issues
9/09	Doc. No. 05-UR-104	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
9/09	Doc. No. 6680-UR-1	WI 17	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
10/09	Docket No. 09-035-23	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Cost of Service, Allocation of Rev Increase
10/09	09AL-299E	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/09	PUE-2009 -00019	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/09	09-1485 E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis.
12/09	Case No. 09-906-EL-S	OH SSO	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Provider of Last Resort Rate Plan
12/09	ER09-1224	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Entergy's Compliance Filing System Agreement Bandwidth Calculations.
12/09	Case No. PUE-2009	VA -00030	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Co.	Cost Allocation, Allocation of Rev Increase, Rate Design

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
2/10	Docket No. 09-035-23	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Rate Design
3/10	Case No. 09-1352-E-	WV 42T	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Retail Cost of Service Revenue apportionment
3/10	E015/ GR-09-115	MN 1	Large Power Intervenors	Minnesota Power Co.	Cost of Service, rate design
4/10	EL09-61 F	ERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to off-system sales
4/10	2009-00459	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Cost of service, rate design, transmission expenses.
4/10	2009-00548 2009-00549		Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
7/10	R-2010- 2161575	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
09/10	2010-00167	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
09/10	10M-245E	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Economic Impact of Clean Air Act
11/10	10-0699- E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design, Transmission Rider
11/10	Doc. No. 4220-UR-116	WI S	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Northern States Power Co. Wisconsin	Cost of Service, rate design
12/10	10A-554EG	СО	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company	Demand Side Management Issues
12/10	10-2586-EL- SSO	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Duke Energy Ohio	Provider of Last Resort Rate Plan Electric Security Plan
3/11	20000-384- ER-10	WY	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Rocky Mountain Power Wyoming	Electric Cost of Service, Revenue Apportionment, Rate Design
5/11	2011-00036	KY .	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Cost of Service, Rate Design
6/11	Docket No. 10-035-124	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Class Cost of Service
6/11	PUE-2011 -00045	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
07/11	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Entergy System Agreement - Successor Agreement, Revisions, RTO Day 2 Market Issues
07/11	Case Nos. 11-346-EL-S 11-348-EL-S		Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company Columbus Southern Power Co.	Electric Security Rate Plan, Provider of Last Resort Issues
08/11	PUE-2011- 00034	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Co.	Cost Allocation, Rate Recovery of RPS Costs
09/11	2011-00161 2011-00162	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Company	Environmental Cost Recovery
09/11	Case Nos. 11-346-EL-S 11-348-EL-S		Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company Columbus Southern Power Co	Electric Security Rate Plan, Stipulation Support Testimony
10/11	11-0452 E-P-T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Energy Efficiency/Demand Reduction Cost Recovery
11/11	11-1272 E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis
11/11	E-01345A- 11-0224	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Decoupling
12/11	E-01345A- 11-0224	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
3/12	Case No. 2011-00401	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Consumers	Kentucky Power Company	Environmental Cost Recovery
4/12	2011-00036 Rehearing 0		Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Cost of Service, Rate Design
5/12	2011-346 2011-348	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company	Electric Security Rate Plan Interruptible Rate Issues
6/12	PUE-2012 -00051	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider
6/12	12-00012 12-00026	TN	Eastman Chemical Co. Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.	Kingsport Power Company	Demand Response Programs
6/12	Docket No. 11-035-200	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Class Cost of Service
6/12	12-0275- E-GI-EE	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Energy Efficiency Rider

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
6/12	12-0399- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
7/12	120015-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design
7/12	2011-00063	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Environmental Cost Recovery
8/12	Case No. 2012-00226	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Consumers	Kentucky Power Company	Real Time Pricing Tariff
9/12	ER12-1384	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Entergy System Agreement, Cancelled Plant Cost Treatment
9/12	2012-00221 2012-00222		Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/12	12-1238 E-Gl	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost Recovery Issues
12/12	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Purchased Power Contracts
12/12	EL09-61 FI	ERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to off-system sales Damages Phase
12/12	E-01933A- 12-0291	AZ	Kroger Company	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Decoupling
1/13	12-1188 E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Securitization of ENEC Costs
1/13	E-01933A- 12-0291	AZ	Kroger Company	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
4/13	12-1571 E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Generation Resource Transition Plan Issues
4/13	PUE-2012 -00141	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Generation Asset Transfer Issues
6/13	12-1655 E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Generation Asset Transfer Issues
06/13	U-32675	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Louisiana, LLC	MISO Joint Implementation Plan Issues

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
7/13	130040-EI	FL	WCF Health Utility Alliance	Tampa Electric Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
7/13	13-0467- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
7/13	13-0462- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Energy Efficiency Issues
8/13	13-0557- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Right-of-Way, Vegetation Control Cost Recovery Surcharge Issues
10/13	2013-00199	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Ratemaking Policy Associated with Rural Economic Reserve Funds
10/13	13-0764- E-CN	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Rate Recovery Issues – Clinch River Gas Conversion Project
11/13	R-2013- 2372129	PA	United States Steel Corporation	Duquesne Light Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/13	13A-0686EG	G CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Demand Side Management Issues
11/13	13-1064- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Right-of-Way, Vegetation Control Cost Recovery Surcharge Issues
4/14	ER-432-002	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to Union Pacific Railroad Litigation Settlement
5/14	2013-2385 2013-2386	ОН	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company	Electric Security Rate Plan Interruptible Rate Issues
5/14	14-0344- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
5/14	14-0345- E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Energy Efficiency Issues
5/14	Docket No. 13-035-184	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Class Cost of Service
7/14	PUE-2014 -00007	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Renewable Portfolio Standard Rider Issues
7/14	ER13-2483	FERC	Bear Island Paper WB LLC	Old Dominion Electric Cooperative	Cost of Service, Rate Design Issues
8/14	14-0546- E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Rate Recovery Issues – Mitchell Asset Transfer
8/14	PUE-2014	VA	Old Dominion Committee	Appalachian Power	Biennial Review Case - Cost

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
9/14	-00026 14-841-EL- SSO	ОН	Ohio Energy Group	Company Duke Energy Ohio	of Service Issues Electric Security Rate Plan Standard Service Offer
10/14	14-0702- E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/14	14-1550- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
12/14	EL14-026	SD	Black Hills Power Industrial Intervenors	Black Hills Power, Inc.	Cost of Service Issues
12/14	14-1152- E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design transmission, lost revenues
2/15	14-1297 EI-SS0	ОН	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Electric Security Rate Plan Standard Service Offer
3/15	2014-00396	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Cost of service, rate design, transmission expenses.
3/15	2014-00371 2014-00372		Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
5/15	EL10-65	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to Interruptible load
615	14-1580-EL- RDR	ОН	Ohio Energy Group	Duke Energy Ohio	Energy Efficiency Rider Issues
5/15	15-0301- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
7/15	EL10-65	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to Off-System Sales and Bandwidth Tariff
8/15	PUE-2015 -00034	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Renewable Portfolio Standard Rider Issues
8/15	87-0669- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/15	D2015- 6.51	MT	Montana Large Customer Group	Montana Dakota Utilities Co.	Class Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/15	15-1351- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
3/16	EL01-88 Remand	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to Bandwidth Tariff
5/16	16-0239- E-ENEC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
6/16	E-01933A- 15-0322	AZ	Kroger Company	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
6/16	16-00001	TN	East Tennessee Energy Consumers	Kingsport Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
6/16	14-1297 El-SS0-Reh	OH nearing	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Electric Security Rate Plan Standard Service Offer
7/16	160021-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design
7/16	16AL-0048E	E CO	CF&I.Steel LP Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Cost of Service, Rate Design
7/16	16-0403- E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Energy Efficiency/Demand Response
10/16	16-1121- E-ENEC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

11/23/2016 12:27:34 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM

Summary: Testimony DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEVE BARON ON BEHALF OF OHIO ENERGY GROUP, originally submitted 11-21-2016 electronically filed by Mr. Michael L. Kurtz on behalf of Ohio Energy Group