

Hunter, Donielle

From: Tammy Smith <user@votervoice.net>
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2016 5:27 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: No to higher electric bills

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Tammy smith
1200 Middleton St
Zanesville, OH 43701
zan333@yahoo.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Todd Ernst <pastortodd.gbc@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2016 2:39 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Utility Power Cost

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy have been a bane to the existence of our church. Now they want to raise rates even more? Our last bill was OVER \$800 for a building that we use, at most, 3 times a week.

As I understand the situation, they now want to raise our rates again to pay for upgrades when they made over \$2 billion in profits in 2015?

Does this sound fair to you, or is that concept too outdated?

PUCO Case #: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Todd Ernst
319 S McArthur Way
Greenfield, OH 45123

Hunter, Donielle

From: barbara gingras <user@votervoice.net>
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2016 6:54 AM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Do not approve the AEP request.

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP can manage their own costs. Look how they changed their policies in the last two decades on basic maintenance costs after they acquired many companies out west.

They let things grow until it is a major expenditure and they chose to let it get to this place.

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Barb Gingras
709 Franklin Ave
Columbus, OH 43205
barbaragingras@ymail.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Stv Harwin <user@votervoice.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 11:44 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Complicit in rate hikes

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

If PUCO must be complicit in raising utility rates then propose an energy tax to benefit all Ohioans rather than select companies. AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Stv Harwin
2043 Random Rd
Cleveland, OH 44106
diners@usa.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: kurt bachellor <riverboat59@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 9:32 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: stop it stop it

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

kurt bachellor
1014 Loudon St
Defiance, OH 43512

Hunter, Donielle

From: Doug Fry <dfohio@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 7:00 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Stop FE from Ripping Me Off!

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I own an all-electric brewery in Euclid. I need low electric rates to make beer that the locals can afford. Stop ripping off small businesses so FE can enrich their management and shareholders. (They are probably all wine drinkers anyway. And they DON'T drink Ohio wines.)

Sincerely,

Euclid Brewing Company
21950 Lake Shore Blvd
Euclid, OH 44123

Hunter, Donielle

From: Andrew Smallwood <user@votervoice.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 6:41 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Stop the glad handing and start looking after the hard working people of ohio!!

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Andrew smallwood
9196 Musket Pl
Orient, OH 43146
smallwoodandrew@yahoo.com

Hunter, Donielle

From: Jen Joseph <m.josephfamily@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 6:19 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: Electric rates

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I cannot afford higher electric rates. Barely able to pay my bill now.

PUCO Case #: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Jennifer Joseph
4560 Napoleon Rd
Fremont, OH 43420

Hunter, Donielle

From: ca no <canowotarski@bex.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 4:52 PM
To: Johnson, Thomas
Subject: No Handouts to First Energy!!!!

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

ca no
7556 RHONE DR
MAUMEE, OH 43537

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2016 4:36 PM
To: Puc Docketing
Subject: Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 2/25/2016

Re: Franklin Cook
10425 Tracy Trl

Parma, OH 44130

Docketing Case No.:
14-1297 el-sso

Notes:

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO
ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT
FORM: 104969
Received: 2/8/2016 2:43:26 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 104969
AT:02-08-2016 at 02:43 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Mr Franklin Cook

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 10425 Tracy Trail
- Parma , Ohio 44130-5276
- United States

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 440-747-7671
- Alternative: 937-207-4324
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: cookfranklin45@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

With all the past history with First Energy it is hard to understand why you would even consider allowing them to charge customers a surcharge to supplement the old power plants. I thought the PUC was created to protect the public from utility companies from taking advantage of the public when it comes to excessive charges. I should know better looking at your history of siding with the utilities most of the time when an issue comes before you. You only have to go back to the time First Energy sent two light bulbs to all their customers and did not tell them they would be charged an extra fee each month to pay for them. If they had went through with that program customers would have paid more then \$20 for two bulbs they could have bought for around \$6. Then they tried to get you to allow them to charge each customer a monthly fee to pay for all the light bulbs they had bought to use in this program. Unfortunately I think First Energy is too smart for the PUC or you work for them not the public. You have to know that when they ask for a rate hike they always ask for two or three times the amount they actually want, so when you think you have done great thing by giving them only a third of what they ask, they actually won. It's about time you started to work for the public and not the Energy Companies. You let the gas companies charge a monthly fixed delivery charge no matter how much natural gas was used so in the summer months when I only use \$4 or \$5 worth of nat. gas the \$17 fixed delivery charge plus other fees brings my gas bill to around \$25. You had to know that when they set that fixed delivery charge, that was the charge for delivering gas in the middle of winter when we around ten times or more nat. gas. I never understand how First Energy was not prosecuted for the light bulb scandal because the new exactly what they were doing was deceiving the public by trying to make money from the public while fulfilling their responsibility to cut back on how much electricity they generate. If you allow these charges to go

through, everyone on the PUC should be prosecuted for not doing your job in protecting the public and for colluding with First Energy to fix the price of electricity. This may sound a little drastic, but it's about time you started doing your job and standing up to all these utility companies and working for the public. If you can't do this then it shows that you are not bipartisan members of the PUC but in collusion with big business and should not be on the PUC. If you don't like the things I have said you can feel free to call me and talk about it.

Please docket the attached in the case number above.