

FILE

14-1247-EL-SSO  
14-1643-EL-RDR  
14-1644-EL-AAM

28

**ASHLAND.**

March 7, 2015

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
Attn: Chairman Andre Porter

**Andrew Johnston**  
**Ashland Inc.**

5200 Blazer Parkway, Dublin, OH 43017  
Tel: 614-790-3562 Fax: 614-790-3822  
ajohnston@ashland.com

PUCO

2016 MAR 25 AM 9:26

RECEIVED-2016 MAR 25 AM 9:26

Dear PUCO:

We are writing you to urge you to reject the negotiated settlements of First Energy and AEP that will enable the utilities to implement costly Power Purchase Agreements (PPA) (Case #14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694) riders and other cost driving provisions. These agreements are designed to benefit the utility companies and their stakeholders. They amount to a \$6 billion handout for the utility companies, at the expense of our local businesses and residents.

Should these riders be approved the utilities will be able to collect costs from all of their customers to subsidize uneconomical generation assets, protecting the utilities from cost risk and guaranteeing their profits and cost recovery over an eight year period.

Based on our future estimates of electricity usage and the calculated impact of the rider, Ashland Lima's electricity costs will rise by over \$250,000 per year for each of the next eight years. As a commodity chemical producer, we compete on a global basis and neither our North American nor overseas competition will be facing such an increase. As a result other cuts in operating expenditures will need to be made in order to maintain the profitability of this facility.


As operating costs increase, the ability of this facility to attract capital for continuing operations and growth will be impacted.

We understand that changes in electricity marketing and the regulatory environment impact our electric utilities. As mentioned above, our business faces similar challenges, and we need to continue to improve our operations to remain competitive, without the assistance of guarantees from our customers to achieve a fixed rate of return on assets.

If approved by the PUCO, these deals will add costs for Ohio consumers and impact innovation and growth in the state by diverting dollars away from those areas to subsidize the utilities' less efficient assets.

Please consider this request to protect all industry and consumers in the state from this substantial increase in electricity costs.

Sincerely,



Andy Johnston  
Group Vice President

**ASHLAND.**

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician DM Date Processed MAR 25 2016

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 105812  
Received: 3/14/2016 4:30:01 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 105812 AT:03-14-2016 at 04:29 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: *Complaint*

NAME: Ms. denise deArment

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 148 Coe St. #354
- Berea
- Berea , Ohio 44017
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 4406557900
- Alternative: n/a
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: ddeaarment@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: First Energy
- Name on account: denise deArment
- Service address: 148 Coe St. #354
- Service phone: 4406557900
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

Att'n: Chairman Andre' Porter First Energy pays their stockholders very well (my mother has held hers for decades). Why are you giving them billions based on poor strategic planning? Why would you reward them for that? Is it because you don't want a shake-up in the company? Doesn't a free market insist on a shake-up as the logical next-step? Isn't it cronyism to take the money from the taxpayers, so that their stockholders don't feel any of the pain, only rewards? Isn't that just another example of the 99% vs. the 1% that Americans are so fed up with? Get your head out of your ass & act like a public servant!!!!

**Building and  
Construction  
Trades Council**



2141 Carter Avenue, P.O. Box 1027 • Ashland, KY 41105-1027 • (606) 324-9880 • Fax (606) 324-2966

Counties of  
Jurisdiction:

March 7, 2016

Kentucky:

BOYD  
BREATHITT  
CARTER  
ELLIOTT  
FLEMING  
FLOYD  
GREENUP  
JOHNSON  
KNOTT  
LAWRENCE  
LEWIS  
MAGOFFIN  
MARTIN  
MORGAN  
PERRY  
PIKE  
ROWAN

Mr. Andre T. Porter, Chairman  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Porter:

Ohio's electric power generating utilities face many challenges to provide affordable and dependable base load electric power to their customers. There now are many uncertainties with generation cost. We must insure that Ohio's electric generating facilities continue to provide the sound dependable services that provides a vast array of economic benefits across the state.

American Electric Power's Ohio generating facilities provides thousands of jobs to our membership that build and maintain these plants. AEP's proposed Purchase Power Agreement provides their Ohio customers long term reliability and guards against price spikes. Without some type of stabilization, Ohio could become a power importer shipping the many jobs this industry provides to neighboring states.

On behalf of the 20,000 members of our 53 affiliated local construction trade unions, we fully support AEP's Purchase Power Agreement proposal. It develops additional renewable energy along with maintaining existing facilities that provides many family sustaining jobs with benefits in struggling Appalachian communities.

Respectively submitted,

Mark Johnson  
Business Manager

Ohio:

ADAMS  
GALLIA  
HIGHLAND  
HOCKING  
JACKSON  
LAWRENCE  
PIKE  
ROSS  
SCIOTO  
VINTON

West Virginia:

CABELL  
LOGAN  
MASON  
MINGO  
WAYNE



March 7, 2015

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
Attn: Chairman Andre Porter

**Andrew Johnston**  
**Ashland Inc.**

5200 Blazer Parkway, Dublin, OH 43017  
Tel: 614-790-3562 Fax: 614-790-3823  
ajohnston@ashland.com

Dear PUCO:

We are writing you to urge you to reject the negotiated settlements of First Energy and AEP that will enable the utilities to implement costly Power Purchase Agreements (PPA) (Case #14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694) riders and other cost driving provisions. These agreements are designed to benefit the utility companies and their stakeholders. They amount to a \$6 billion handout for the utility companies, at the expense of our local businesses and residents.

Should these riders be approved the utilities will be able to collect costs from all of their customers to subsidize uneconomical generation assets, protecting the utilities from cost risk and guaranteeing their profits and cost recovery over an eight year period.

Based on our future estimates of electricity usage and the calculated impact of the rider, Ashland Lima's electricity costs will rise by over \$250,000 per year for each of the next eight years. As a commodity chemical producer, we compete on a global basis and neither our North American nor overseas competition will be facing such an increase. As a result other cuts in operating expenditures will need to be made in order to maintain the profitability of this facility.

As operating costs increase, the ability of this facility to attract capital for continuing operations and growth will be impacted.

We understand that changes in electricity marketing and the regulatory environment impact our electric utilities. As mentioned above, our business faces similar challenges, and we need to continue to improve our operations to remain competitive, without the assistance of guarantees from our customers to achieve a fixed rate of return on assets.

If approved by the PUCO, these deals will add costs for Ohio consumers and impact innovation and growth in the state by diverting dollars away from those areas to subsidize the utilities' less efficient assets.

Please consider this request to protect all industry and consumers in the state from this substantial increase in electricity costs.

Sincerely,

Andy Johnston  
Group Vice President





Shaun McMackin  
Vice President  
Operations & Engineering  
1 Michael Owens Way  
Perrysburg, OH 43551  
1-567-336-8227  
Shaun.McMackin@o-i.com

February 24, 2016

Chairman Andre T. Porter  
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215

**RE: Opposition Comment to PPA Cases 14-1693-EL-RDR; 14-1297-EL-SSO**

Dear Chairman Porter and Commissioners:

On behalf of Owens-Illinois, Inc., I write to oppose the negotiated settlements of FirstEnergy and AEP that will enable the utilities to implement costly Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) riders and other cost-driving provisions that harm manufacturers and consumers alike. As a major Ohio energy user, O-I is sensitive to the competitive electricity markets on a daily basis and believes the proposed agreements will only harm manufacturers operating in the state.

Ohio is home to our global headquarters and research and development center (both located in Perrysburg) and a glass manufacturing plant in Zanesville. O-I has over 1,000 employees in the state. O-I is a customer of both AEP and FirstEnergy.

The proposed settlements and resultant price increases will threaten the competitive advantage Ohio has compared to other states in the region; further, it could force manufacturers to reallocate much needed capital for operational and other investments. Specifically O-I will realize an approximate annual increase of \$400,000, totaling over \$3.2 million over the next eight years.

Should the Commission approve the settlements, both utilities will be able to collect costs (via non-bypassable PPA riders) from all of their customers to subsidize their uneconomical generation assets, thus protecting the utilities from cost risk and guaranteeing their cost recovery. This represents a step backwards in Ohio's transition to a competitive market for electricity.

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel has estimated that the FirstEnergy settlement could cost consumers \$3.9 billion over the eight-year duration of the PPA, and the AEP settlement could cost consumers \$2 billion. Ohio manufacturers and consumers in FirstEnergy and AEP territories should not bear the cost of these settlements. In the interest of keeping Ohio energy prices competitive, O-1 urges the Commission to reject the proposed settlements.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Shaun McMackin', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Shaun McMackin  
Vice President  
Operations & Engineering

-BEI 031116LD



8043 W. State Route 163, #100

Oak Harbor, OH 43449

Tel: 419.898.6242

Fax: 419.898.6244

## FAX COVER SHEET

To: Commissioner Thomas W. Johnson From: Jamie N. Beier Grant  
Fax: 614.752.8351 Pages: 5 Inc. Cover  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 07 March 2016  
Re: Electric Security Plan Comments to: Governor Kasich

☐ Urgent☐ For Review☐ Please Reply

Commissioner Johnson,

Please see the enclosed comments.

Thank You-

Jamie Beier Grant



Jamie N. Beier Grant, Director  
March 7, 2016 Press Conference  
Oak Harbor High School

I would like to thank Commissioner Sass and Dr. Parmigian for their comments regarding this important issue before us. In October 2014, the OCIC made a request to the Governor and the PUCO. From an economic and workforce development organization's perspective, our request was straightforward – give a thorough and balanced review of FirstEnergy's electric security plan. Give the plan a thorough and balanced review in light of the baseload generation capabilities that facilities like Davis Besse represent, where baseload generation facilities represent arguably the most reliable sources of electricity production in this country. Give the plan thorough and balanced consideration because preserving our reliable electricity production facilities will not only result in tremendous job retention and economic impact at Davis Besse, but it will continue to support a competitive business environment in Ohio. One where businesses know when they flip that switch, the lights and machines are going to turn on. In 2013 and 2014, we were on the cusp of not having this luxury and some businesses were forced to shut down for several days.

OCIC's position on FirstEnergy's electric security plan before the PUCO has not changed. In fact, since FirstEnergy's submission of its electric security plan to the PUCO, every other private investor-owned utility in the state has also submitted similar plans – AEP, Dayton Power & Light, and Duke Energy. With every other major investor-owned utility in Ohio presenting similar plans, one has to ask if there is a broader statewide energy portfolio/policy issue. My gut tells me absolutely.

Since 2005, Ohio has lost more than 5,000 MW of electric generation. We are a net importer of power, relying on our transmission system, "an electric superhighway," to deliver power into Ohio. And in order to deliver that power into Ohio, our utility companies have invested more than \$1.2 BILLION in transmission infrastructure upgrades to handle the importation of that power. But these investments have not come at the choice of our utilities, rather as REQUIRED by the government's Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the regional grid manager, PJM.

And now we are seeing television ads trying to influence the decisions before the PUCO. Influencing decisions that could ultimately see Ohio lose even more electric generation facilities, which equals jobs and tax base. These ads are coming in part from a Texas-based company, Dynergy, that has filed for bankruptcy on at least two occasions and has put the cost of those bankruptcies back on its customers, creditors and shareholders. And now they and others want to use those billions of dollars of investments made by our ratepayers to dump their power into Ohio.

We need a balanced energy portfolio in Ohio that drives our economy long-term. I am concerned the policies we have in place now will not ensure reliable and affordable power in the long run. I am





confident the 8 years outlined in these electric security plans will give enough time for Ohio's leadership to define a clear, balanced and competitive energy policy that positions us for future growth.

We should also remember that several years ago Ottawa County entered into an aggregation agreement for residential electric customers. This aggregation agreement negotiated rate savings to residential customers through 2018. The electric security plan cannot change that negotiated rate and as a group, the county can renegotiate residential rates after 2018 looking forward.

The decisions the PUCO and state leaders will be making are critical. They are critical to supporting the 750 Davis Besse jobs, the more than 5,000 regional contractor jobs that work at Davis Besse, the \$387 million in economic impact and the \$61 million in annual payroll. BUT, they are critical to giving Ohio's leadership the time needed to restructure our state's energy portfolio and energy policies to make sure that a balanced and reliable portfolio exists.

###



8043 W. State Route 163, Suite 100 | Oak Harbor, OH 43449 | 419-898-OCIC (6242) | Fax 419-898-6244 | Toll Free 866-734-6789 | [www.ocic.biz](http://www.ocic.biz)

October 28, 2014

The Honorable John R. Kasich, Governor  
State of Ohio  
77 South High Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-6117

Dear Governor Kasich:

As you are aware, many of Ohio's largest power plants have closed in recent years due to market challenges and tougher regulations, with many more plant retirements expected in the near future. This means the potential for more Ohio jobs being lost, and rising electricity prices in the years ahead.

Ottawa County is home to FirstEnergy Corporation's Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station, a 900 megawatt electric baseload generation facility that employs more than 750 highly-skilled individuals from throughout Northwest Ohio. This facility provides a carbon-free, reliable, long-term source of power for our region and our state that many of our manufacturing and industrial operations rely upon.

Baseload generation facilities, like Davis Besse, are arguably the most reliable sources of electricity production in this country. Preserving this most reliable form of electricity production is critical to the manufacturing and industrial sectors not just in Ottawa County, but across Northwest Ohio and the entire State of Ohio. Companies that do business in our region demand reliable energy production in order to effectively and efficiently operate their facilities. Blips in the grid (whether it is rolling brownouts or blackouts) cost our companies significant amounts of money and diminish Ohio's competitiveness as a place to do business. If Davis Besse shuts its facility, I am certain that we will see our local business community seriously question whether the cost of doing business in Ottawa County, Northwest Ohio, and Ohio overall is affordable, or if they should look to relocate to other parts of the country where reliable power is available.


Davis Besse's facility has a profound economic impact on our local economy, with an annual economic impact of more than \$387 million in Ottawa County, with its employees generating an annual payroll of \$61 million. Additionally, the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station creates thousands of additional jobs involved in ongoing maintenance and refueling projects, with the company just completing a \$600 million steam generator replacement and refueling investment project in 2014 that will create more than double the plant's annual economic impact compared to a typical year.

It is our understanding that FirstEnergy Corporation has submitted a proposed Electric Security Plan, *Powering Ohio's Progress*, to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. On behalf of the Ottawa County Improvement Corporation (OCIC), I wish to express our organization's support for a thorough and balanced review of FirstEnergy's proposal, so long as any rider charges placed on industrial customers

does not have a significantly negative impact on these customers overall. Additionally, the OCIC supports FirstEnergy's commitment to provide future rebates to its customers as detailed in their plan.

Thank you for your continued work to ensure Ohio remains a premier location for existing and future businesses. Please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (419) 898-6242 or via email at [jngrant@ocic.biz](mailto:jngrant@ocic.biz).

Sincerely,



Jamie N. Beler Grant  
Director

Cc: Ottawa County Improvement Corporation Executive Board  
President – Marty Sutter, Genoa Bank  
1<sup>st</sup> Vice President – Jim Stouffer, Catawba Island Club  
2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President – Keith Smith, Materion-Brush, Inc.  
Treasurer – Marsha Borduer, Retired-Terra Community College  
Secretary – John Harbal, Retired-Impact Products, LLC  
At-Large Member – Craig Trick, STAR Builders  
Past President – Mark Stahl, Stahl and Associates

Ottawa County Commissioners  
Steven M. Arndt  
JoEllen Regal  
James M. Sass

PUCO Chairman Thomas W. Johnson  
Senator Randy Gardner, District 2  
Representative Chris Redfern, District 89



March 17, 2016

Andre Porter, Chairman  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Mr. Porter,

The West Central Ohio Manufacturing Consortium, representing 29 manufacturers that employ over 4,000 people and generate \$9 billion in annual sales, is expressing its opposition to the PUCO's proposal that would lead to substantial electric rate increases to customers of American Electric Power and First Energy, companies that supply electricity to many of the manufacturers in our consortium.

Following discussion at our quarterly Steering Committee meeting March 16, members expressed concern that the increase would be a major setback to our manufacturing base's ability to operate competitively. It is our understanding that AEP and First Energy used outdated data to make their case for their rate hike requests and they have been operating some inefficient power plants that should have been upgraded or replaced. They are now supporting a plan to place the costs of these inefficient plants on the backs of their customers to the tune of a 20 percent rate hike immediately after this proposal would be approved, with more increases to follow. The electric companies are claiming that denying this rate hike would lead to brownouts and other related problems, when there is a system in place in the event that plants are shut down.

Our local economy has recovered from the recent recession and manufacturers have led the way in providing good-paying jobs for local residents. We are concerned that the proposal for AEP and First Energy would be a huge setback in this economic progress. We urge you to reject the proposal and develop a proposal that would not jeopardize the economic growth in Ohio.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jennifer Niese".

Jennifer Niese, Chair  
West Central Ohio Manufacturing Consortium

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Doug Durliat".

Doug Durliat, Director  
West Central Ohio Manufacturing Consortium

RHODES  
STATE COLLEGE

2-6-36

1, repl  
l sale  
etric  
upply

nmitte  
ior se  
iat AI  
ney h  
placed  
ks of  
ould l  
enyin  
in pla

ecessi  
We ar  
nonon  
pardi:

Dou  
Wesi


Andre Porter, Chairman  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215

4321533763 C032

4321533763 C032

603 Bellaview Avenue  
Chillicothe, Ohio 45601-2909  
March 17, 2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 E. Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Gentlemen:



I want to advise you that my electric bill through American Electric Power Ohio and American Electric Power Energy, Inc. has gone higher and higher in recent months! I'm on the budget plan and although I seldom ever had an electric bill of \$300. or higher, my budget was \$343.38 until the month of March, 2016. They have this month lowered my budget to \$315.21. I know I'm paid ahead by quite a bit.

Now I hear A. E. P. Ohio is planning to install wind power and ask us to pay for that upfront! I strongly disapprove of the Power Purchase Agreement! I don't think that an 83-year old widow like me should have to pay this much! I do hope you can work with A. E. P. Ohio to effect a change in these plans! It seems to me wind power would be very erratic! Anything you accomplish in this regard for our general public will be greatly appreciated.

Thank you so much

Iva F. Selby  
IVA F. SELBY

S

Iva F. Selby  
603 Belleview Ave.  
Chillicothe, OH 45601

*Public Utilities  
180 East B  
Columbus,*

43215376304

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of Chris Harrison  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 10:31 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. Chris Harrison  
1038 Bayfield Dr  
Beavercreek, OH 45430-1202  
chris.harrison2911@gmail.com



**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of Tracey Campagna  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 8:55 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. Tracey Campagna  
420 Barney Ct  
Harrison, OH 45030-4926  
campagnatm@yahoo.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of Pamela Downing  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 10:26 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. Pamela Downing  
4669 Olentangy Blvd  
Columbus, OH 43214-2539  
pjdowning@wowway.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of Charles Colbert  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 11:55 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. Charles Colbert  
1504 Kingsbury Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45240-2122  
cctaft1984@aol.com

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of AJ Prosser <info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 8:25 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. AJ Prosser  
386 Belle Meadows Dr  
Bellefontaine, OH 43311-1562  
awesomeamanda30@gmail.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of Steven Weese  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 10:28 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. Steven Weese  
14185townshiproad227  
glenmont, OH 44628  
stevenweese@ymail.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of debbie Siegel  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 9:35 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. debbie Siegel  
6 Hickory Holw  
Blue Ash, OH 45241-3241  
cookiedms@qol.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of Angel Caisse  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 11:37 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

*Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.*

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. Angel Caisse  
8450 Tree Top Ct N  
Miamisburg, OH 45342-3760  
satia@divinewithin.us

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Care2 <petitionquestions@care2team.com> on behalf of Justin Poggiali  
<info@care2.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 12:00 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Don't Bail out FirstEnergy

Mar 16, 2016

Thomas Johnson  
OH

Dear Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Please reject FirstEnergy's proposal and ensure full corporate separation, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Doing so would send a clear message to clean energy businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents that Ohio is ready for a new era -- one in which utility profits are not placed ahead of Ohioans' best interest and electricity is clean, reliable, and affordable.

Please reject FirstEnergy's request. It's what's right for Ohio's clean energy future.

Sincerely,

M. Justin Poggiali  
4616 Kingsley Cir E  
Sandusky, OH 44870-6037  
justin.poggiali@gmail.com



From: William Benson [mailto:[bensonw@sbcglobal.net](mailto:bensonw@sbcglobal.net)]

Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2016 9:18 AM

To: Puco webmaster

Subject: First Energy gouging consumers

This company (Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. - First Energy) has a history of bad business decisions that date back to Perry Nuclear Plant. They have made many bad business decisions and then expected the ratepayers of the State of Ohio to "bail them out". It is time for the PUCO to not grant any request for a rate increase (for any reason) to this company. They need to clean up their business!

Eric Benson  
5152 Longton Road  
Lyndhurst,  
OH 44120  
(440) 449-1380

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
 To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
 Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 105981  
 Received: 3/23/2016 4:05:37 AM  
 Message:  
 WEB ID: 105981 AT:03-23-2016 at 04:05 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Ms. sharon estrada

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- (NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 1.419.562.2193
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: sle@columbus.rr.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

i don't think AEP should have any additional charges in this latest whim theirs. you seem to give them everything they want. they are probably still collecting money from the civil war era. you are their sugar daddy, but the sugar you give them comes out of our pockets. most electric companies are using new technology and renewable sources to be more efficient and are lowering prices. but, not aep and first energy. outdated power plants did not happen over night. what do they do with their money? do they never reinvest in their own business? it wasn't too long ago you allowed them to charge for some scheme which did not happen. when it was suggested the consumers get their money back, either you or some court decided they didn't have to return the money. they walked away with millions of dollars...so what did they do with it? pocket it? 8 years of extra charges...the FIRST YEAR \$3+!!!!!!!

how much in succeeding years? and asked for a guaranteed profit? what business does that? this whole concept is throwing good money after bad. if you think they need the money so much, take it out of your budget! if this sounds like i'm ticked off, i am! there's too many charges on my electric bill as it is because they cried to you that they needed the money. they should learn how to keep their plants updated as they go along. please don't give into their pleas. allow them to learn how to run their plants efficiently. electricity runs this country and i like to flip on a switch for lights and my coffee pot, etc. as well as the next person but this is over the top! it's a real crock! sincerely, (and i mean that) sharon estrada

From: Kate Driscoll  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Say No to Utility Bailouts  
Received: 3/10/2016 6:57:34 PM  
Message:

Mar 10, 2016

PUCO Chairman Andre Porter  
OH

Dear PUCO Chairman Porter,

Dayton Power and Light (DPL) wants me to subsidize inefficient power plants with rate increases that can cost consumers \$10.00 a month and thousands over the life of the deal. Older Ohioans, especially those with fixed incomes and no Cost Of Living Adjustment (COLA) this year, should not be stuck with the bill because DPL is not competitive, nor should we bail them out on our backs.

*The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and have warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.*

As a consumer I feel it is time to take action. Please stand with Ohio consumers and say "no" to the utility bailouts regarding PUCO Case Numbers: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; and 15-1830.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kate Driscoll  
5951 WEDGWOOD DR  
MORROW, OH 45152-8429  
(609) 324-1816  
kdriscoll65@yahoo.com