

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Administration of)
the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test) Case No. 16-781-EL-UNC
under Section 4928.143(F), Revised Code,)
and Rule 4901:1-35-10, Ohio)
Administrative Code.)

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

PEGGY A. LAUB

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

April 15, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGES</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II. BACKGROUND.....	2
III. COMMISSION’S SEET GUIDELINES	5
IV. SCHEDULES SPONSORED BY WITNESS	10
V. CONCLUSION	12

Attachments:

PAL-1: Return Earned on Average Electric Common Equity

PAL-2: Adjusted Net Income as of December 31, 2015

PAL-3: Summary of Net Income Eliminations

PAL-4: Average Electric Common Stock Equity

PAL-5: Net Plant Allocation Factor

PAL-6: Summary of Assumptions

PAL-7: Performance Benchmarks

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Peggy A. Laub. My business address is 139 East Fourth Street,
3 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.

4 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5 A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC, an affiliate service
6 company of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company) as
7 Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning in the Rates Department.

8 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL**
9 **QUALIFICATIONS.**

10 A. I earned a Bachelor of Business Administration degree, with a major in
11 accounting, from the University of Cincinnati.

12 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

13 A. I began my career with The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, the predecessor
14 of Duke Energy Ohio, as a co-operative education student in the Accounting
15 Department. In 1984, I was employed full-time in the Tax Department. I
16 progressed through various positions to Coordinator, State & Local Taxes. In
17 1998, I was transferred to the Regulated Business Unit's financial group. In 2000,
18 I was transferred to Fixed Assets Accounting and I was promoted to manager in
19 2002. In May 2006, following the merger with Duke Energy Corporation, I
20 transferred to the Midwest U.S. Franchised Electric & Gas accounting group. In
21 November 2008, I transferred to Midwest Wholesale Accounting as Manager,
22 Accounting. In May 2010, I transferred to the Rate Department and to my current

1 position as Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning.

2 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR, RATES &**
3 **REGULATORY PLANNING.**

4 A. As Director, Rates & Regulatory Accounting, I am responsible for the preparation of
5 financial and accounting data used in retail rate filings and various other rate
6 recovery mechanisms for Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.

7 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC**
8 **UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO (COMMISSION)?**

9 A. Yes. I have previously testified in a number of cases before this and other regulatory
10 commissions.

11 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS**
12 **PROCEEDING?**

13 A. I will first provide a brief overview of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test
14 (SEET) and then I will discuss the SEET calculation of Duke Energy Ohio and the
15 attachments supporting the calculation.

II. BACKGROUND

16 **Q. WHY IS IT NECESSARY FOR DUKE ENERGY OHIO TO SHOW THAT**
17 **IT DOES NOT HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE EARNINGS?**

18 A. On May 1, 2008, the Governor signed into law Amended Substitute Senate Bill
19 No. 221 (SB 221). This bill amended various statutes in Title 49 of the Ohio
20 Revised Code (R.C.). Among provisions of SB 221 were changes to R.C.
21 4928.141, which requires electric utilities to provide customers with a default
22 standard service offer (SSO) for competitive retail electric service established

1 through either a market rate offer (MRO) or an electric security plan (ESP).
2 Pursuant to R.C. 4928.142(D)(4) and 4928.143(F), the Commission is required to
3 evaluate the earnings of each electric distribution utility's approved MRO or ESP
4 to determine whether the adjustments in the MRO or ESP result in significantly
5 excessive earnings. R.C. 4928.143(E) addresses the issue of significantly
6 excessive earnings in the context of an ESP having a term longer than three years.

7 **Q. ARE DUKE ENERGY OHIO'S RATES FOR COMPETITIVE RETAIL**
8 **ELECTRIC SERVICE BASED ON AN ESP OR MRO?**

9 A. Duke Energy Ohio is currently providing an SSO of competitive retail electric
10 services pursuant to an ESP that was approved by the Commission on April 2,
11 2015. The terms of the ESP are set forth in the Commission's Opinion and Order
12 in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO (ESP Order).

13 **Q. DID THE ESP ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION ISSUED ON APRIL 2,**
14 **2015, ADDRESS THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SEET TO DUKE**
15 **ENERGY OHIO?**

16 A. Yes. With regard to calculation parameters, the ESP Order did not
17 disagree with the methodology proposed by Duke Energy Ohio and used in its prior
18 SEET proceedings. That methodology provides as follows:

- 19 ○ Net income as shown on page 117, column (c), line
20 (78) of the [FERC] Form 1, adjusted for the
21 following, if necessary:
- 22 ○ Eliminate all impacts related to the purchase
23 accounting recorded pursuant to the Duke

1 Energy/Cinergy merger.

2 ○ Eliminate all impacts of refunds to customers
3 pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(F).

4 ○ Eliminate all impacts of mark-to-market accounting.

5 ○ Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring
6 gains/losses, including, but not limited to, the sale
7 or disposition of assets.

8 ○ Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring
9 revenue or expenses.

10 ○ Eliminate all impacts of parent, affiliated, or
11 subsidiary companies and, to the extent reasonably
12 feasible and prudently justified in the opinion of
13 Duke Energy Ohio, eliminate the impacts of its
14 natural gas distribution business.

15 The adjusted net income will be divided by Common Equity
16 to determine the resulting ROE. Certain adjustments will be
17 made to Common Equity.

18 ○ Common Equity used in the calculation will be the
19 beginning and ending average common equity of
20 Duke Energy Ohio on a stand-alone basis.

21 ○ Equity will be adjusted to eliminate the acquisition
22 premium recorded to equity pursuant to the Duke
23 Energy/Cinergy merger.

1 o Eliminate the cumulative effect of the Net Income
2 adjustments.

3 **Q. DOES THE ESP ORDER DEFINE “SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE**
4 **EARNINGS”?**

5 A. No. Pursuant to the ESP Order, the threshold for significantly excessive earnings
6 will be determined with the context of this case for calendar year 2015.

III. COMMISSION’S SEET GUIDELINES

7 **Q. WHAT GUIDELINES DID THE COMPANY FOLLOW WHEN**
8 **PREPARING ITS 2015 SEET FILING?**

9 A. The Company has followed the guidelines found in the relevant provision of the
10 ESP Order. Additionally, the Company has incorporated into its SEET the
11 Commission’s recommendations from Case No. 09-786-EL-UNC (SEET Case).¹

12 **Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS OF**
13 **THE COMMISSION IN THE SEET CASE.**

14 A. The Commission’s orders in that case generally defer to each company’s specific
15 situation. For example, the Commission left the issue of earnings from off-system
16 sales to be determined on a case-by-case basis.² The Company included all
17 earnings from off-system sales in its calculation.

18 As I discuss further below, the Commission also directed utilities to: (1)
19 base average equity balances on the average of the balances at the beginning and

¹ *In the Matter of the Investigation into the Development of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test Pursuant to Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221 for Electric Utilities*, Case No. 09-786-EL-UNC.

² *Id.*, Entry on Rehearing, at pg. 13 (Aug. 25, 2010).

1 at the end of the year;³ (2) adjust out all impacts from affiliates and other services
2 (e.g., natural gas distribution);⁴ and (3) address deferrals and other certain
3 factors.⁵

4 **Q. DID THE COMPANY HAVE ANY ESP-RELATED DEFERRALS IN 2015**
5 **THAT IMPACTED EARNINGS?**

6 A. No.

7 **Q. WILL YOU DESCRIBE THE OTHER INFORMATION THAT THE**
8 **COMMISSION DIRECTED COMPANIES TO PROVIDE AS PART OF**
9 **THEIR SEET REVIEWS?**

10 A. On page 29 of its June 30, 2010, Order, the Commission provided a list of factors
11 it identified as worthy of its consideration in any SEET review. The listed factors
12 include the following:

- 13 ○ the electric utility's most recently authorized return on equity,
- 14 ○ the electric utility's risk, including:
 - 15 ● whether the electric utility owns generation;
 - 16 ● whether the ESP includes a fuel and purchased power adjustment
 - 17 or similar adjustments;
 - 18 ● the rate design and extent to which the electric utility remains
 - 19 subject to weather and economic risk;
 - 20 ● capital commitments and future capital requirements;
 - 21 ● indicators of management performance and benchmarks to other

³ *Id.*, Entry on Rehearing, at pg. 6 (Aug. 25, 2010).

⁴ *Id.*, Finding and Order, at pg. 12 (June 30, 2010).

⁵ *Id.*

1 utilities;

- 2 • innovation and industry leadership with respect to meeting industry
3 challenges to maintain and improve the competitiveness of Ohio's
4 economy, including research and development expenditures,
5 investments in advanced technology, and innovative practices; and
6 • the extent to which the electric utility has advanced state policy.

7 **Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S MOST RECENTLY APPROVED RETURN**
8 **ON COMMON EQUITY?**

9 A. The Company's most recently approved return on common equity is 9.84 percent
10 for its jurisdictional electric distribution service in Ohio.⁶

11 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY HAVE A MECHANISM FOR RECOVERY OF**
12 **PURCHASED POWER EXPENSES?**

13 A. Yes. The Company procured 100 percent of the generation services provided to
14 its SSO load in 2015 through an auction process approved in the ESP Order. The
15 Company recovers the cost of this competitively procured power via riders. Duke
16 Energy Ohio makes no profit or loss on power that is procured via the auction
17 process and is ultimately delivered to its SSO customers.

18 **Q. DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S RATE DESIGN.**

19 A. The Company's rate design for noncompetitive service has been essentially the
20 same since its unbundled rates became effective on January 1, 2001. The
21 Stipulation in Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO eliminated some riders that existed at

⁶ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates*, Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR, *et al.*, Stipulation and Recommendation, at pg. 6 (April 2, 2013), and Opinion and Order, at pg. 6 (May 1, 2013).

1 the end of 2011 and added certain new riders for competitive retail services. As a
2 result, there were new rates for competitive retail services based on allocation
3 methods and rate design processes that were approved by the Commission in that
4 case. The 2014 ESP Order further eliminated some riders for competitive service
5 and modified the design of some riders. Depending on the rate class, some
6 customers may have energy-based rates, demand-based rates, or a combination of
7 both. All customers have some form of a customer charge and some non-
8 residential customers have demand ratchets intended to encourage efficient use of
9 resources. For customers who shop, it is not possible for the Company to know
10 the essentially infinite number of rate design options that may be offered by their
11 competitive retail electric service providers.

12 **Q. DESCRIBE THE EXTENT TO WHICH WEATHER AND ECONOMIC**
13 **RISKS IMPACT THE COMPANY.**

14 A. As part of the Stipulation in Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, Duke Energy Ohio
15 agreed to file an application to implement a decoupling mechanism for its non-
16 demand-metered customers. The Commission approved the Company's
17 subsequent application toward that end in early 2012, and the Company began
18 accruing a deferral related to the decoupling mechanism. The decoupling
19 mechanism excludes all demand-metered sales but mitigates the impact of certain
20 sales losses, particularly due to compliance with Ohio's energy efficiency
21 mandates. I should note that the approved decoupling mechanism is based on
22 weather-normalized sales; consequently, the Company is still exposed to weather-
23 related earnings risks. The administration of the SEET expressly contemplates

1 that the impacts of Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas are to be eliminated. As such,
2 Duke Energy Ohio does not address, in this proceeding, the weather risks relevant
3 to its natural gas operations.

4 **Q. WILL YOU ADDRESS THE CAPITAL COMMITMENTS AND CAPITAL**
5 **REQUIREMENTS?**

6 A. As stated in the Company's April 15, 2016, Application filed contemporaneously
7 with my testimony in this case, the current ESP expires on May 31, 2018. The
8 capital budget requirements for the future, committed, electric investments in
9 Ohio are \$260 million for 2016, \$304 million for 2017, and \$322 million for
10 2018.

11 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY INFORMATION REGARDING**
12 **MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE AND BENCHMARKS TO OTHER**
13 **UTILITIES?**

14 A. Yes. First, it is important to realize that there is no data that compares the Duke
15 Energy Ohio operating company to its peers. As such, and in an effort to address
16 the Commission's prior directive, reference is made to the information that does
17 exist, on a corporate-wide basis. Attachment PAL-7 is a summary of how Duke
18 Energy Corporation's returns compare to some of its peers. The data represented
19 in this chart represents a comparison of total shareholder return (TSR), which is
20 defined as the sum of dividends and share appreciation divided by a starting price.
21 In this attachment, the first set of numbers shows the TSR for stocks from January
22 1, 2013, through December 31, 2015. The second set of numbers shows the TSR
23 for stocks purchased from January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2015. The

1 third set of numbers shows the TSR for stocks purchased from January 1, 2015,
2 through December 31, 2015.

3 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY BEEN INNOVATIVE IN ADVANCING STATE**
4 **POLICY?**

5 A. Yes. It is the state's policy, among other things, to encourage demand-side
6 management, time-differentiated pricing, and implementation of advanced
7 metering infrastructure. R.C. Section 4928.02.

8 In 2015, the Company completed its full deployment of SmartGrid
9 infrastructure in its service territory. The Company offers time-differentiated
10 rates to its residential customers through Rate TD-13 and Rate TD.
11 Approximately 600 residential customers participate in these time-differentiated
12 rates. All of these efforts serve to advance the state's policy and will encourage
13 demand-side management. Duke Energy Ohio is a leader in this area.

IV. SCHEDULES SPONSORED BY WITNESS

14 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT PAL-1.**

15 A. Attachment PAL-1 is a schedule showing that the Company's return earned on
16 average electric common equity for the year ended December 31, 2015, is 5.34
17 percent.

18 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT PAL-2.**

19 A. Attachment PAL-2 is a schedule showing the calculation of the Company's
20 adjusted electric net income for the calendar year 2015. The source of the utility
21 operating income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, is the
22 Company's 2015 FERC Form 1 report, pages 114 to 117. Continuing the

1 methodology used in prior SEET proceedings, which methodology was approved
2 in the ESP Order, purchase accounting recorded as a result of the Duke
3 Energy/Cinergy merger, all impacts of refunds to customers pursuant to R.C.
4 4928.143(F), all impacts of mark-to-market accounting, all impacts of material,
5 non-recurring gains/losses, all impacts of material, non-recurring revenue or
6 expenses, and all impacts of the natural gas business were eliminated. As shown
7 on the attachment, no refunds were returned to customers during the twelve
8 months ended December 31, 2015. Equity in earnings of subsidiary companies
9 was also eliminated so that the return earned on average common equity would be
10 on a Duke Energy Ohio stand-alone basis.

11 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT PAL-3.**

12 A. Attachment PAL-3 is a summary of the items eliminated from net income. The
13 schedule shows, by Company account, the impact on net income of eliminating
14 purchase accounting, mark-to-market accounting, non-recurring gains and/or
15 losses, material non-recurring revenues and expenses, and the equity in earnings
16 of subsidiary companies.

17 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT PAL-4.**

18 A. Attachment PAL-4 is an exhibit showing the calculation of the Company's
19 average electric common stock equity as of December 31, 2015. The attachment
20 shows the common stock equity balances for December 31, 2014, and December
21 31, 2015, and the calculation of the average electric common equity balance as of
22 December 31, 2015, to be used in determining if Duke Energy Ohio has
23 significantly excessive earnings. Pursuant to the ESP Order, the following items

1 were eliminated in calculating the ending balance for each calendar year: (1)
2 impacts of purchase accounting recorded pursuant to the Duke Energy/Cinergy
3 merger; (2) all impacts of mark-to-market accounting; and (3) all impacts of
4 material, non-recurring gains and/or losses.

5 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT PAL-5.**

6 A. Attachment PAL-5 is a schedule showing the calculation of a net plant allocation
7 factor used to allocate total average common equity to electric operations. The
8 gas and electric plant data is from the Company's 2014 and 2015 FERC Form 1,
9 pages 200-201. The schedule shows that, based on net plant, 61.19 percent of the
10 Company's average common equity should be allocated to electric operations.

11 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT PAL-6.**

12 A. Attachment PAL-6 is a summary of assumptions used in this filing, most of which
13 are from Attachment PAL-2 in my testimony in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO. I
14 have discussed all of the other relevant assumptions in my testimony.

15 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT PAL-7.**

16 A. Attachment PAL-7 is a summary showing Duke Energy Corporation's TSR in
17 comparison to some of its peer companies in the Philadelphia Utility Index.

V. CONCLUSION

18 **Q. DOES DUKE ENERGY OHIO HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE**
19 **EARNINGS THAT WOULD REQUIRE A REFUND TO CUSTOMERS?**

20 A. No. As shown on Attachment PAL-1, Duke Energy Ohio's return earned on
21 average electric common equity is 5.34 percent. Since the return on average
22 electric common equity is substantially less than the Company's approved 9.84

1 percent rate of return, the Company does not have significantly excess earnings
2 and, therefore, no refund to customers is warranted.

3 **Q. WERE ATTACHMENTS PAL-1, PAL-2, PAL-3, PAL-4, PAL-5, PAL-6**
4 **AND PAL-7 PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?**

5 A. Yes.

6 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

7 A. Yes.

<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Including Non-SSO Sales and ESP Deferrals		
Adjusted Electric Net Income	PAL-2	48,331,276
Average Electric Common Equity	PAL-4	904,417,758
Return Earned on Average Electric Common Equity		<u>5.34%</u>

12 Months Ended December 31, 2015										Eliminations				
Description	Account Level Desc	Account Level	Total	Electric	Purchase Accounting	Mark-to-Market	Non-Recurring Gains / Losses	Non-Recurring Rev / Exp	Equity in Earnings of Subsidiaries	Amounts Refunded to Customers	Total Eliminations			
Utility Operating Income														
Operating Revenues	F_UTIL_OP_REV	Level 6	1,432,340,159	985,719,854	0	0	0	(1,928,730)	0	0	(1,928,730)			
Operating Expenses	F_OP_EXP	Level 8	728,101,255	531,990,507	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Maintenance Expenses	F_MAINT_EXP	Level 8	58,638,606	52,134,549	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Depreciation Expense	F_DEPR_EXP	Level 8	121,422,783	74,840,051	0	0	0	(449,181)	0	0	(449,181)			
Depreciation Expense for Asset Retirement Costs	F_DEPR_EXP_ARO	Level 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Amort. & Depl. Of Utility Plant	F_AMORT_DEPL_UT_PLNT	Level 8	8,823,673	6,211,468	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Amort. Of Utility Plant Acquisition Adj.	F_AMORT_UTIL_PLT_ADJ	Level 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regulatory Debits	FERC 407	FERC	71,095,791	44,114,346	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Less: Regulatory Credits	Accd 407/407, 407315, 407807	Account	(7,303,627)	(7,303,627)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	F_TAX_OTH_INC_TAX	Level 8	238,679,448	181,043,104	0	0	0	842,256	0	0	842,256			
Income Taxes - Federal	F_INC_TAX_FED	Level 8	(24,004,986)	(46,368,335)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Income Taxes - Other	F_INC_TAX_OTH	Level 8	(1,181,523)	(2,164,820)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Provision For Deferred Income Taxes	F_PROV_DEF_INC_TAX	Level 9	243,385,578	185,555,357	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Provision For Deferred Income Taxes - Credit	F_PROV_DEF_INC_TAX_CR	Level 9	(168,274,243)	(119,403,406)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Investment Tax Credit Adj. - Net	F_INV_TAX_CR_ADJ	Level 8	(472,735)	(261,246)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Gains From Disposition of Allow - Credit	F_GAINS_DISP_ALLOW	Level 8	(68,545)	(68,545)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Accretion Expense	F_ACCRETION_EXP	Level 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total Utility Operating Expenses			1,268,841,475	900,342,453	0	0	0	(1,535,655)	0	0	(1,535,655)			
Net Utility Operating Income			163,498,684	85,377,401	0	0	0	1,535,655	0	0	1,535,655			
Other Income														
Revenues From Merchandising, Jobbing and Contract Work	F_MERCH_JOB_REV	Level 7	1,884,764	1,884,414	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Less: Costs & Exp of Merchandising, Jobbing & Contract	F_MERCH_JOB_EXP	Level 7	1,173,289	1,173,289	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Revenues From Nonutility Operations	Accd 417115, 417005, 417310	Account	205,254	198,479	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Less: Expenses of Nonutility Operations	F_TOT_EXP_NON_UTL_OP	Level 8	233,958	233,752	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-operating Rental Income	F_NON_OP_RENTAL_INC	Level 8	(283,942)	(172,346)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies			111,454,464	97,622,078	0	0	0	0	(97,622,078)	0	(97,622,078)			
Interest and Dividend Income	F_INT_INC	Level 7	3,413,389	2,137,284	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
AFUDC	F_AFUDC	Level 7	2,052,203	1,721,382	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Miscellaneous Non-operating Income	F_MISC_NON_OP_INC	Level 8	(672,249)	(1,275,742)	0	(6,241)	0	0	0	0	(6,241)			
Gain on Disposition of Property	F_GAIN_DISP_PROP	Level 8	7,540,913	7,540,913	0	0	0	0	0	0	(7,540,913)			
Total Other Income			124,187,549	108,225,461	0	(6,241)	0	0	(97,622,078)	0	(105,169,232)			
Other Income Deductions														
Loss on Disposition of Property	F_LOSS_DISP_PROP	Level 8	(3,312,010)	(3,312,010)	0	0	3,312,011	0	0	3,312,011	3,312,011			
Misc. Amortization	F_MISC_AMORT	Level 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Donations	F_DONATIONS	Level 8	1,128,128	688,249	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Life Insurance	F_LIFE_INS	Level 8	(10,432)	(10,432)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Penalties	F_PENALTIES	Level 8	694	414	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Civic, Political & Related Activities	F_EXP_CIVIC_POL	Level 8	1,357,440	857,748	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Other Deductions	F_OTH_DED	Level 8	73,623,499	73,127,253	0	0	0	0	0	0	(70,881,096)			
Total Other Income Deductions			72,787,319	71,351,222	0	0	0	0	0	0	(67,569,085)			
Total Taxes On Other Income and Deductions	F_TAX_OTH_INC_DED	Level 6	(18,082,453)	(25,291,886)	(13,729)	(2,211)	0	0	0	0	21,246,039			
Net Other Income and Deductions			99,482,683	92,166,125	13,729	(4,030)	38,796,193	0	(97,622,078)	0	(58,846,186)			
Net Interest Charges	F_NET_INTEREST_CHGS	Level 4	62,469,746	41,862,959	38,760	0	0	0	0	0	38,760			
Net Income			170,511,621	105,680,567	(25,031)	(4,030)	38,796,193	1,535,655	(97,622,078)	0	(57,349,281)			

Account ID CB	Account Long Descr CB	Account Level & Node Name LVL	12 months Ended 12/31/2015	Elimination	Income Tax Effect	Impact on Net Income
Purchase Accounting						
0447208	Amort Pwr Trdg Intang or Liab	REVENUE	0	0	0	0
0405011	Amort of Other Pur Acctg	F_UTIL_OP_EXP	0	0		
0406505	Amort Exp - Acq Purch Adj	F_UTIL_OP_EXP	0	0	0	0
			0	0		
0411849	SO2 COS - Purch Acctg	GAIN_LOSS_UTL_ASSETS	0	0		
0411850	Seasonal NOx COS - Purch Acctg	GAIN_LOSS_UTL_ASSETS	0	0		
			0	0	0	0
0501200	Coal Consumed Purch Acctg Adj	FUEL	0	0		
0501998	Fuel Expense-Purch Acctg		0	0		
0509011	SO2 Emission Exp - Purch Acctg	F_UTIL_OP_EXP	0	0		
0509211	Seas NOx Emiss Exp - Purch Acctg	FUEL	0	0	0	0
			0	0		
	Above-the-line Impact			0	0	0
0428200	Amort_Debt_Disc_Pur_Acctg_Adj		393,492	(393,492)		
0429200	Amort_Debt_Prem_Pur_Acctg_Adj		(432,252)	432,252		
			(38,760)	38,760	(13,729)	(25,031)
	Total Purchase Accounting Adjustment		38,760	(38,760)	(13,729)	(25,031)
Mark-to-Market						
0421530	Power Trading MTM Gains	REVENUE	6,241	(6,241)		
0421531	MTM Unrealized Gain - Reserve	REVENUE	0	0		
0421532	Power Trading MTM Gains-Reg	REVENUE	0	0		
0421541	Gas MTM Gains	REVENUE	0	0		
0421542	Electricity - MTM Gain I/C	REVENUE	0	0		
0421543	Non Reg IC MTM Gas Gain		0	0		
0421631	MTM Unreal Gains - EA	FUEL	0	0		
	Other Income		6,241	(6,241)	(2,211)	(4,030)
0426531	MTM Unreal Loss-Reserve	REVENUE	0	0		
0426532	Power Trading MTM Loss	REVENUE	0	0		
0426533	Power Trading MTM Loss-NonReg	REVENUE	0	0		
0426541	Gas MTM Loss	REVENUE	0	0		
0426542	Electricity - MTM Loss I/C	REVENUE	0	0		
0426543	Non Reg IC MTM Gas Loss		0	0		
0426631	MTM Unreal Losses - EA's	FUEL	0	0		
	Other Income Deductions		0	0	1	(1)
	Net Other Income and Deductions		6,241	(6,241)	(2,210)	(4,031)
0501128	Fuels Unrealized MTM Gain	FUEL	0	0		
0501129	Fuels Unrealized MTM Loss	FUEL	0	0	0	0
			0	0		
	Total Mark-to-Market		6,241	(6,241)	(2,210)	(4,030)
Non-Recurring Gains / Losses						
0421100	Gain On Disposal Of Property	GAIN_LOSS_UTL_ASSETS	7,540,913	(7,540,913)	(2,870,991)	(4,869,921)
0421200	Loss On Disposal Of Property	GAIN_LOSS_UTL_ASSETS	(3,312,011)	3,312,011	(1,173,114)	(2,138,897)
0428510	Other	F_TOT_OTH_INC_DED	70,875,000	(70,875,000)	25,103,925	45,771,075
0426513	Other Deductions - Impairments		0	0	0	0
0426551	Impairment & other related charges	TTL_OTH_INC_&_EXP	6,096	(6,096)	2,160	3,936
0426553	PP&E IMPAIRMENT	TTL_OTH_INC_&_EXP	0	0	0	0
0426554	Impairment of Goodwill	TTL_OTH_INC_&_EXP	0	0	0	0
	Total Non-Recurring Gains / Losses		10,846,828	(81,721,828)	(28,845,871)	38,766,193
Non-Recurring Revenue / Expense						
CTA - Various	Operation Expenses		1,928,730	(1,928,730)	683,156	1,245,574
CTA - 935100	Maintenance Expenses		0	0	0	0
CTA - 404200	Depreciation Expense		449,181	(449,181)	159,100	290,081
CTA - Various	Income Taxes & Other Taxes		0	0	0	0
CTA - Various	Other Deductions		0	0	0	0
CTA - 431900	Net Interest Charges		0	0	0	0
	Total Non-Recurring Revenue / Expense		2,377,911	(2,377,911)	842,256	1,535,655
Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies						
418.1	Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies		97,622,078	(97,622,078)		(97,622,078)
	Total Eliminations		110,891,818	(181,766,818)	(28,119,554)	(57,349,291)

¹⁾ Source: Attachment PAL-3

1) Source: Attachment PAL-3
2) Source: Attachment PAL-5.

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
Significantly Excessive Earnings Test
Net Plant Allocation Factor
December 31, 2015

Attachment PAL-5
5 of 7

<u>Description</u>	2015 Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.		
	<u>Gas</u>	<u>Electric</u>	<u>Total</u>
Gross Plant (Line 13)	1,960,523,702	3,311,902,235	5,272,425,937
Accumulated Depreciation (Line 33)	<u>546,848,320</u>	<u>1,083,008,585</u>	<u>1,629,856,905</u>
Net Plant	<u>1,413,675,382</u>	<u>2,228,893,650</u>	<u>3,642,569,032</u>
Allocation Percentage	38.81%	61.19%	100.00%

<u>Description</u>	2014 Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.		
	<u>Gas</u>	<u>Electric</u>	<u>Total</u>
Gross Plant (Line 13)	1,865,814,889	3,117,665,779	4,983,480,668
Accumulated Depreciation (Line 33)	<u>511,803,526</u>	<u>1,008,531,714</u>	<u>1,520,335,240</u>
Net Plant	<u>1,354,011,363</u>	<u>2,109,134,065</u>	<u>3,463,145,428</u>
Allocation Percentage	39.10%	60.90%	100.00%

Source: FERC Form 1 Pages 200-201

Source of Data per Order in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO:

- 1 Source of data is actual data from FERC Form 1 for the calendar year at issue.

Adjustments to Net Income per Order in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO:

- 2 Eliminate all impacts related to the purchase accounting recorded pursuant to the Duke Energy / Cinergy Corp. Merger.
- 3 Eliminate all impacts of refunds to customers pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(F)
- 4 Eliminate all impacts of mark-to-market accounting.
- 5 Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring gains / losses, including, but not limited to, the sale or disposition of assets.
- 6 Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring revenue or expenses.
- 7 Eliminate all impacts of parent, affiliated, or subsidiary companies and, to the extent reasonably feasible and prudently justified in the opinion of Duke Energy Ohio, eliminate the impacts of its natural gas distribution business.

Adjustments to Common Equity per Order in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO:

- 8 Common Equity used in the calculation will be the beginning and ending average common equity of Duke Energy Ohio on a stand-alone basis (i.e., equity associated with subsidiaries will be excluded and common equity will be allocated between gas and electric service to the extent practicable).
- 9 Eliminate the acquisition premium recorded to equity pursuant to the Duke / Cinergy Corp. merger.
- 10 Eliminate the cumulative effect of the Net Income adjustments.

Duke Energy Corporation
Performance Benchmark
Total Shareholder Return vs. Philadelphia Utility Index

Attachment PAL-7

	<u>Duke</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Percentile Rank</u>
From January 2013 to:			
Mar-13	15.0%	6	73.7%
Jun-13	8.1%	14	31.6%
Sep-13	8.2%	10	52.6%
Dec-13	13.0%	9	57.9%
Mar-14	17.9%	13	36.8%
Jun-14	24.2%	16	21.1%
Sep-14	26.5%	11	47.4%
Dec-14	42.8%	12	42.1%
Mar-15	32.5%	13	36.8%
Jun-15	23.2%	14	31.6%
Sep-15	26.9%	12	42.1%
Dec-15	27.4%	13	36.8%
From January 2014 to:			
Mar-14	4.3%	15	26.3%
Jun-14	9.9%	17	15.8%
Sep-14	12.0%	11	47.4%
Dec-14	26.4%	13	36.8%
Mar-15	17.3%	13	36.8%
Jun-15	9.0%	15	26.3%
Sep-15	12.3%	14	31.6%
Dec-15	12.8%	14	31.6%
From January 2015 to:			
Mar-15	-7.2%	14	31.6%
Jun-15	-13.7%	14	31.6%
Sep-15	-11.2%	14	31.6%
Dec-15	-10.8%	14	31.6%

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/15/2016 3:52:32 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0781-EL-UNC

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of Peggy A. Laub on Behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. electronically filed by Dianne Kuhnell on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. and Spiller, Amy B. and Kingery, Jeanne W.