

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. 16-0395-EL-SSO
CASE NO. 16-0397-EL-AAM
CASE NO. 16-0396-EL-ATA

DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF CLAIRE E. HALE

OCTOBER 11, 2016

- ☐ **MANAGEMENT POLICIES, PRACTICES, AND ORGANIZATION**
- ☐ **OPERATING INCOME**
- ☐ **RATE BASE**
- ☐ **ALLOCATIONS**
- ☐ **RATE OF RETURN**
- ☒ **RATES AND TARIFFS**
- ☐ **OTHER**

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CLAIRE E. HALE

ON BEHALF OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.....	2
III.	DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER	2
IV.	CLEAN ENERGY RIDER	4
V.	CONCLUSION	6

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. My name is Claire E. Hale. My business address is 1065 Woodman Drive, Dayton, OH
4 45432.

5 **Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?**

6 A. I am employed by The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L" or the "Company")
7 as a Rate Analyst II.

8 **Q. What are your responsibilities in your current position?**

9 A. I am responsible for assisting in the development, analyses, revision, and administration
10 of the Company's tariff schedules, rate designs, and policies. This includes participating
11 in the development of the Company's rate cases and having responsibility for the
12 administration of certain riders, specifically the Transmission Cost Recovery Riders, the
13 Reliability Pricing Model Rider, and the Storm Cost Recovery Rider.

14 **Q. Will you describe briefly your educational and business background?**

15 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics from The Ohio State University
16 in June 2008. Prior to my position at DP&L, I was a Technical Analyst at Accenture,
17 where I worked on the Service Oriented Architecture Team providing client support on
18 middleware applications. I joined DP&L as a rate analyst in January 2011.

19 **Q. Have you previously provided testimony before the Public Utilities Commission of**
20 **Ohio ("PUCO" or the "Commission")?**

1 A. Yes. I sponsored testimony before the PUCO in Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO as well as in
2 Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR.

3 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

4 **Q. What is the purpose of this testimony?**

5 A. The purpose of this testimony is to support and explain the Distribution Modernization
6 Rider (“DMR”) rate design and the Clean Energy Rider.

7 **Q. Do you support any exhibits attached to your testimony?**

8 A. Yes. I am supporting Exhibit CEH-1, DMR Rate Design.

9 **III. DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER**

10 **Q. Please explain the Distribution Modernization Rider.**

11 A. As described by Company Witness Jackson, the Distribution Modernization Rider will
12 help to ensure that Ohio customers continue to receive reliable service. The DMR will be
13 billed on a service-rendered basis beginning January 2017 and will be billed to all
14 customers on a non-bypassable basis.

15 **Q. Please describe DP&L’s DMR rate design.**

16 A. DP&L proposes to use a modified version of its current Service Stability Rider (“SSR”)
17 rate methodology for the basis of the structure for the DMR rate design. Using the
18 current SSR rates as a starting point promotes the retail rate stability intended by the
19 DMR. The modifications to the SSR rates bring the DMR rates in line with DP&L’s
20 more recent rate design, as proposed in its distribution rate case (see Company Witness
21 Parke testimony in Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR) and within this case (see Company

Witness Brown testimony). The modifications also simplify the rate structure with minimal inter- and intra-class impact. Exhibit CEH-1 attached to this testimony shows the calculation of the DMR rates. Page 1 of this exhibit shows the calculation of the proposed 2017 DMR rates by beginning with SSR rates, applying the rate design modifications, and scaling those rates to meet the 2017 DMR revenue requirement.

Q. Can you describe the calculation of the 2017 DMR rates more fully?

A. Yes. As noted above and shown in Exhibit CEH-1, the calculation begins with SSR rates applied to the distribution forecast (supported by Company Witness Adams) for 2017. This creates an SSR revenue requirement by tariff class. The desired rate design changes are then applied to each class's rates. These rate design changes impact the revenue calculated for each class, so the new rates are then scaled up or down to bring the revenue for each class back in line with that originally calculated from the SSR rates. This prevents rate design changes from causing any inter-class shifts in revenue. Finally, a factor is calculated based on the 2017 DMR revenue requirement and the calculated 2017 SSR revenues. This factor is applied to the modified rates to determine 2017 DMR rates.

Q. What modifications are you proposing to the rate design?

A. For Residential, the rate design changes are intended to bring the rates more in line with those proposed for the Standard Offer Rate. Specifically, the blocked rates for Residential are removed to create a straight kWh rate, and the Residential Heating discount is also modified to a lower rate for all kWh in the winter months. For the Secondary class, the rate design changes accomplish a few different objectives: exchange the blocked kWh rates for a straight kWh rate; incorporate current School customers into the Secondary class (in accordance with the elimination of that tariff in 2017); eliminate

the first five “free” kW by implementing a straight kW rate for all kW; and implement the max charge rate changes discussed by Company Witness Parke. The rate design changes for the Primary class also incorporate current School customers and the proposed max charge rate changes. Finally, the Private Outdoor Lighting rates are changed to a consistent kWh charge, rather than a varying per lamp charge. However, tariffed rates are still shown on a per lamp basis for the convenience of customers. No rate design changes are proposed for the Primary Substation, High Voltage, or Street Lighting classes. All of these rate design changes employ average rates in order to minimize any intra-class shifts. In the end, these changes simplify the rates for customers while maintaining rate stability.

Q. Have you proposed rates for the DMR for 2017?

A. Yes. For 2017, Exhibit CEH-1 Page 1 shows the proposed rates for implementation in January 2017.

IV. CLEAN ENERGY RIDER

Q. Does the Company propose any new riders or deferrals regarding environmental costs?

A. Yes, the Company proposes a new Clean Energy Rider that will facilitate future investment in renewable and advanced technologies consistent with state and federal policies. This rider, set initially at zero, will recover any currently unknown environmental compliance costs, including but not limited to green energy initiatives, environmental expenses, and decommissioning costs. Once those costs are known, the Company will apply for recovery of those costs through the non-bypassable Clean

Energy Rider in a separate proceeding. The timing of recovery will be on a case-by-case basis for each expense.

Q. What is the nature of these environmental expenses?

A. Many existing, pending, and future regulations aim to achieve a clean energy policy at both the state and national level. Encouraging the use of renewables, reducing pollutants in both air and water, and environmentally sound long-term solutions are all part of that overall policy goal. To that end, the Company expects it will incur environmental costs as a result of its current ownership of generation assets. It also expects that, consistent with state and federal policies, new renewable requirements will be imposed by future regulations. Therefore the Company wishes to establish the Clean Energy Rider as a mechanism to enable that investment and recover the related costs for compliance with those currently unknown or unquantifiable clean energy obligations.

Q. Can you provide an example of the type of environmental expenses that DP&L expects to incur?

A. Yes. There are a number of pending regulations related to environmental compliance. The generation plants are subject to a number of new environmental regulations that are not yet finalized. Examples include revisions to the Cross State Air Pollution Rule ("CSAPR") and implementation of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") including the potential closing of existing ash ponds.

Q. Why is it appropriate for the Company's customers to pay for these expenses?

A. Certain environmental and decommissioning expenses are related to activities involved in serving the Company's customers and were caused when the generation assets were

owned by the regulated entity and were for the benefit of DP&L's customers. It is appropriate that these expenses are recovered on a non-bypassable basis because DP&L's ownership of these assets benefitted all of DP&L's distribution customers. In fact, those generation assets were originally placed in service years, and sometimes decades, before the generation market was deregulated. Therefore, such environmental and decommissioning expenses are related to customers' prior use of and benefit from those generation assets. Additionally, any efforts to comply with clean energy obligations will benefit all of the Company's customers, making non-bypassable recovery appropriate.

V. CONCLUSION

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. In summary, the DMR rate design described above maintains rate stability while still updating rates for consistency across DP&L's tariffs. Additionally, the Clean Energy Rider proposed above is reasonable and should be approved.

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

The Dayton Power and Light Company
Case No. 16-0395-EL-SSO
Distribution Modernization Rider
Allocation and Rate Design

Exhibit CEH-1
Page 1 of 2

Line	Class/Description	2017 LTFR Distribution Forecast ¹	SSR Rate	SSR Revenue	Rate Design Changes ²	SSR Rate Design Revenue	Scale Rates to SSR Level ³	SSR Revenue	DMR Revenue	DMR Rate	DMR Revenue
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E) = (C) * (D)	(F)	(G) = (C) * (F)	(H)	(I) = (C) * (H)	(J) = (H) * Line 1	(K) = (H) * (J) Line 2	(L) = (C) * (K)
1	Total Distribution Sales Forecast			\$ 112,698,439		\$ 114,004,658		\$ 112,698,439	\$ 145,000,000		\$ 145,000,000
2	All kWh	14,140,910,971		\$ 86,781,525		\$ 88,087,744			129%		\$ 111,470,382
3	All kW	23,488,053		\$ 25,916,914		\$ 25,916,914					\$ 33,529,618
4											
5	Total Residential		43.9%	\$ 49,495,276	44.9%	\$ 51,178,441	43.9%	\$ 49,495,276	\$ 63,681,583	43.9%	\$ 63,681,583
6	Residential Non-Heating						96.7%				
7	0-750 kWh	2,415,026,282	\$ 0.0103362	\$ 24,962,195	\$ 0.0097807	\$ 23,620,556	\$ 0.0094590	\$ 22,843,719		\$ 0.0121701	\$ 29,391,173
8	> 750 kWh	1,154,728,278	\$ 0.0084287	\$ 9,732,858	\$ 0.0097807	\$ 11,294,007	\$ 0.0094590	\$ 10,922,568		\$ 0.0121701	\$ 14,053,188
9	Residential Heating				15.27%	Residential Heating Discount					
10	0-750 (S) kWh	348,685,541	\$ 0.0103362	\$ 3,604,083	\$ 0.0097807	\$ 3,410,375	\$ 0.0094590	\$ 3,298,214		\$ 0.0121701	\$ 4,243,547
12	> 750 (S) kWh	203,143,776	\$ 0.0084287	\$ 1,712,238	\$ 0.0097807	\$ 1,986,881	\$ 0.0094590	\$ 1,921,536		\$ 0.0121701	\$ 2,472,285
11	0-750 (W) kWh	540,817,500	\$ 0.0103362	\$ 5,589,998	\$ 0.0082871	\$ 4,481,782	\$ 0.0080145	\$ 4,334,385		\$ 0.0103116	\$ 5,576,703
13	> 750 (W) kWh	770,459,802	\$ 0.0050540	\$ 3,893,904	\$ 0.0082871	\$ 6,384,840	\$ 0.0080145	\$ 6,174,854		\$ 0.0103116	\$ 7,944,687
14	Total Secondary		30.5%	\$ 34,405,296	29.9%	\$ 34,062,026	30.5%	\$ 34,405,296	\$ 44,266,522	30.5%	\$ 44,266,522
15	Standard						101.0%	Secondary Scale Factor			
16	0-5 kW	2,671,804	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.9566038	\$ 2,555,858	\$ 0.9662442	\$ 2,581,615		\$ 1.2431886	\$ 3,321,556
17	> 5 kW	10,460,046	\$ 1.2104318	\$ 12,661,172	\$ 0.9566038	\$ 10,066,119	\$ 0.9662442	\$ 10,106,959		\$ 1.2431886	\$ 13,003,810
18	0-1,500 kWh	510,092,604	\$ 0.0101459	\$ 5,175,349	\$ 0.0050927	\$ 2,597,759	\$ 0.0051440	\$ 2,623,939		\$ 0.0066184	\$ 3,376,011
19	1,501-125,000 kWh	2,880,174,472	\$ 0.0044547	\$ 12,830,313	\$ 0.0050927	\$ 14,667,925	\$ 0.0051440	\$ 14,815,745		\$ 0.0066184	\$ 19,062,225
20	> 125,000 kWh	644,223,215	\$ 0.0037842	\$ 2,437,869	\$ 0.0050927	\$ 3,280,849	\$ 0.0051440	\$ 3,313,913		\$ 0.0066184	\$ 4,263,745
21	Max Charge										
22	0-5 kW	187,755	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
23	> 5 kW	821,639	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
24	0-1,500 kWh	26,962,977	\$ 0.0248410	\$ 669,787	\$ 0.0164054	\$ 442,338	\$ 0.0165707	\$ 446,796		\$ 0.0213202	\$ 574,856
25	1,501-125,000 kWh	13,729,955	\$ 0.0248410	\$ 341,066	\$ 0.0164054	\$ 225,245	\$ 0.0165707	\$ 227,515		\$ 0.0213202	\$ 292,725
26	> 125,000 kWh	0	\$ 0.0248410	\$ -	\$ 0.0164054	\$ -	\$ 0.0165707	\$ -		\$ 0.0213202	\$ -
27	School										
28	0-5 kW	5,066	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.9566038	\$ 4,846	\$ 0.9662442	\$ 4,895		\$ 1.2431886	\$ 6,298
29	> 5 kW	98,630	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.9566038	\$ 94,349	\$ 0.9662442	\$ 95,300		\$ 1.2431886	\$ 122,615
30	0-1,500 kWh	1,509,771	\$ 0.0079018	\$ 11,930	\$ 0.0050927	\$ 7,689	\$ 0.0051440	\$ 7,766		\$ 0.0066184	\$ 9,992
31	1,501-125,000 kWh	34,596,757	\$ 0.0079018	\$ 273,377	\$ 0.0050927	\$ 176,192	\$ 0.0051440	\$ 177,967		\$ 0.0066184	\$ 228,976
32	> 125,000 kWh	560,980	\$ 0.0079018	\$ 4,433	\$ 0.0050927	\$ 2,857	\$ 0.0051440	\$ 2,886		\$ 0.0066184	\$ 3,713
33	Total Primary		16.5%	\$ 18,608,439	16.3%	\$ 18,574,763	16.5%	\$ 18,608,439	\$ 23,941,979	16.5%	\$ 23,941,979
34	Standard						100.2%	Primary Scale Factor			
35	All kW	6,108,233	\$ 1.4208780	\$ 8,679,054	\$ 1.4115752	\$ 8,622,231	\$ 1.4141343	\$ 8,637,863		\$ 1.8194527	\$ 11,113,642
36	All kWh	2,866,184,251	\$ 0.0033887	\$ 9,712,639	\$ 0.0034129	\$ 9,782,073	\$ 0.0034191	\$ 9,799,808		\$ 0.0043991	\$ 12,608,623
37	Max Charge										
38	All kW	99,790	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
39	All kWh	3,788,117	\$ 0.0249517	\$ 94,520	\$ 0.0160619	\$ 60,844	\$ 0.0160910	\$ 60,955		\$ 0.0207030	\$ 78,425
40	School										
41	All kW	40,256	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1.4115752	\$ 56,824	\$ 1.4141343	\$ 56,927		\$ 1.8194527	\$ 73,243
42	All kWh	15,468,129	\$ 0.0079018	\$ 122,226	\$ 0.0034129	\$ 52,792	\$ 0.0034191	\$ 52,887		\$ 0.0043991	\$ 68,046
43	Primary Substation		3.4%	\$ 3,797,704	3.3%	\$ 3,797,704	3.4%	\$ 3,797,704	\$ 4,886,200	3.4%	\$ 4,886,200
44	All kW	1,126,507	\$ 1.5092978	\$ 1,700,234	\$ 1.5092978	\$ 1,700,234	\$ 1.5092978	\$ 1,700,234		\$ 1.9418919	\$ 2,187,554
45	All kWh	645,733,135	\$ 0.0032482	\$ 2,097,470	\$ 0.0032482	\$ 2,097,470	\$ 0.0032482	\$ 2,097,470		\$ 0.0041792	\$ 2,698,646
46	High Voltage		5.5%	\$ 6,153,565	5.4%	\$ 6,153,565	5.5%	\$ 6,153,565	\$ 7,917,297	5.5%	\$ 7,917,297
47	All kW	1,868,328	\$ 1.5395867	\$ 2,876,454	\$ 1.5395867	\$ 2,876,454	\$ 1.5395867	\$ 2,876,454		\$ 1.9808621	\$ 3,700,901
48	All kWh	978,943,698	\$ 0.0033476	\$ 3,277,112	\$ 0.0033476	\$ 3,277,112	\$ 0.0033476	\$ 3,277,112		\$ 0.0043071	\$ 4,216,396
49	Street Lighting		0.1%	\$ 150,393	0.1%	\$ 150,393	0.1%	\$ 150,393	\$ 193,499	0.1%	\$ 193,499
50	All kWh	55,701,176	\$ 0.0027000	\$ 150,393	\$ 0.0027000	\$ 150,393	\$ 0.0027000	\$ 150,393		\$ 0.0034739	\$ 193,499
51	Private Outdoor Lighting (lamp)			\$ 87,765		\$ 87,765		\$ 87,765	\$ 112,920	0.1%	\$ 112,920
52	9500 L HPS lamp	12,328	\$ 0.1107400	\$ 1,365							
53	28000 L HPS lamp	8,008	\$ 0.2468800	\$ 1,977							
54	7000 L Mercury lamp	274,765	\$ 0.2129700	\$ 58,517							
55	21000 L Mercury lamp	52,962	\$ 0.3960400	\$ 20,975							
56	2500 L Incand. lamp	64	\$ 0.2630200	\$ 17							
57	7000 L Fluor. lamp	162	\$ 0.3707200	\$ 60							
58	4000 L PT Mercury lamp	8,201	\$ 0.5918600	\$ 4,854							
59	Private Outdoor Lighting (kWh)				0.1%	\$ 87,765	0.1%	\$ 87,765	\$ 112,920	0.1%	\$ 112,920
60	9500 L HPS kWh	480,777			\$ 0.0028889	\$ 1,389	\$ 0.0028889	\$ 1,389		\$ 0.0037169	\$ 1,787
61	28000 L HPS kWh	768,806			\$ 0.0028889	\$ 2,221	\$ 0.0028889	\$ 2,221		\$ 0.0037169	\$ 2,858
62	7000 L Mercury kWh	20,607,391			\$ 0.0028889	\$ 59,532	\$ 0.0028889	\$ 59,532		\$ 0.0037169	\$ 76,595
63	21000 L Mercury kWh	8,156,083			\$ 0.0028889	\$ 23,562	\$ 0.0028889	\$ 23,562		\$ 0.0037169	\$ 30,315
64	2500 L Incand. kWh	4,119			\$ 0.0028889	\$ 12	\$ 0.0028889	\$ 12		\$ 0.0037169	\$ 15
65	7000 L Fluor. kWh	10,723			\$ 0.0028889	\$ 31	\$ 0.0028889	\$ 31		\$ 0.0037169	\$ 40
66	4000 L PT Mercury kWh	352,656			\$ 0.0028889	\$ 1,019	\$ 0.0028889	\$ 1,019		\$ 0.0037169	\$ 1,311

¹ Exhibit RJ-2

² Residential/Residential Heating (Summer): Sum Col (F) / Sum Col (D), Lines 7 thru 11

² Residential Heating (Winter): Residential Heating (Summer) * (1 - Residential Heating Discount)

² Secondary (kW): Sum Col (F) / Sum Col (D), Lines 16, 17, 28, 29

² Secondary (kWh): Sum Col (F) / Sum Col (D), Lines 18 thru 20, 30 thru 32

² Secondary (Max Charge): Sum Col (F) / Sum Col (D) * 2, Lines 16 thru 20, 28 thru 32

² Primary (kW): Sum Col (F) / Sum Col (D), Lines 35, 41

² Primary (kWh): Sum Col (F) / Sum Col (D), Lines 36, 42

² Primary (Max Charge): Sum Col (F) / Sum Col (D) * 2.5, Lines 35, 36, 41, 42

² Private Outdoor Lighting: Col (G) Line 59 / Sum (C), Lines 60-66

³ Residential/Residential Heating: Col (F) * Col (H), Line 6

³ Secondary: Col (F) * Col (H), Line 15

³ Primary: Col (F) * Col (H), Line 34

The Dayton Power and Light Company
Case No. 16-0395-EL-SSO
Distribution Modernization Rider
Calculation of Private Outdoor Lighting Charges

Exhibit CEH-1

Page 2 of 2

Line	Description	kWh/Fixture	2017 DMR \$/kWh	2017 DMR Charge/Fixture/Month \$/Fixture/Month
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E) (E) = (C) * (D)
1	Private Outdoor Lighting			
2	9,500 Lumens High Pressure Sodium (HPS)	39 \$	0.0037169	\$ 0.1449576
3	28,000 Lumens High Pressure Sodium (HPS)	96 \$	0.0037169	\$ 0.3568186
4	7,000 Lumens Mercury	75 \$	0.0037169	\$ 0.2787645
5	21,000 Lumens Mercury	154 \$	0.0037169	\$ 0.5723965
6	2,500 Lumens Incandescent	64 \$	0.0037169	\$ 0.2378791
7	7,000 Lumens Fluorescent	66 \$	0.0037169	\$ 0.2453128
8	4,000 Lumens PT Mercury	43 \$	0.0037169	\$ 0.1598250

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing testimony has been served via electronic mail

upon the following counsel of record, this 11th day of October, 2016:

Thomas McNamee
Natalia Messenger
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Thomas.McNamee@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
Natalia.Messenger@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Attorneys for PUCO Staff

Kimberly W. Bojko
Danielle M. Ghiloni
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 North High Street, Suite 1300
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Bojko@carpenterlipps.com
Ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com

Attorneys for The Ohio Manufacturers' Association
Energy Group

Kevin R. Schmidt
88 East Broad Street, Suite 1770
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Schmidt@sppgrp.com

Attorney for The Energy Professionals of Ohio

Jeffrey W. Mayes
Monitoring Analytics, LLC
2621 Van Buren Avenue, Suite 160
Valley Forge Corporate Center
Eagleville, PA 19403
Email: Jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com

Frank P. Darr (Counsel of Record)
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users –
Ohio

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Kurt J. Boehm
Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Email: dboehm@BKLawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLawfirm.com

Attorneys for The Ohio Energy Group

Joseph Oliker
IGS Energy
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, OH 43016
Email: joliker@igsenergy.com

Attorney for IGS Energy

Joel E. Sechler
Carpenter Lipps & Leland
280 N. High St., Suite 1300
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Sechler@carpenterlipps.com

Evelyn R. Robinson
PJM Interconnection, LLC
2750 Monroe Blvd
Audubon, PA 19403
Email: evelyn.robinson@pjm.com

Attorney for Monitoring Analytics, LLC as
The Independent Market Monitor for PJM

Trent Dougherty
Ohio Environmental Council
1145 Chesapeake Ave., Suite 1
Columbus, OH 43212-3449
Email: tdougherty@the OEC.org

Attorney for the Ohio Environmental
Council and Environmental Defense Fund

William J. Michael
Kevin F. Moore
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
Email: William.Michael@occ.ohio.gov
Kevin.Moore@occ.ohio.gov

Attorneys for Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Michael D. Dortch
Richard R. Parsons
Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC
65 East State Street, Suite 200
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: mdortch@kravitzllc.com
rparsons@kravitzllc.com

Attorneys for Noble Americas
Energy Solutions LLC

Richard C. Sahli
Richard C. Sahli Law Office, LLC
981 Pinewood Lane
Columbus, OH 43230-3662
Email: rsahli@columbus.rr.com

Christopher M. Bzdok (pro hac vice)
Olson Bzdok & Howard, P.C.
420 East Front Street
Traverse City, MI 49686
chris@envlaw.com

Gregory J. Poulos
EnerNOC, Inc.
P.O. Box 29492
Columbus, OH 43229
Email: gpoulos@enernoc.com

Attorneys for EnerNOC, Inc.

Angela Paul Whitfield
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 Plaza, Suite 1300
280 North High Street
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: paul@carpenterlipps.com

Attorney for The Kroger Company

Colleen Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
P.O. Box 1793
Findlay, OH 45839-1793
Email: cmooney@ohiopartners.org
Attorney for Ohio Partners for Affordable
Energy

Madeline Fleisher
Environmental Law & Policy Center
21 West Broad Street, Suite 500
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: mfleisher@elpc.org
Attorneys for The Environmental Law &
Policy Center

Steven D. Lesser
James F. Lang
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
41 South High Street
1200 Huntington Center
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: slesser@calfee.com
jlang@calfee.com
tallexander@calfee.com

Attorneys for The City of Dayton and
Honda of America Mfg., Inc.

Tony G. Mendoza, Staff Attorney (pro hac vice)
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
2101 Webster Street, 13th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
Email: tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org

Attorneys for Sierra Club

Michael J. Settineri
Stephen M. Howard
Gretchen L. Petrucci
Ilya Batikov
William A. Sieck
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: mjsettineri@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com
glpetrucci@vorys.com
ibatikov@vorys.com
wasieck@vorys.com

Attorneys for Dynegy Inc.,
PJM Power Providers Group, and
Retail Energy Supply Association

Michelle Grant
Dynegy Inc.
601 Travis Street, Suite 1400
Houston, TX 77002
Email: michelle.d.grant@dynegy.com

Attorneys for Dynegy Inc.

Glen Thomas
1060 First Avenue, Suite 400
King of Prussia, PA 19406
Email: gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Sharon Theodore
Electric Power Supply Association
1401 New York Ave. NW 11th Floor
Washington, DC
Email: stheodore@epsa.org

Lisa M. Hawrot
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
Century Centre Building
1233 Main Street, Suite 4000
Wheeling, WV 26003
Email: lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com

Derrick Price Williamson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1100 Bent Creek Blvd., Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
Email: dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

Carrie M. Harris
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
310 First Street, Suite 1100
P.O. Box 90
Roanoke, VA 24002-0090
Email: charris@spilmanlaw.com

Steve W. Chriss
Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory
Analysis
Greg Tillman
Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory
Analysis
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
2001 SE 10th Street
Bentonville, AR 72716-0550
Email: Stephen.Chriss@walmart.com
Greg.Tillman@walmart.com

Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP
and Sam's East, Inc.

Evelyn R. Robinson
2750 Monroe Boulevard
Audubon, PA 19403
Email: evelyn.robinson@pjm.com

Attorney for PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Richard L. Sites
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street, 3rd Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3620
Email: rick.sites@ohiohospitals.org

Laura Chappelle
201 North Washington Square, Suite 910
Lansing, MI 48933
Email: laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

Attorneys for PJM Power Providers Group

Ellis Jacobs
Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc.
130 West Second Street, Suite 700 East
Dayton, OH 45402
Email: ejacobs@ablelaw.org

Attorney for Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition

Amy B. Spiller
Jeanne W. Kingery
Elizabeth H. Watts
Duke-Energy Ohio, Inc.
139 East Fourth Street
1303-Main
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Email: amy.spiller@duke-energy.com
jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com
elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke-Energy Ohio, Inc.

Devin D. Parram
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: dparram@taftlaw.com

Attorney for People Working
Cooperatively, Inc.

Matthew W. Warnock
Dylan F. Borchers
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
Email: mwarnock@bricker.com
dborchers@bricker.com

Attorneys for The Ohio Hospital Association

Terrence N. O'Donnell
Raymond D. Seiler
Dickinson Wright PLLC
150 East Gay Street, Suite 2400
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: todollell@dickinsonwright.com
rseiler@dickinsonwright.com

Attorneys for Mid-Atlantic Renewable
Energy Coalition

John R. Doll
Matthew T. Crawford
Doll, Jansen & Ford
111 West First Street, Suite 1100
Dayton, OH 45402-1156
Email: jdoll@djflawfirm.com
mcrawford@djflawfirm.com

Attorneys for Utility Workers of
America Local 175

/s/ Jeffrey S. Sharkey
Jeffrey S. Sharkey

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/17/2016 3:37:28 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of Claire E. Hale - October 11, 2016 (Refiled at Request of PUCO Due to DIS Technical Difficulties) electronically filed by Mr. Charles J. Faruki on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company