RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2016 MAY -5 AM 10: 13

PUCO

To: PUCO ContactThePUCO

Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 106743

Received: 5/3/2016 2:19:22 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 106743 AT:05-03-2016 at 02:19 PM

Related Case Number: 16-0253

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mrs. Karen Eborall

CONTACT SENDER? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- (NO CITY?), Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (no home phone provided?)

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: keborall@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Gas

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- Name on account: Karen Eborall
- Service address: 4582 Elsmere Ave., Blue Ash, OH 45242
- Service phone: 513-364-6110
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

1 am writing to encourage the Board to eliminate a particular routing for the proposed Case No. 16-253-6A-BT5C - 30-inch gas pipeline through residential Blue Ash, in Southwest Ohio. Although I understand the need to supply natural gas to the region, the routes through Blue Ash run adjacent to residential areas and highly populated commercial areas within our city. As a citizen and resident of Blue Ash, I'm deeply concerned by the proposed gas pipeline being proposed by Duke Energy. The proposed route(s) either in front of, or behind an elementary school and university campus, as well as being so close to a residential neighborhood just doesn't

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed MAY 0 5 2016

seem to be the wisest of decisions. The proposed proximity of this large high pressured gas pipeline to residential and commercial areas would pose an additional risk to health, safety, and well being of citizens, as well a significant loss in property values. I would encourage the Siting Board to request Duke to route the pipeline through a mote circuitous route that avoids residential areas or at least provides a buffer zone between the pipeline and populated areas. For instance, it appears a reasonable approach would to extend a route further along the 1-71 highway (the route currently has a portion along the highway) which is more direct than snaking through residential areas. Thank you for your time and consideration.