BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of its Electric Security Plan.)))	Case No. 16-395-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs.)))	Case No. 16-396-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)))	Case No. 16-397-EL-AAM

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) is a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) On March 30, 2016, DP&L filed a motion in this case to implement the SSR-Extension Rider (SSR-E). DP&L requests authority to implement the SSR-E on January 1, 2017. DP&L asserts that the Commission found in Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO that DP&L should have the opportunity to seek relief through the SSR-E if its financial integrity remains compromised after its Service Stability Rider (SSR) expires on December 31, 2016. *In re The Dayton Power and Light Co.*, Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Sept. 4, 2013) at 26, Entry Nunc Pro Tunc (Sept. 6, 2013) at 2.
- (3) On April 13, 2016, the City of Dayton (Dayton City) filed a motion, with a request for expedited ruling, for an extension of time to respond to DP&L's motion to implement the SSR-E. Dayton City asserts that parties to Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO, in which the SSR-E was established, did not receive notice of DP&L's motion to implement the SSR-E. Therefore, Dayton City avers that it needs additional time to respond to DP&L's motion. Further, Dayton City notes that DP&L has stipulated to Dayton City's request for an additional 15 days to respond to DP&L's motion.

16-395-EL-SSO, et al. -2-

(4) The attorney examiner finds that Dayton City's motion for an extension of time is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-12(B), the attorney examiner finds that all parties should be provided until April 29, 2016, to file memoranda contra to DP&L's motion and that reply memoranda may be filed by May 6, 2016. Further, the attorney examiner finds that DP&L shall serve notice of its motion to implement the SSR-E to all parties in Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That Dayton City's motion for an extension of time be granted and that all parties shall have until April 29, 2016, to file memoranda contra regarding DP&L's motion to implement the SSR-E. It is, further,

ORDERED, That DP&L serve notice of its motion to implement the SSR-E to all parties in Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Bryce McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney Attorney Examiner

JRJ/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/13/2016 4:15:55 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting the motion for an extension of time until 04/29/2016. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio