From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us To: PUCO ContactThePUCO

Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 106932

Received: 5/14/2016 11:20:07 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 106932 AT:05-14-2016 at 11:19 AM

Related Case Number: 16-0253

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Michael Roos

CONTACT SENDER? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 10386 Giverny Boulevard
- Cincinnati , Ohio 45241-3279
- United States

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 5132258507

• Alternative: 5132258507

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: mandmkimroos@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Gas

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

• Company: Duke Energy

• Name on account: Michael Roos

• Service address: 10386 Giverny Blvd.

• Service phone: 5132258507

Account Number:

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I wish to express my opposition to the proposed Duke Energy Central Corridor Extension Project. Although there are three alternate routes being considered, all three of these would take this high pressure 30-inch pipeline through heavily populated residential areas of Greater Cincinnati and thus would not only seriously impact the aesthetic value of these neighborhoods, since the pipeline requires that there be no trees for a 30-feet wide area around the pipeline, but, more importantly, it would create a great clear and present danger to the residents near the

OLIVED DOCKEDING

This	is	0.05	ertify	that	the	j TOGA8	27.55 A.	3 30 A A	2 2.6	a. i
accui	ate	and	i compl	.≤te r	 	Circumstantia	ਾਨ 'ਦੁਸ਼ਾਨ ਮੁਨਾਵਨ ਲ	وتعالم الم	್ ಅ	CIT!
docum	lent	del	ivered	in the	3 I G	ular c	oninga Singa	MAY	1.7	ZUID
accurate and complete reproduction of a MAY 172016 document delivered in the regular course of MAY 172016 Technician Date Processed										

pipeline in the event of an accident. While running the pipeline through the heart of Cincinnati may be cost effective for Duke Energy, as opposed to running the pipeline through non-residential areas, the potential environmental damage in the event of an accident would greatly outweigh any cost benefits. I strongly urge PUCO to deny this request by Duke Energy and to insist that if they wish to add a new high pressure pipeline they must run the pipeline only through non-residential areas. Besides these issues, Duke Energy has not provided adequate justification for such a new 30-inch high pressure pipeline, and we need to be promoting alternative renewable energy sources that do not contribute to global warming or any kind of environmental degradation. Please listen to the chorus of opposition that is clearly mounting to this project and deny Duke's request. Thank you.