

**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT  
COMPANY TO ESTABLISH A STANDARD  
SERVICE OFFER IN THE FORM OF AN  
ELECTRIC SECURITY PLAN.**

**CASE No. 08-1094-EL-SSO**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT  
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF REVISED  
TARIFFS.**

**CASE No. 08-1095-EL-ATA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT  
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF CERTAIN  
ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY.**

**CASE No. 08-1096-EL-AAM**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT  
COMPANY FOR WAIVER OF CERTAIN  
COMMISSION RULES.**

**CASE No. 08-1097-EL-UNC**

**ENTRY ON REHEARING**

Entered in the Journal on October 12, 2016

**I. SUMMARY**

{¶ 1} The Commission grants the applications for rehearing for further consideration of the matters specified in the applications for rehearing.

**II. DISCUSSION**

{¶ 2} The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined under R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 3} On August 26, 2016, the Commission issued an Order granting DP&L's motion to implement previously authorized rates. Additionally, the Commission directed DP&L to file tariffs to implement the Commission's Order.

{¶ 4} R.C. 4903.10 states that any party who has entered an appearance in a Commission proceeding may apply for rehearing with respect to any matters determined in that proceeding, by filing an application within 30 days after the entry of the order upon the journal of the Commission.

{¶ 5} On September 23, 2016, and on September 26, 2016, applications for rehearing were filed by Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition, Industrial Energy Users - Ohio, Ohio Energy Group, the Ohio Manufacturers' Association, the Kroger Company, and the Ohio Consumers' Counsel. Thereafter, on October 3, 2016, DP&L filed a memorandum contra to the applications for rehearing.

{¶ 6} The Commission finds that the applications for rehearing should be granted for the limited purpose of further consideration of the matters specified in the applications for rehearing. We find that sufficient reason has been set forth by the parties to warrant further consideration of the matters raised in the applications for rehearing.

### **III. ORDER**

{¶ 7} It is, therefore,

{¶ 8} ORDERED, That the applications for rehearing be granted for further consideration of the matters specified in the applications for rehearing. It is, further,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry on Rehearing be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

*1 2 18*

Asim Z. Haque, Chairman

*Lynn Slaby*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lynn Slaby

*M. Beth Trombold*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
M. Beth Trombold

*Thomas W. Johnson*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas W. Johnson

\_\_\_\_\_  
M. Howard Petricoff

BAM/sc

Entered in the Journal

**OCT 12 2018**

*Barcy F. McNeal*  
\_\_\_\_\_

Barcy F. McNeal  
Secretary