

Hunter, Donielle

From: Wilfredo (Andrea) Davila <anndavila88@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2016 7:55 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the Propozsed FirstEnergy Settlement (Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO)

After more than a year of hearings, thousands of citizens and businesses calling for its rejection, and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why using ratepayer dollars to prop up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense.

The proposed settlement that is pending before you does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab of over \$10 a month per household. By comparison, the cost of clean, renewable power that customers pay now is only about \$1 per month!

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy resources of the future instead of doubling down on the energy resources of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Wilfredo (Andrea) Davila
Andrea Davila.
2530 marahall ave aptD2
Lorain, OH 44052

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV.
2016 MAR -9 AM 10:50
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician SM Date Processed MAR 09 2016

Hunter, Donielle

From: Ellen Canfil <ejcanfil@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2016 7:05 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement (Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO)

After more than a year of hearings, thousands of citizens and businesses calling for its rejection, and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why using ratepayer dollars to prop up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense.

The proposed settlement that is pending before you does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab of over \$10 a month per household. By comparison, the cost of clean, renewable power that customers pay now is only about \$1 per month!

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy resources of the future instead of doubling down on the energy resources of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Ellen Canfil

OH 44136

Hunter, Donielle

From: john Gamble <jgamble387@oh.rr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2016 1:36 AM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement (Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO)

After more than a year of hearings, thousands of citizens and businesses calling for its rejection, and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why using ratepayer dollars to prop up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense.

The proposed settlement that is pending before you does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab of over \$10 a month per household. By comparison, the cost of clean, renewable power that customers pay now is only about \$1 per month!

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy resources of the future instead of doubling down on the energy resources of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

john Gamble
67 Levan dr.
Painesville, OH 44077

After more than a year of hearings, thousands of citizens and businesses calling for its rejection, and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why using ratepayer dollars to prop up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense.

The proposed settlement that is pending before you does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab of over \$10 a month per household. By comparison, the cost of clean, renewable power that customers pay now is only about \$1 per month!

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy resources of the future instead of doubling down on the energy resources of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Wilfredo (Andrea) Davila
Andrea Davila.
2530 marahall ave aptD2
Lorain, OH 44052

After more than a year of hearings, thousands of citizens and businesses calling for its rejection, and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why using ratepayer dollars to prop up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense.

The proposed settlement that is pending before you does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab of over \$8 a month per household. By comparison, the cost of clean, renewable power that customers pay now is only about \$1 per month!

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy resources of the future instead of doubling down on the energy resources of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Carl Howard
1736 East Long Street
Apt H
Columbus, OH 43203