

FILE

16-253-GA-BTX

Butler, Matthew

From: Bonnie Grzegorzewski <bonnie@gskifamily.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 9:40 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX

(20)

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Thank you for your consideration in this matter!
Bonnie Grzegorzewski

2016 SEP 22 PM 12:10
PUCO

* This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician te Date Processed SEP 22 2016

Butler, Matthew

From: Mary Jo Berry <mjberry111@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 8:53 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

Hello,

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable.

Thank you for your help and have a nice day.

*Sincerely,
Mary Jo Berry
4110 Jud Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45236
(513)791-7914*

Butler, Matthew

From: mmorris2@zoomtown.com
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 8:14 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project.

While the new request for a 20 inch line is smaller, it is still a large pipeline that will pass through a very densely populated area which presents a risk to the residents in Hamilton County. We believe the pipeline should be routed through a less densely populated area either to the East or West of the proposed route.

Sincerely,

Larry and Mary Morris
6329 Euclid Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45236

Butler, Matthew

From: Kari E. McLean <msmclean1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 7:59 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes.

The public is due transparency and information on this amended project.

As someone looking to move to Cincinnati, I am alarmed by the fact that such a large public company as Duke Energy would not be held more accountable and given such free reign without regard to the people, families, and public spaces occupying the proposed routes.

Kari E. McLean
4945 N. St. Louis Ave. #3
Chicago, IL 60625

Butler, Matthew

From: Siu Fung Chan <integr89@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 7:07 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Concerns over Duke Energy Plans Case 16-253-GA-BTX

To whom this may concern:

My family and I are troubled over the future plans of Duke energy. We live on Dartmoor Ct. in Kenwood. The risk of explosions and definite risk of decreasing home values are there, and there must be a better way to route instead of right through the heart of Cincinnati.

Please deny Duke energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on September 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable.

Sent from my iPhone

Siu Fung (Will) Chan, MD
Assistant Professor
Anesthesia and Pain Medicine
UC Health

Siu-Fung.Chan@UCHealth.com
(513) 535-8035

Butler, Matthew

From: Alexa Naramore <alexa.naramore@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 9:35 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes.

I am troubled that Duke would try to avoid these requirements.

Duke must be transparent and provide information for citizens whose property, lifestyle, and lives are affected by Duke's actions.

Thank you,

Alexa Naramore
9366 Bluewing Terrace
Blue Ash, OH 45236

Butler, Matthew

From: Mary Kate <kuglermk@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 9:31 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

Hello,

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable.

Sent from my iPhone

Butler, Matthew

From: Dennis Clason <dennis.clason@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 9:25 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: RE: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

To Whom It May Concern, Greetings:

It is my understand that Duke Energy has requested a waiver to a public meeting requirement in the application process for the above named case.

Given that Duke has changed its proposal again, I believe that the Board should deny Duke Energy's request. Duke is trying to obfuscate its plans for this pipeline. The Board owes the citizens of Ohio full transparency in this process. Duke Energy's proposal to avoid informing those of us most affected about its plan is unacceptable to us.

Please deny Duke Energy's request for any sort of waiver on informing the public of its plans.

Sincerely yours,

Dennis L. Clason, Ph.D.
9797 Troon Court
Blue Ash, Ohio 45241

Butler, Matthew

From: bsmuething@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 9:07 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Duke Energy Pipeline Extension

To Whom it May Concern:

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable.

In the interest of safety and integrity, I implore you to make the best decision for the citizens who would be most impacted by such a pipeline extension. Please, make the right choice.

Respectfully,

*Beth Santoro Muething
Resident, Pleasant Ridge, Cincinnati*

Butler, Matthew

From: Rob Schmuelling <roschmug@cinci.rr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 7:42 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Thank you.

Sincerely

Rob Schmuelling
6780 Siebern Ave
Cincinnati oh 45236

Sent from my iPad

Butler, Matthew

From: Desi Johnson <desireejohnson@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 7:24 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable.

Furthermore, Duke's strong-arming tactics should not go unnoticed. The preferred Orange route goes through the most densely populated neighborhoods of all the routes they originally proposed. This route places a potentially dangerous pipeline within FEET of homes and daycare centers, churches, etc. All can agree we must plan for future energy needs. However, it seems Duke could literally not have picked a worse route, when it comes to safety. This proposed project will also create a traffic nightmare for the residents on the Orange route, during construction. Blue Ash Road and Cooper Road are heavily used in the center of town, and they are not four-lane roads.

When we moved back to Blue Ash, three years ago, it was to rejoin a vibrant community and raise our children here. It is distressing to think of this pipeline being placed within yards of their swing set. We know this project is taking an emotional toll on many residents, because it is such a large-scale and risky project. Most homeowners on the Orange route live on modest or even fixed incomes. The mere possibility of a safety issue, drop in home value, or rise in insurance premiums is not something we can easily take in stride. What upsets us is not just concern for our own families and homes but our neighbors', as well. It breaks my heart to read letters to the siting board like one desperate plea from an older resident that reads, "I am sick with worry."

Please adjust the routes to roads less traveled and lands less occupied. Or, deny the project altogether.

Thank You,
Desi Johnson

Butler, Matthew

From: catherinevalentine17@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 4:32 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Duke Pipeline in Cincinnati

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

According to Duke's proposals, it's proposed pipeline in Cincinnati may run within 300 feet of our home.

This is of tremendous concern to us, as it has the potential to result in tragedy for our family. These lines do blow up.

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

We feel Duke has no business running this line through long established populated residential areas.

Please note:

- A 20-inch, 400 PSI pipeline creates a blast zone of 912 feet on either side of the pipeline. We and our children would be instantly burned to death in the event of an explosion.
- Both proposed routes run directly in front of homes, schools, day care centers, businesses, and places of worship, putting them in harm's way.
- In addition to the proposed line, Duke now intends to "upgrade" an existing 20-inch pipeline in the area that currently operates at 150 PSI. The company has not said when this upgrade will occur or at what pressure this line will eventually operate. We fear it may become just another way to send large quantities of natural gas through our communities.
- While Duke's desire is to retire aging propane-powered peak shaving plants, it's unclear why upgraded facilities or a more limited pipeline project would not be sufficient to meet peak demand. Aside from a vaguely-described desire to balance natural gas supply, Duke has not explained why running significantly more natural gas through the area outweighs the safety, environmental, and economic concerns of the residents who live here.
- This is only one of five major natural gas projects that Duke is planning for the area, which includes surrounding counties and northern Kentucky. Despite earlier promises, the company has not publicly described the four remaining projects as part of its application. I am still not convinced that Duke doesn't have a larger, undisclosed plan in the works for all of this new natural gas.

As part of its application to the Ohio Power Siting Board, the company has requested a pass on holding any more public informational meetings or delivering any more public notices.

Duke's unwillingness to hear from the community despite significant design changes is inexcusable.

Sincerely,
Mary C. Valentine
Cincinnati, Ohio

Butler, Matthew

From: Elizabeth Rueve-Miller <elizabeth_ruevemiller@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 4:13 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Deny Duke's Waiver Request

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Elizabeth Rueve-Miller
9288 Bluewing Ter
Blue Ash, OH 45236

Butler, Matthew

From: PoorBarb@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 3:30 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Sincerely,

Michael and Barbara Pordy
3793 Fallentree Ln.
Cincinnati, OH 45236

Butler, Matthew

From: Sandy Baden <sbaden@cinci.rr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 3:01 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable.

*Blue Ash, OH taxpayers
4135 Fox Hollow Dr.
Blue Ash OH 45241*

*Sandra M. Baden
Mark Treitel*

Butler, Matthew

From: Kathy Patton <labrat@cinci.rr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 2:51 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

Please **deny** Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes.

The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable.

The fact that they want to run this pipeline through such a densely populated area shows the obscene greed that is plaguing this country. The decision should be what is best for the people of Ohio, not what is least costly to Duke and their client. The route should go through a rural area where there'll be minimal disruption and is safer due to the lower density of the area.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I will pray that the board makes the right decision for Ohioans.

Butler, Matthew

From: liz@lizvogel.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 2:27 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Duke Energy

To Whom It May Concern,

It is deeply disappointing that Duke continues to pursue routing a major gas line through densely populated communities in Greater Cincinnati. This misguided plan seems like a vestige of 1960's planners that is out of touch with the realities of our communities today. Property values, population density, safety and post-911 security concerns are all legitimate barriers to the proposed pipeline. They would have been difficult to foresee when these plans were initially conceived. Moving forward ignores the voice of the community--from property owners to investors, residents and business people. There is almost zero support for this initiative beyond the walls of Duke Energy's corporate headquarters.

I hope you will force Duke to explore other options--including refurbishing the outdated facilities that this pipeline is intended to replace. Additionally, the tax payers and stakeholders in our region deserve transparency. Please deny Duke's request to avoid future town hall meetings and opt-out of robust communications with members of our community.

Sincerely,

Liz Vogel

Butler, Matthew

From: Al Early <alearly@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 1:28 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Cc: Al Early
Subject: Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

Dear OPSB Members,

I am a resident of Sycamore a Township which is located in Hamilton County. I am writing to you to request that you deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on September 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Albert H. Early
8120 Glenmill Ct.
Cincinnati OH 45249

Butler, Matthew

From: rmclark@fuse.net
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 10:57 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

I am writing to comment on Case 16-253-GA-BTX. and to ask that you deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016. I also request that Duke Energy' be required to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. In my opinion it is inexcusable that Duke has requested permission to avoid these requirements. The public deserves transparency and information sharing on this amended project.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

*Robert Clark
9627 Lansford Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45242
Tele: 513-891-4835*