

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Dayton Power and Light Company for) Case No. 16-395-EL-SSO
Approval of its Electric Security Plan.)

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Dayton Power and Light Company for) Case No. 16-396-EL-ATA
Approval of Revised Tariffs.)

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Dayton Power and Light Company for) Case No. 16-397-EL-AAM
Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Dayton Power and Light Company for an) Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR
Increase in its Electric Distribution Rates.)

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Dayton Power and Light Company for) Case No. 15-1831-EL-AAM
Accounting Authority.)

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Dayton Power and Light Company for) Case No. 15-1832-EL-ATA
Approval of Revised Tariffs.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) On April 15, 2016, DP&L filed a motion for a case management order and to coordinate cases. DP&L's motion requested that these cases be conducted simultaneously in order for DP&L's electric security plan (ESP) and distribution rates to become effective on January 1, 2017.
- (3) Thereafter, on May 2, 2016, memoranda contra to DP&L's motion were filed by Industrial Energy Users - Ohio (IEU-Ohio), jointly by the City of Dayton (Dayton City) and Honda

of America Manufacturing, Inc. (Honda), and jointly by the Electric Power Supply Association, Environmental Defense Fund, Environmental Law and Policy Center, Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Ohio Environmental Council, PJM Power Providers Group, and Ohio Manufacturers' Association Energy Group. In the memoranda contra, two alternative procedural schedules were proposed, neither of which contemplate a Commission order regarding DP&L's ESP before January 1, 2017.

- (4) On May 6, 2016, DP&L filed a motion for an extension of time to file a reply memorandum on its motion for a case management order and to coordinate cases. DP&L asserts that an extension of time is necessary to evaluate recent decisions by the Supreme Court of Ohio, the Supreme Court of the United States, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Further, DP&L requests an expedited ruling pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-12(C) and asserts that no party has objected to its request.
- (5) The attorney examiner finds that DP&L's motion for an extension of time is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-12(B) and (C), the attorney examiner finds that reply memoranda shall be filed by May 16, 2016.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That DP&L's motion for an extension of time be granted and that any reply memoranda to DP&L's motion for a case management order and to coordinate cases shall be filed by May 16, 2016. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/ Bryce A. McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney
Attorney Examiner

jrj/vrm

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/6/2016 3:07:23 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM, 15-1830-EL-AIR, 15-1831-EL-AAM,

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting DP&L's motion for an extension of time until 5/16/2016; electronically filed by Vesta R Miller on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio