

FILE

16-253-GA-BTX

OPSB-PUCO
108 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio
43215

3

Subject: Fwd: Case #16-0253-GA-BTX

I am writing to record my opposition to the Central Corridor Gas Pipeline extension Project. After reviewing the information from Duke's public meetings, I am even more convinced this project would pose a serious threat to the safety of the citizens of Cincinnati and Hamilton County. Duke can give us no justification of why it needs a pipeline that can serve 2,000,000 households when it presently serves less than 500,000. Where is this extra supply going? What is the hidden agenda? And even if there is justification for the pipeline, why did the computer model used to pick these routes not value proximity to homes, schools and hospitals as a route to be avoided? Why are no routes suggested that lie in rural areas? It is my belief these questions need to be posed and answered. Considering the catastrophic outcomes which have occurred in gas pipeline explosions, for the safety of the citizens of Ohio, this project as presently proposed needs to be rejected.

Thank you,

Roger W. Krummen
Roger W. Krummen
5907 Pandora Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213

PUCO

2016 JUL 27 PM 2:04

RECEIVED-DOCKETING BR.


This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician SM Date Processed JUL 27 2016

OPSB-PUCO
108 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio
43215

Subject: Fwd: Case #16-0253-GA-BTX

I am writing to record my opposition to the Central Corridor Gas Pipeline extension Project. After reviewing the information from Duke's public meetings, I am even more convinced this project would pose a serious threat to the safety of the citizens of Cincinnati and Hamilton County. Duke can give us no justification of why it needs a pipeline that can serve 2,000,000 households when it presently serves less than 500,000. Where is this extra supply going? What is the hidden agenda? And even if there is justification for the pipeline, why did the computer model used to pick these routes not value proximity to homes, schools and hospitals as a route to be avoided? Why are no routes suggested that lie in rural areas? It is my belief these questions need to be posed and answered. Considering the catastrophic outcomes which have occurred in gas pipeline explosions, for the safety of the citizens of Ohio, this project as presently proposed needs to be rejected.

Thank you,


Christina Krummen
5907 Pandora Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45213

OPSB-PUCO
108 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio
43215

Subject: Fwd: Case #16-0253-GA-BTX

I am writing to record my opposition to the Central Corridor Gas Pipeline extension Project. After reviewing the information from Duke's public meetings, I am even more convinced this project would pose a serious threat to the safety of the citizens of Cincinnati and Hamilton County. Duke can give us no justification of why it needs a pipeline that can serve 2,000,000 households when it presently serves less than 500,000. Where is this extra supply going? What is the hidden agenda? And even if there is justification for the pipeline, why did the computer model used to pick these routes not value proximity to homes, schools and hospitals as a route to be avoided? Why are no routes suggested that lie in rural areas? It is my belief these questions need to be posed and answered. Considering the catastrophic outcomes which have occurred in gas pipeline explosions, for the safety of the citizens of Ohio, this project as presently proposed needs to be rejected.

Thank you,

Sharon Krummen
Sharon Krummen
5907 Pandora Ave
Cincinnati, OH 45213