Subject: FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:50:09 AM

From: Joan Hinerman

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:41:01 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Porter, Andre

Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Chairman Porter,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

joan Hinerman 3479 Ivanhoe Dr Kent, OH 44240 johin1960@yahoo.com

Subject:FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power PlaysDate:Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:50:09 AM

From: Joan Hinerman

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:41:01 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Johnson, Thomas

Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

joan Hinerman 3479 Ivanhoe Dr Kent, OH 44240 johin1960@yahoo.com

Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 11:00:14 AM

From: C. Vance

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:56:01 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Porter, Andre

Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

As a retired facilities engineer from a major Stark County company it was clear that when the PUCO approved deregulation of the electric utilities coming issues were not conveyed to the general public. First, the cost of electrical power generation was deregulated. Whether electric power was produced by First Energy, AEP, Duke or any other the cost per kwh was basically the same. Second, deregulation allowed utilities to be reimbursed for distribution system improvements and "stranded" costs. In other words, they could petition the PUCO for rate increases to pay for decommissioning nuclear facilities which could run into the billions of dollars. Also, costs associated with installing replacement power lines, upgrading equipment and making improvements to grid connections could also be tacked on.

Now, First Energy is wants their customers to compensate their potential loss of revenue for operating their coal powered Sammis facility and pay for costs incurred in closing down other coal fired plants. It should be known that approximately one billion dollars was spent on modifications and equipment for clean coal burning. This made it one of the best coal fired plants in operation. With an administration in Washington announcing that the cost of electricity would "necessarily go up" and if you want to burn coal "I will put you out of business". Knowing all this First Energy went ahead with the improvements which I believe was a good idea. At least for the environment.

With the discovery of massive amounts of natural gas electric utilities are switching to cleaner burning gas. I am not sure how this will effect long range gas prices to homeowners if and when these gas supplies begin to expire. At this time there are independent companies building gas powered generating plants which I am sure will be competitive in price. My guess is First Energy will be buying power from some of these new suppliers.

As an owner of an All-Electric home and living on a fixed income I am concerned about increases in our electric utility rate. The All-Electric rate was supposed to guarantee a low electric rate. It has progressively crept up over the past few years.

First Energy should not be allowed to pass these costs along to customers. The PUCO should have never deregulated any of the major utilities. Special contracts were always available to super large industrial customers.

Reviewing electric rates between various plants that fell under my jurisdiction showed just how much more effective First Energy / Ohio Edison was at pressuring the PUCO for rate increases. For example about 2002 the company I worked for had several locations in and out of Ohio. Here is a comparison

between two of our plants that were classified as "Large Electrical User": The Stark County facility received electric service from AEP at the rate of \$.045 / kwh. The facility located in Portage County supplied by Ohio Edison was billed at the rate of \$.010 / kwh. Where would you locate your next plant. During the period I do not think AEP ever got approval for rate increases while First Energy progressively received PUCO approvals for their increases.

The utilities have complained about loss of revenue due to a decrease in electrical demand. As many major manufacturing companies have left the United States there should be ample electric capacity to serve all customers at the lowest possible rates. Private companies face challenging conditions and must adapt and restructure to make them more efficient. They do not have the opportunity petition an agency like the PUCO to support their inefficiencies.

Naming rights to major sports facilities are not necessary for efficient and profitable operation of First Energy / Ohio Edison.

Please deny this and future rate increases.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

C. Vance 4442 Erie Ave NW Massillon, OH 44647

Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 11:00:13 AM

From: TINA RICHARDSON

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:52:58 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Johnson, Thomas

Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

TINA RICHARDSON 2126 Muirwood Dr Columbus, OH 43232 richardson_tina@yahoo.com

Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 11:00:13 AM

From: TINA RICHARDSON

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:52:58 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Porter, Andre

Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

TINA RICHARDSON 2126 Muirwood Dr Columbus, OH 43232 richardson_tina@yahoo.com

Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 11:00:13 AM

From: Mike Paquette

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:54:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Porter, Andre

Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Mike Paquette 4924 Turnbridge Rd Toledo, OH 43623

Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 11:00:12 AM

From: Mike Paquette

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:54:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Johnson, Thomas

Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Mike Paquette 4924 Turnbridge Rd Toledo, OH 43623

Subject: FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 11:00:15 AM

From: C. Vance

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:56:01 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

To: Johnson, Thomas

Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

As a retired facilities engineer from a major Stark County company it was clear that when the PUCO approved deregulation of the electric utilities coming issues were not conveyed to the general public. First, the cost of electrical power generation was deregulated. Whether electric power was produced by First Energy, AEP, Duke or any other the cost per kwh was basically the same. Second, deregulation allowed utilities to be reimbursed for distribution system improvements and "stranded" costs. In other words, they could petition the PUCO for rate increases to pay for decommissioning nuclear facilities which could run into the billions of dollars. Also, costs associated with installing replacement power lines, upgrading equipment and making improvements to grid connections could also be tacked on.

Now, First Energy is wants their customers to compensate their potential loss of revenue for operating their coal powered Sammis facility and pay for costs incurred in closing down other coal fired plants. It should be known that approximately one billion dollars was spent on modifications and equipment for clean coal burning. This made it one of the best coal fired plants in operation. With an administration in Washington announcing that the cost of electricity would "necessarily go up" and if you want to burn coal "I will put you out of business". Knowing all this First Energy went ahead with the improvements which I believe was a good idea. At least for the environment.

With the discovery of massive amounts of natural gas electric utilities are switching to cleaner burning gas. I am not sure how this will effect long range gas prices to homeowners if and when these gas supplies begin to expire. At this time there are independent companies building gas powered generating plants which I am sure will be competitive in price. My guess is First Energy will be buying power from some of these new suppliers.

As an owner of an All-Electric home and living on a fixed income I am concerned about increases in our electric utility rate. The All-Electric rate was supposed to guarantee a low electric rate. It has progressively crept up over the past few years.

First Energy should not be allowed to pass these costs along to customers. The PUCO should have never deregulated any of the major utilities. Special contracts were always available to super large industrial customers.

Reviewing electric rates between various plants that fell under my jurisdiction showed just how much more effective First Energy / Ohio Edison was at pressuring the PUCO for rate increases. For example about 2002 the company I worked for had several locations in and out of Ohio. Here is a comparison

between two of our plants that were classified as "Large Electrical User": The Stark County facility received electric service from AEP at the rate of \$.045 / kwh. The facility located in Portage County supplied by Ohio Edison was billed at the rate of \$.010 / kwh. Where would you locate your next plant. During the period I do not think AEP ever got approval for rate increases while First Energy progressively received PUCO approvals for their increases.

The utilities have complained about loss of revenue due to a decrease in electrical demand. As many major manufacturing companies have left the United States there should be ample electric capacity to serve all customers at the lowest possible rates. Private companies face challenging conditions and must adapt and restructure to make them more efficient. They do not have the opportunity petition an agency like the PUCO to support their inefficiencies.

Naming rights to major sports facilities are not necessary for efficient and profitable operation of First Energy / Ohio Edison.

Please deny this and future rate increases.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

C. Vance 4442 Erie Ave NW Massillon, OH 44647 This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/17/2016 1:44:14 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO, 14-1693-EL-RDR, 14-1694-EL-AAM

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of various consumers electronically filed by Ms. Donielle M Hunter on behalf of PUCO Docketing