

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY TO ESTABLISH A STANDARD
SERVICE OFFER IN THE FORM OF AN
ELECTRIC SECURITY PLAN.**

CASE NO. 16-395-EL-SSO

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF REVISED
TARIFFS.**

CASE NO. 16-396-EL-ATA

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF CERTAIN
ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY.**

CASE NO. 16-397-EL-AAM

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on September 29, 2016

{¶ 1} The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined under R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 2} On February 22, 2016, DP&L filed an application for a standard service offer pursuant to R.C. 4928.141. DP&L's application is for an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with R.C. 4928.143. Additionally, DP&L filed accompanying applications for approval of revised tariffs and for approval of certain accounting authority. In its application, DP&L asserts that the proposed ESP is designed to promote economic growth and stability in the state of Ohio.

{¶ 3} By Entry issued on August 16, 2016, the attorney examiner adopted a procedural schedule in this matter. Thereafter, on September 14, 2016, the Sierra Club, PJM Power Providers Group, Electric Power Supply Association, Retail Energy Supply Association, and Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (Joint Movants) filed a joint motion for an

extension of the procedural schedule. The Joint Movants request the procedural schedule be extended so that intervenor testimony and the evidentiary hearing occur after the Commission issues its rehearing order in the FirstEnergy ESP proceeding.

{¶ 4} On September 21, 2016, DP&L filed a memorandum in response to the joint motion indicating that it does not oppose revising the procedural schedule to vacate the September 30, 2016, deadline for intervenor testimony. However, DP&L opposes extending the procedural schedule until a decision is reached in the FirstEnergy ESP case. DP&L then requests a scheduling conference in this matter.

{¶ 5} The attorney examiner finds the joint motion for an extension of the procedural schedule should be granted, in part. Accordingly, the September 30 deadline for filing intervenor testimony should be vacated. Further, a prehearing conference should be scheduled in this matter for October 4, 2016, at 11:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room 11-A, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. At the prehearing conference, parties should be prepared to discuss a revised procedural schedule, which will then be established by subsequent Entry.

{¶ 6} It is, therefore,

{¶ 7} ORDERED, That the joint motion for an extension of the procedural schedule be granted, in part. It is, further,

{¶ 8} ORDERED, That a prehearing conference be scheduled for October 4, 2016, at 11:00 a.m., in accordance with Paragraph 5. It is, further,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Bryce McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/29/2016 3:34:58 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry scheduling a prehearing conference on October 4, 2016 in accordance with Paragraph 5. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio