

From: Cynthia Rutherford
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:09:55 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cynthia Rutherford
1118 Fort st
Maumee, OH 43537
(419) 356-8098
rutherfordcindy1@aol.com

From: Robert Collins
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:10:06 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future. In light of this fact, I think the power providers would be better served if they would forget about the national trend of companies who mismanage their assets and abuse the consumers knowing they will be bailed out in the end...Consumers are overburdened by increases in everything we have to buy and depend on for our very survival without being subjected to greedy CEO's who mistakenly believe they're entitled to more stock options and benefits...this idiocy has to stop somewhere--this looks like a good spot!!

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Collins
7760 Bonnie Dr.
West Chester, OH 45069
(513) 706-2348
2bsu4fun@earthlink.net

From: Linda Ransdell
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:10:33 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

We seniors of Ohio can not take another bill, the Teamsters have cut my husbands retirement by \$700 a month, how can we live with Health insurance going up and up, groceries going up, our drug bills are staggering, and you want to add another bill on our table. Please say no and keep our seniors on safe ground.

Sincerely, Linda Ransdell
6842 Locustview Dr.
Huber Heights, Ohio 45424
Ljrandsell@yahoo.com

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action.
Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Ransdell
6842 Locustview Dr.
Huber Heights, OH 45424
(937) 236-4187
ljransdell@yahoo.com

From: Robert Wolfe
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:18:08 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Wolfe
11824 Madison ave
Lakewood, OH 44107
(216) 712-4536
robertdwolfe@yahoo.com

From: Donna Schrader
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:18:25 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Donna Schrader
6071 highland Ave sw
Warren, OH 44581
(330) 824-2766
dschrader005@neo.rr.com

From: Mary Brault
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:39:58 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Brault
3471 Edwards Rd
Hillsboro, OH 45133
(937) 205-2890
mary.brault@gmail.com

From: Valerie Roth
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:40:00 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Valerie Roth
1724 N. Columbus Street
Lancaster, OH 43130
(740) 591-9542
vcroth@gmail.com

From: Tina West
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:41:45 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tina West
1104 Annabelle street
McDonald, OH 44438
(330) 647-1686
quagiecat@aol.com

From: thomas moore
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 9:48:47 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mr. thomas moore
56958 winding hill
Bellaire, OH 43906
(740) 312-2893
tlmoore719@gmail.com

From: donna johnson
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 10:10:17 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. donna johnson
148 Fairview blvd.
circleville, OH 43113
(740) 474-3562
bobdeebunch@frontier.com

From: Kathy defazio
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 10:10:17 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy defazio
3152 east main
Po box 73
Kingsville, OH 44048
(440) 224-1274
kdefazio5555@gmail.com

From: Linda Mabus
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 10:19:30 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Mabus
12865 Five Point Rd Lot 15
Perrysburg, OH 43541
(419) 320-2978
lkm7151944@gmail.com

From: Marcia Kingsley
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 10:40:12 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marcia Kingsley
5607 Columbus ave
Sandusky, OH 44870
(567) 283-0340
marciarkings@icloud.com

From: Cathy Feister
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 10:42:58 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cathy Feister
po box 273
Midvale, OH 44653
(330) 339-8132
cathyfeister@yahoo.com

From: Gayle Zernehel
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 10:43:09 AM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gayle Zernehel
POBox 1113
Sylvania, OH 43560
(419) 824-0653
gazzy1955@yahoo.com

From: Regina R. Donahue
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 2:10:21 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Regina R. Donahue
56800 Wegee Rd.
P.O. Box 64
Shadyside, OH 43947
(740) 340-4059
jeangnome@gmail.com

From: Ralph Six
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 2:10:42 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ralph Six
10401 Salem Road
Athens, OH 45701
(740) 592-3500
ssix_2000@yahoo.com

From: Carol Feehan
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 2:18:29 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Feehan
410 Washington St.
Stryker, OH 43557
(419) 682-7891
yellowrose_3_15@yahoo.com

From: Lisa Mason
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 2:40:48 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Mason
1215 treehaven
Columbus, OH 43204
276-3233
lmason0826@gmail.com

From: Sheila Devore
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 2:41:02 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sheila Devore
630 Cedar lab nw
New Philadelphia, OH 44663
(330) 204-3558
sdevore42@gmail.com

From: Nancy Stormer
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 2:41:08 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Stormer
2556 Victoria street
Wooster, OH 44691
(330) 466-3412
nkstorm50@gmail.com

From: Lisa Gilbert
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 2:48:30 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Miss Lisa Gilbert
6088 Pickway Dr
Brook Park, OH 44142
(216) 548-3145
lgilbert1963@yahoo.com

From: Dominic Venetti
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 3:11:05 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dominic Venetti
866 kenmore se
Warren, OH 44484
(330) 369-4104
dvenetti@neo.rr.com

From: Steven Johnson
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 3:18:51 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Johnson
600 13th
Csnton, OH 44703
(330) 454-6733
f67gal@hotmail.com

From: Karen Martin
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 3:40:24 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Martin
1129 E Lytle 5 Points Rd
Centerville, OH 45458
(937) 885-5211
klmartin56@aol.com

From: Jacqueline Cabe
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 6:52:47 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jacqueline Cabe
262221 SR 621
Coshocton, OH 43824
(740) 610-1864
jrc042363@gmail.com

From: Rhonda Kinder
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 7:12:43 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhonda Kinder
1109 Adrian Ave
Gallipolis, OH 45631
(740) 446-1262
rkinder17@yahoo.com

From: Kathleen Phillips
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 7:21:19 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Phillips
968 Eastmoor Blvd
Columbus, OH 43209
(614) 231-4089
kathleenop02@msn.com

From: Charles Waldron
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/23/2016 3:21:14 PM
Message:

Mar 23, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Waldron
247 Marlay Road
Dayton, OH 45405
(937) 274-6667
cwald31479@gmail.com

From: Carole koerper
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/24/2016 3:44:47 AM
Message:

Mar 24, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carole koerper
5734 Windgate Dr.
Toledo, OH 43615
(419) 206-9333
ckoerper@gmail.com

From: Diana Stalnaker
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts
Received: 3/22/2016 6:03:21 PM
Message:

Mar 22, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

FirstEnergy, AEP and DPL want Ohio consumers to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers from \$10.00 to \$10.50 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because these inefficient power plants are not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

As a constituent and a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diana Stalnaker
1436 Riverside Dr
Akron, OH 44310
(330) 923-7187
imdee310@hotmail.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:20 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

From: Mr. & Mrs. Ma Rosa
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:13:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Ma Rosa
3565 Columbiana Rd
New Springfield, OH 44443
mr_osario@yahoo.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:20 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: Charley Smith
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:14:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Charley Smith
1532 E 85th St
Cleveland, OH 44106

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 6:50 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

From: Neven Kos
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 6:43:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Neven Kos
3301 Linden Rd
Rocky River, OH 44116

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 5:40 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

From: Patricia Sparks
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 5:37:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Patricia Sparks
4736 Grove City Rd
Grove City, OH 43123

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:50 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: Michael Erskine
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:40:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Michael Erskine
11364 Wyndham Dr
Whitehouse, OH 43571
erskineevp1@aol.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Jeniffer Robeson
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:16:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Jeniffer Robeson
307 Demorest Rd
Columbus, OH 43204

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Sharon Doersam
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:16:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Sharon Doersam
790 London Groveport Rd
Lockbourne, OH 43137
doer0153@yahoo.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Darlene Leasure
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:11:03 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Darlene Leasure
2000 Hout Rd
Mansfield, OH 44905

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Kenneth Williams
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:11:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Kenneth Williams
1205 Centennial Rd
Toledo, OH 43617

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 5:00 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: leonard willis, mr
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 4:50:03 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

leonard willis
544 E Lake St
Toledo, OH 43608

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 4:30 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: dave fulton
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 4:29:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

dave fulton
5790 Lyric Dr
New Franklin, OH 44216

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:30 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Gary Lawrence
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:22:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Gary Lawrence
644 Glendora Ave
Akron, OH 44320

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:41 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Maureen Fry
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:41:19 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Mar 30, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic and dirty power plants.

More and more parties -- such as the Ohio Manufacturers Association, Ohio Consumers' Counsel, and AARP -- are now opposing the bailout, but the utility giant and its expensive lawyers and lobbyists are pressuring Ohio regulators in these final days before a decision.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Maureen Fry
5370 N Elm Tree Rd
Conover, OH 45317-9622
msfryohio@gmail.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:41 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Maureen Fry
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:41:19 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Mar 30, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic and dirty power plants.

More and more parties -- such as the Ohio Manufacturers Association, Ohio Consumers' Counsel, and AARP -- are now opposing the bailout, but the utility giant and its expensive lawyers and lobbyists are pressuring Ohio regulators in these final days before a decision.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Maureen Fry
5370 N Elm Tree Rd
Conover, OH 45317-9622
msfryohio@gmail.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:30 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: Dennis Meyers
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:26:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Dennis Meyers
9064 Vermilion Rd
Amherst, OH 44001

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 9:00 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Melissa Sturgeon
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 8:51:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Please include my letter in the PUCO docket, in the letters to the Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Melissa S. Sturgeon
2038 Stonewall Trl
Florence, KY 41042

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:20 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

From: Mr. & Mrs. Ma Rosa
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:13:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Chairman Porter,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Ma Rosa
3565 Columbiana Rd
New Springfield, OH 44443
mr_osario@yahoo.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:20 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: Charley Smith
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:14:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Charley Smith
1532 E 85th St
Cleveland, OH 44106

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 6:50 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

From: Neven Kos
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 6:43:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Chairman Porter,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Neven Kos
3301 Linden Rd
Rocky River, OH 44116

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 5:40 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

From: Patricia Sparks
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 5:37:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Chairman Porter,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Patricia Sparks
4736 Grove City Rd
Grove City, OH 43123

Hunter, Donielle

From: Catherine Froehlich Burkhart <maxandcathy@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:28 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Catherine Froehlich Burkhart

Hunter, Donielle

From: Larry Merkle <xphileprof@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:22 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry Merkle

Hunter, Donielle

From: Jeffrey Boggs <jboggs3@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 9:56 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Boggs

Hunter, Donielle

From: Donald Moeser <donaIdmoeser@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 9:37 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donald Moeser

Hunter, Donielle

From: Lisa Witham <lisa4809@att.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 9:34 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lisa Witham

Hunter, Donielle

From: Sandra Cobb <smcobb@beechmere.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 7:49 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Cobb

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:50 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: Michael Erskine
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:40:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Michael Erskine
11364 Wyndham Dr
Whitehouse, OH 43571
erskineevp1@aol.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Jeniffer Robeson
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:16:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Jeniffer Robeson
307 Demorest Rd
Columbus, OH 43204

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Sharon Doersam
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:16:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Sharon Doersam
790 London Groveport Rd
Lockbourne, OH 43137
doer0153@yahoo.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Darlene Leasure
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:11:03 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Darlene Leasure
2000 Hout Rd
Mansfield, OH 44905

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:20 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Kenneth Williams
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:11:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Kenneth Williams
1205 Centennial Rd
Toledo, OH 43617

Hunter, Donielle

From: THOMAS LEASURE <dleaseure153@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:07 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

THOMAS LEASURE

Hunter, Donielle

From: DARLENE LEASURE <dleasure153@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 6:07 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

DARLENE LEASURE

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 5:00 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: leonard willis, mr
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 4:50:03 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

leonard willis
544 E Lake St
Toledo, OH 43608

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 4:30 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: dave fulton
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 4:29:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

dave fulton
5790 Lyric Dr
New Franklin, OH 44216

Hunter, Donielle

From: Chadwick Wright <wright.491@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 4:22 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chadwick Wright

Hunter, Donielle

From: Wes Scott <wscott@wowway.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:43 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

I was disappointed to see in the Cleveland Plain Dealer this morning (Wed, 30 March 2016) that the PUCO will likely render a decision on 14-1297-EL-SSO (the FirstEnergy plan to preserve Ohio's Coal pollution) tomorrow (Thursday).

This is a lousy plan promoting a system of power generation that is not sustainable given the great environmental costs to all citizens of this nation, not just those in Ohio through ever growing Global Warming.

But it also represents another move by a Republican Controlled government to hurt the average retired Ohioan. Back in the early 2000's FirstEnergy was allowed to alter their billing such that more of the bill was of a fixed nature, not a consumption-controllable source. This has hurt fixed-income Ohioans who can no longer control the pain from a basic need for electricity through consumption control.

Last year the Republican control statehouse mothballed the reasonable efforts to see Ohio move away from more damaging coal power generation toward less destructive renewable sources.

And now the PUCO, all nominated in whole by Republican Governor John Kasich, stands ready to again attack the wallets of rate payers and the environment of all through another non-consumer focused choice.

I urge the PUCO to conduct further hearings. More than that I urge the PUCO to consider how allowing an unregulated company to avoid bankruptcy from failure to evolve through the capture of additional cash from Ohio Citizens' wallets for technology that is old and, through its emissions, dangerous.

I urge a refusal of FirstEnergy's destructive and costly plan!

Sincerely,

Wes Scott

Hunter, Donielle

From: Nancy K Smith <nancykingsmith@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:40 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy K Smith

Hunter, Donielle

From: Gary Halstead <pastorbereaucc@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:38 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gary Halstead

Hunter, Donielle

From: David Taylor <shorelake@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:34 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Taylor

Hunter, Donielle

From: Ellen Hansen-Ellis <ehansene@bw.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:08 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio deserve to know exactly what you are considering, and to have an opportunity to express our concerns and opinions.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility, for the next eight years. Also, many, like myself, want to close down polluting coal-burning energy plants as soon as possible. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ellen Hansen-Ellis

Hunter, Donielle

From: James Bode <bode.1@osu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:01 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

I used to work for First Energy (at the time it was Ohio Edison.) I have fond memories of my coworkers, but the company was then acting in inefficient and counterproductive ways. That has behavior has worsened in recent times.

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Bode

Hunter, Donielle

From: Theresa and Thomas Pretlow <tpp3@case.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:40 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Theresa and Thomas Pretlow

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:30 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Gary Lawrence
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:22:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Gary Lawrence
644 Glendora Ave
Akron, OH 44320

Hunter, Donielle

From: daniel kreaps <dkreaps@kent.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:26 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

daniel kreaps

Hunter, Donielle

From: Carren Herring <srcarren@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:16 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carren Herring

Hunter, Donielle

From: mark mendoza <markanarch@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 2:14 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

mark mendoza

Hunter, Donielle

From: Elinore Evans <wisdomdakini2@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 1:37 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elinore Evans

Hunter, Donielle

From: Stephen Shucard <sshucard@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 1:31 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

I hope that PUCO will do the right thing by Ohio rate payers by NOT bailing out FirstEnergy at their expense and supporting coal as the company's dangerous source of energy production.

Sincerely,
Stephen C. Shucard

Sincerely,

Stephen Shucard

Hunter, Donielle

From: Nancy Roffey <nroffey@bex.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 1:19 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Roffey

Hunter, Donielle

From: Ernest Montoro <montoroe43123@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 1:10 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ernest Montoro

Hunter, Donielle

From: Rebecca Diller <bdiller@neo.rr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:58 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rebecca Diller

Hunter, Donielle

From: Fred Welty <fdwelt@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:56 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

The owners of FirstEnergy are business people. They, like all business people, took a risk. Sometimes risks work out well and sometimes not. If the risk goes well, they make money; if not, they don't. This time it was the latter. Ratepayers should not be required to pay billions to bail out the consequences of the bad risks which the executives of FirstEnergy took.

We need more public meetings on this issue. Do not give FirstEnergy permission to operate under the secret deal which was recently crafted.

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. I gave testimony at the Cleveland hearing. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Fred Welty

Hunter, Donielle

From: Matthew A. Weaver <bmweaver2624@frontier.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:49 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matthew A. Weaver

Hunter, Donielle

From: Justin Dowell <justinadamdowell@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:46 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Justin Dowell

Hunter, Donielle

From: Marc Diller <mdiller7@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:37 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marc Diller

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:30 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

From: Dennis Meyers
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:26:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Dennis Meyers
9064 Vermilion Rd
Amherst, OH 44001

Hunter, Donielle

From: Barbara Maxwell <barmaxwell@windstream.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:14 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely

Sincerely,

Barbara Maxwell

Hunter, Donielle

From: Akshai Singh <akshaisingh@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:10 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Akshai Singh

Hunter, Donielle

From: Cheryl Massaro <camassaro@ysu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:08 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cheryl Massaro

Hunter, Donielle

From: Phil Davison <phild0525@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:08 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Phil Davison

Hunter, Donielle

From: Bob Zai <zaibob@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:02 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bob Zai

Hunter, Donielle

From: C. Jeff Jacobson <jeffrey.jacobson@uc.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:02 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

C. Jeff Jacobson

Hunter, Donielle

From: Porter, Andre
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 9:00 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

From: Melissa Sturgeon
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 8:51:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Porter, Andre
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Please include my letter in the PUCO docket, in the letters to the Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Melissa S. Sturgeon
2038 Stonewall Trl
Florence, KY 41042

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/31/2016 8:39:04 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO, 14-1693-EL-RDR, 14-1694-EL-AAM

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of various consumers electronically filed by Ms. Donielle M Hunter on behalf of PUCO Docketing