BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Energy Ohio, Inc. for Tariff Approval) Regarding Customer Energy Usage Data.)

Case No. 14-2209-EL-ATA

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On December 16, 2014, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke) filed an application requesting approval of tariff language regarding customer energy usage data (CEUD). Duke explains this application is being filed pursuant to Commission orders from Case No. 12-3151-EL-COI, which required Duke to submit an amended tariff specifying the terms, conditions, and charges associated with providing interval CEUD. In this application, Duke proposes to amend its tariff to provide details related to exactly what certified retail electric service (CRES) providers may request, how such data will be provided, and at what cost.
- (2) By Entry on December 16, 2015, the Commission granted the motions to intervene by IGS Energy (IGS), Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy (OPAE), Retail Energy Supply Association (RESA), Direct Energy Business, LLC and Direct Energy Services, LLC (collectively, Direct), the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF), and Ohio Environmental Council (OEC).
- (3) Also on December 16, 2015, the Commission issued a procedural schedule. The schedule required Duke to file testimony by January 22, 2016, intervenors to file testimony by February 5, 2016, and a hearing to begin February 17, 2016.
- (4) On January 21, 2016, and February 18, 2016, the attorney examiner granted joint motions from the parties requesting a continuance of the procedural schedule. The updated schedule required Duke to file testimony by March 4, 2016, intervenors to file testimony by March 18, 2016, and a hearing to begin April 11, 2016.
- (5) On March 1, 2016, the parties filed a joint motion requesting an extension of time to file testimony. The parties state settlement

discussions are ongoing and more time may allow them to resolve all the issues in the case.

- (6) The attorney examiner finds that the motion for extension is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, the procedural schedule will be as follows:
 - (a) Testimony on behalf of Duke shall be filed by March 18, 2016.
 - (b) Testimony on behalf of all intervenors shall be filed April 1, 2016.
 - (c) The evidentiary hearing shall commence as previously scheduled on April 11, 2016, 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 E. Broad St., 11th Floor, Hearing Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the motion for extension of time to file testimony be granted in accordance with Finding (6). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/ Nick Walstra

By: Nick Walstra Attorney Examiner

jrj/vrm

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/2/2016 3:59:31 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-2209-EL-ATA

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting motion for extension of time to file testimony; electronically filed by Vesta R Miller on behalf of Nicholas Walstra, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio