

FILE

16-253 - CA - RTX

From: Carrie Lipps [mailto:lippsca@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 8:47 AM
To: CentCorridorPipeline@duke-energy.com; Puco ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>
Subject: Central Corridor Pipeline Extension Project

To Whom it May Concern,

I attended the public forum last night to listen to representatives from Duke Energy to discuss the possible pipeline project and left extremely upset with the information I heard.

I was stated by one of the Duke representatives that they need to get a pipeline to reach the Norwood facility. The original proposal would link the pipeline into either the Norwood or Fairfax facility. I guess that means the Fairfax facility choice is eliminated. If an alternate route was proposed through a less denser area of Hamilton County to the east, that would link into the Fairfax facility. Does that mean Duke is not rethinking alternative routes through less denser areas to the east?

I live on the Pink route in Amberley Village. This route goes straight through the main artery of the village on Ridge Rd. Ridge Road is lined with mature trees which would be cut down. This pipeline would destroy the character of our neighborhood.

My home is on Ridge Rd. My house is 37 feet from the road. The proposed Pink route goes right through my front yard which is filled with mature trees. With heavy equipment and excavation going on a couple of feet from my home, this pipeline installation could damage the foundation of my home. Over the past thirty years that I have lived here and raised my family, I have done major renovations to this home. Last night it was stated that each home's easement situation is unique and that people will be compensated fairly. Paying me for the cost of the trees that would be cut down is not good enough. Having a pipeline a couple of feet off my front porch would make my home unlivable for me. Since I would have to sell my home, is Duke planning on paying for the residual value of my home after it's devalued?

With terrorism as a real threat these days, Duke's plan is basically laying out a bomb throughout densely populated neighborhoods near homes, schools, churches, synagogues, day care centers, businesses etc. If this pipeline is needed, It should be rerouted to a less populated area, NOT through the heart of neighborhoods! The comment last night, that a swing set could be placed on top of one's pipeline, proved to me that there has been no thought by Duke representatives on how this project will affect families. No parent is going to place a swing set for their children to play on on top of a pipeline. Do the right thing. Eliminate all three of these ridiculous route choices.

Carrie Lipps

PUCO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2016 JUL 28 PM 2:21

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician SM Date Processed JUL 28 2016