BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison)	
Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating)	
Company and The Toledo Edison Company for)	Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO
Authority to Provide for a Standard Service)	
Offer Pursuant to R.C. §4928.143 in the Form of)	
an Electric Security Plan.) .	

JOINT MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code, the PJM Power Providers Group ("P3") and the Electric Power Supply Association ("EPSA") jointly file this motion for a protective order seeking confidential treatment of certain information referenced in the Initial Brief of P3 and EPSA which is being filed in this case the same day. This joint motion seeks confidential treatment of information deemed confidential by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company. The underlying reasons are detailed in the attached memorandum. Consistent with the above-cited rule, two unredacted copies of the Initial Brief of P3 and EPSA are being submitted under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Howard Petricoff (0008287), Counsel of Record

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 E. Gay Street

Columbus, OH 43215

614-464-5414

mhpetricoff@vorys.com

mjsettineri@vorys.com

glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for the PJM Power Providers Group and the Electric Power Supply Association

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE JOINT MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

The PJM Power Providers Group ("P3") and the Electric Power Supply Association ("EPSA") respectfully request that certain information referenced in the P3 and EPSA Initial Brief, namely, certain testimony of Dr. Joseph Kalt and information from confidential portions of the hearing be protected from public disclosure consistent with the prior treatment of that information in this proceeding. The information for which protection is sought describes and discusses or is derived from information that Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively "FirstEnergy") has asserted is confidential and proprietary and would harm FirstEnergy if released to the public. In addition, this information has been given confidential treatment by the Attorney Examiners already in this proceeding.

Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code, provides that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. State law recognizes the need to protect certain types of information that are the subject of this motion. The non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read <u>in pari materia</u> with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR, Entry (February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules. See, Rule 4901-1-24(A)(7), Ohio Administrative Code.

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code. This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the financial information which is the subject of this motion.

In State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins. (1997), 80 Ohio St.3d 513, the Ohio Supreme Court adopted a six-factor test to analyze whether information is a trade secret under the statute:

- (1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business,
- (2) The extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees,
- (3) The precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information,
- (4) The savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors,
- (5) The amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and

(6) The amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

Id. at 524-525, quoting Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983).

Accepting FirstEnergy's claims of confidentiality, noting that the Attorney Examiners have afforded this information confidential treatment already, and applying these factors to the redacted portions of the Initial Brief of P3 and EPSA warrants the granting of the requested protective order. The information referenced in the P3 and EPSA Initial Brief have been found by the Attorney Examiners to warrant confidential treatment earlier in this proceeding. Thus, the information referenced in the Initial Brief of P3 and EPSA may be maintained as confidential.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons, P3 and EPSA respectfully request that the Commission grant their joint motion for protective order and maintain the subject portions of their Initial Brief under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Howard Petricoff (0008287), Counsel of Record

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 E. Gay Street

Columbus, OH 43215

614-464-5414

mhpetricoff@vorys.com

mjsettineri@vorys.com

glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for the PJM Power Providers Group and the Electric Power Supply Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced in the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served upon the persons below via electronic mail this 16th day of February, 2016.

Michael J. Settineri

burki@firstenergycorp.com cdunn@firstenergycorp.com ilang@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com dakutik@jonesday.com cmooney@ohiopartners.org drinebolt@ohiopartners.org tdoughtery@theoec.org ghull@eckertseamans.com sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov joliker@igsenergy.com schmidt@sppgrp.com ricks@ohanet.org tobrien@bricker.com stnourse@aep.com mjsatterwhite@aep.com yalami@aep.com ifinnigan@edf.org wttpmlc@aol.com mkl@smxblaw.com gas@smxblaw.com

lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com meissnerjoseph@yahoo.com trhayslaw@gmail.com lesliekovacik@toledo.oh.gov cynthia.brady@exeloncorp.com david.fein@exeloncorp.com lael.campbell@exeloncorp.com christopher.miller@icemiller.com gregory.dunn@icemiller.com jeremy.grayem@icemiller.com BarthRoyer@aol.com athompson@taftlaw.com Marilyn@wflawfirm.com blanghenry@city.cleveland.oh.us hmadorsky@city.cleveland.oh.us kryan@city.cleveland.oh.us bojko@carpenterlipps.com gkrassen@bricker.com dstinson@bricker.com dborchers@bricker.com mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com mfleisher@elpc.org matt@matthewcoxlaw.com todonnell@dickinsonwright.com jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com twilliams@snhslaw.com sechler@carpenterlipps.com gpoulos@enernoc.com

mhpetricoff@vorys.com mjsettineri@vorys.com glpetrucci@vorys.com thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us sfisk@earthjustice.org msoules@earthjustice.org tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org laurac@chappelleconsulting.net gthomas@gtpowergroup.com stheodore@epsa.org mdortch@kravitzllc.com rparsons@kravitzllc.com dparram@taftlaw.com charris@spilmanlaw.com dwolff@crowell.com rlehfeldt@crowell.com dfolk@akronohio.gov Kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov William.michael@oc.ohio.gov rsahli@columbus.rr.com ajay.kumar@occ.ohio.gov callwein@keglerbrown.com mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com jennifer.spinosi@directenergy.com kristin.henry@sierraclub.org rkelter@elpc.org

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/16/2016 3:30:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO

Summary: Motion Joint Motion for Protective Order electronically filed by Mr. Michael J. Settineri on behalf of PJM Power Providers Group and Electric Power Supply Association