

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The	)	
Dayton Power and Light Company for	)	Case No. 16-395-EL-SSO
Approval of its Electric Security Plan.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of The	)	
Dayton Power and Light Company for	)	Case No. 16-396-EL-ATA
Approval of Revised Tariffs.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of The	)	
Dayton Power and Light Company for	)	Case No. 16-397-EL-AAM
Approval of Certain Accounting	)	
Authority.	)	

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) On March 30, 2016, DP&L filed a motion in this case to implement the SSR-Extension Rider (SSR-E). DP&L requests authority to implement the SSR-E on January 1, 2017. DP&L asserts that the Commission found in Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO that DP&L should have the opportunity to seek relief through the SSR-E if its financial integrity remains compromised after its Service Stability Rider (SSR) expires on December 31, 2016. *In re The Dayton Power and Light Co.*, Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Sept. 4, 2013) at 26, Entry Nunc Pro Tunc (Sept. 6, 2013) at 2.
- (3) By Entry issued on April 13, 2016, the attorney examiner granted the City of Dayton's motion to extend the deadline to file memoranda contra to DP&L's motion to implement the SSR-E. The attorney examiner granted all parties until April 29, 2016, to file memoranda contra and until May 6, 2016, to file reply memoranda.

- (4) On May 3, 2016, DP&L filed a motion for an extension of time to file a reply memorandum. DP&L requests a seven day extension of time to file its reply memorandum on its motion to implement the SSR-E. DP&L asserts that an extension of time is necessary because there are numerous memoranda contra and the SSR-E would not go into effect (if ever) until January 1, 2017. Further, DP&L requests an expedited ruling pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-12(C) and asserts that no party objects to its request.
- (5) The attorney examiner finds that DP&L's motion for an extension of time is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-12(B) and (C), the attorney examiner finds that reply memoranda shall be filed by May 13, 2016.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That DP&L's motion for an extension of time be granted and that any reply memoranda to DP&L's motion to implement the SSR-E shall be filed by May 13, 2016. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Bryce McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney  
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**5/4/2016 1:28:40 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM**

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting DP&L's motion for an extension of time until 05/13/2016. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio