Burdett, Felecia

From:

Bell, Terry

Sent:

Thursday, August 18, 2016 7:27 AM

To:

Puco Docketing

Subject:

case #16-395-EL-SSO

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us To: PUCO ContactThePUCO

Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 108553

Received: 8/17/2016 6:47:10 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 108553 AT:08-17-2016 at 06:47 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. james codispoti

CONTACT SENDER? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 987 sharewood ct
- kettering, Ohio 45429
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 937-293-7312

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: jcodispoti@woh.rr.com

INDUSTRY: Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: DP&L
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician MA __Date Processed AUG 1 9 2016

2016 AUG 19 AM 10: 24

RE: case #16-395-EL-SSO: Again, DP&L (Dayton Pillage and Loot) is asking for a rate increase or on occasion, an increase under the guise of a "service related charge". Just like a majority of homeowners and DP&L customers, I have taken every step possible, over the past 20 years of home ownership, to reduce my energy use and save on utilities. I have invested thousands on new windows, insulation, exterior doors, sealing the home, energy saving appliances, etc. When CFL's were available, I replaced every bulb in my home with a CFL, or at least as long as the fixture would accept a CFL...just as many, many homeowners have done. As homeowners, we have spent our income on conserving energy and reducing our energy costs. Over the past 30 years, this has been shouted from the rooftops by energy groups and governments, to help save us money; reduce pollution: and conserve energy. After all of this and the investment of thousands of dollars in our homes, DP&L continues to ask for rate increases. Each increase is disguised in a cleverly guided phrase to misguide and elude the public as well as PUCO. When it comes down to the very bottom line, it is a rate increase. Why'll I and many strive to save a buck or two on our energy bill by investing in energy reducing technology, each dollar is saved is lost to some rate increase by DP&L. I urge PUCO to deny DP&L any form of cost increase placed on the consumer. Well, not just DP&L, but every Ohio energy supplier. Why we are at it, why doesn't PUCO require DP&L to describe each charge on a bill in plain English, not the blah, blah, blah of misdirection and confusing glop to confuse a homeowner. Lets just call a service charge what it is...a tax? Cost to recover what? A service interval reduction calculation? (got ya). Again, I urge PUCO to deny DP&L any form of rate increase and to become more stringent in holding DP&L more accountable for what it is charging. Thank you Jim C.